

NOTICE OF DECISION

Case File: T2-2021-14342

Permit: Lot of Record Verification

Applicants: Michael Anderson

Owners: Michael & Lisa Anderson

Location: 37049 SE Loudon Road, Corbett
Tax Account #R994020350

Map, Tax Lot: 1S4E02CA -00100
Property ID #R341151

Base Zone: Exclusive Farm Use (EFU)

Overlays: Significant Environmental Concern - Streams (SEC-s)

Proposal Summary: The applicant is requesting a Lot of Record Verification for the above property. A Lot of Record Verification determines that a property was lawfully established in compliance with zoning and land division laws at the time of its creation or reconfiguration and the County's aggregation requirements.

Determination: The subject property known as 1S4E02CA -00100 is a Lot of Record in its current configuration.

This decision is final at the close of the appeal period, unless appealed. The deadline for filing an appeal is August 17, 2021 at 4:00 pm.

Opportunity to Review the Record: The complete case file, including the Planning Director Decision containing Findings, Conclusions, Conditions of Approval, and all evidence associated with this application is available by contacting the case planner. Copies of all documents are available at the rate of \$0.40/per page. For further information, contact Izze Liu, Staff Planner at 503-988-0213 or at isabella.liu@multco.us.

Opportunity to Appeal: An appeal requires a \$250.00 fee and must state the specific legal grounds on which it is based. To obtain appeal forms or information on the procedure, contact the Land Use Planning office at 1600 SE 190th Avenue (Phone: 503-988-3043). This decision is not appealable to the Land Use Board of Appeals until all local appeals are exhausted.

Issued by:

By: _____
Izze Liu, Planner

For: Carol Johnson, AICP
Planning Director

Date: Tuesday, August 3, 2021



Applicable Approval Criteria:

For this application to be approved, the proposal will need to meet applicable approval criteria below:

Multnomah County Code (MCC): MCC 39.1515 Code Compliance and Applications, MCC 39.2000 Definitions

Lot of Record: MCC 39.3005 Lot of Record – Generally, MCC 39.3070 Lot of Record – Exclusive Farm Use (EFU)

Copies of the referenced Multnomah County Code sections are available by contacting our office at (503) 988-3043 or by visiting our website at <https://multco.us/landuse/zoning-codes/> under the link:

Chapter 39 - Zoning Code

Notice to Mortgagee, Lien Holder, Vendor, or Seller:

ORS Chapter 215 requires that if you receive this notice it must be promptly forwarded to the purchaser.

Findings of Fact

FINDINGS: Written findings are contained herein. The Multnomah County Code (MCC) criteria and Comprehensive Plan Policies are in **bold** font. Staff analysis and comments are identified as ‘**Staff:**’ and address the applicable criteria. Staff comments may include a conclusionary statement in *italic*.

1.0 Project Description:

Staff: The applicant request a Lot of Record Verification for the property identified as 37049 SE Loudon Road, Corbett (subject property). The application does not propose any new development at this time.

Through the Lot of Record Verification process, the County reviews the creation or reconfiguration of each parcel, lot, or unit of land involved in the request. The County then verifies that the creation or reconfiguration of the parcel, lot, or unit of land satisfied all applicable zoning laws and all applicable land division laws in effect on the date of its creation or reconfiguration. In the EFU zone, the County also considers adjacent ownership on February 20, 1990 in determining whether a parcel, lot, or unit of land is a Lot of Record on its own. If the parcel, lot, or unit of land met all applicable zoning laws, applicable land division laws and meets the aggregation requirements, it may be determined to be a Lot of Record.

2.0 Property Description & History:

Staff: The subject property is located in unincorporated west Multnomah County in the area known as East of Sandy River. The property is zoned Exclusive Farm Use and is located outside of Metro’s Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). The subject property is developed with a single-family dwelling and several detached accessory structures.

3.0 Public Comment:

Staff: Staff mailed a notice of application and invitation to comment on the proposed application to the required parties per MCC 39.1105 as Exhibited in C.2. Staff did not receive any public comments during the 14-day comment period.

4.0 Code Compliance and Applications Criteria:

4.1 § 39.1515 CODE COMPLIANCE AND APPLICATIONS.

Except as provided in subsection (A), the County shall not make a land use decision approving development, including land divisions and property line adjustments, or issue a building permit for any property that is not in full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Multnomah County Zoning Code and/or any permit approvals previously issued by the County.

(A) A permit or other approval, including building permit applications, may be authorized if:

- (1) It results in the property coming into full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Multnomah County Zoning Code. This includes sequencing of permits or other approvals as part of a voluntary compliance agreement; or**
- (2) It is necessary to protect public safety; or**
- (3) It is for work related to and within a valid easement over, on or under an affected property.**

(B) For the purposes of this section, Public Safety means the actions authorized by the permit would cause abatement of conditions found to exist on the property that endanger the life, health, personal property, or safety of the residents or public. Examples of that situation include but are not limited to issuance of permits to replace faulty electrical wiring; repair or install furnace equipment; roof repairs; replace or repair compromised utility infrastructure for water, sewer, fuel, or power; and actions necessary to stop earth slope failures.

Staff: This standard provides that the County shall not make a land use decision approving development for a property that is not in full compliance with County Code or previously issued County approvals, except in the following instances: approval will result in the property coming into full compliance, approval is necessary to protect public safety, or the approval is for work related to or within a valid easement.

This standard was originally codified in the Zoning Code chapter related to land use application procedures and, by its terms, expressly applies to the application review process. Although now codified in the enforcement Part of the Zoning Code as a result of the more recent code consolidation project, the language and intent was not changed during that project and remains applicable to the application review process and not to the post-permit-approval enforcement process.

Importantly, a finding of satisfaction of this standard does not mean that a property is in full compliance with the Zoning Code and all prior permit approvals (and, accordingly, does not preclude future enforcement actions relating to uses and structures existing at the time the finding is made). Instead, a finding of satisfaction of this standard simply means that there is not substantial evidence in the record affirmatively establishing one or more specific instances of noncompliance. As such, an applicant has no initial burden to establish that all elements of the subject property are in full compliance with the Zoning Code and all previously approved permits; instead, in the event of evidence indicating or establishing one or more specific instances of noncompliance on the subject property, the applicant bears the burden to either rebut that evidence or demonstrate satisfaction of one of the exceptions in MCC 39.1515.

As noted in Section 1.0 above, this application is a request for a Lot of Record Verification, which does not require the County to approve development, a land division, a property line adjustment, or a building permit. *Therefore, this standard is not applicable.*

5.0 Lot of Record Criteria:

5.1 MCC 39.3005 - LOT OF RECORD – GENERALLY.

(A) An area of land is a “Lot of Record” if it meets the standards in Subsection (B) of this Section and meets the standards set forth in this Part for the Zoning District in which the area of land is located.

(B) A Lot of Record is a parcel, lot, or a group thereof that, when created or reconfigured, either satisfied all applicable zoning laws and satisfied all applicable land division laws, or complies with the criteria for the creation of new lots or parcels described in MCC 39.9700. Those laws shall include all required zoning and land division review procedures, decisions, and conditions of approval.

(a) “Satisfied all applicable zoning laws” shall mean: the parcel, lot, or group thereof was created and, if applicable, reconfigured in full compliance with all zoning minimum lot size, dimensional standards, and access requirements.

(b) “Satisfied all applicable land division laws” shall mean the parcel or lot was created:

- 1. By a subdivision plat under the applicable subdivision requirements in effect at the time; or**
- 2. By a deed, or a sales contract dated and signed by the parties to the transaction, that was recorded with the Recording Section of the public office responsible for public records prior to October 19, 1978; or**
- 3. By a deed, or a sales contract dated and signed by the parties to the transaction, that was in recordable form prior to October 19, 1978; or**
- 4. By partitioning land under the applicable land partitioning requirements in effect on or after October 19, 1978; and**
- 5. “Satisfied all applicable land division laws” shall also mean that any subsequent boundary reconfiguration completed on or after December 28, 1993 was approved under the property line adjustment provisions of the land division code. (See Date of Creation and Existence for the effect of property line adjustments on qualifying a Lot of Record for the siting of a dwelling in the EFU and CFU districts.)**

(c) Separate Lots of Record shall be recognized and may be partitioned congruent with an “acknowledged unincorporated community” boundary which intersects a Lot of Record.

- 1. Partitioning of the Lot of Record along the boundary shall require review and approval under the provisions of the land division part of this Chapter, but not be subject to the minimum area and access requirements of this district.**
- 2. An “acknowledged unincorporated community boundary” is one that has been established pursuant to OAR Chapter 660, Division 22.**

Staff: To qualify as a Lot of Record, the subject property, when created or reconfigured, must meet (B) of this section and meet the Lot of Record standards set forth in the EFU zoning district. More specifically, section (B) above requires demonstration that the subject property (a) satisfied all applicable zoning laws and (b) satisfied all applicable land division laws. The Lot of Record standards set forth in the EFU district establish additional requirements unique to the district, which are evaluated in Section 5.2 of this decision. The findings below analyzes whether the Lot of Record provisions in section (B) have been met.

The applicant provided a Warranty Deed (Exhibit A.4) and a Chain of Title (Exhibit A.3) to support the Lot of Record request. The Warranty Deed provided was recorded in 1968 and contains a legal description matching the current configuration of the subject property (Exhibit A.4). In 1967, the subject property was zoned F2 per historical County zoning maps (Exhibit B.2). The F-2 zone had a minimum lot size of 2 acres. There was no requirement for road frontage or minimum front lot line length or lot width. The subject property is 5.25 acres and abuts SE Loudon Road (a public road). The current deed

(Exhibit B.4) for the subject property contains a legal description that matches the recorded 1968 legal description (Exhibit A.4).

The subject property complied with all applicable zoning laws at the time of its creation or reconfiguration.

In 1967 & 1968, the process to divide a property required a deed or sales contract dated and signed by the parties to the transaction. The document needed to be in recordable form or recorded with the County Recorder prior to October 19, 1978. As evidenced by the Warranty Deed recorded in 1968 (Exhibit A.4), the applicable land division laws were satisfied.

Based upon the above, the subject property satisfied all applicable zoning and land division laws when it was created or reconfigured..

5.2 MCC 39.3070 LOT OF RECORD – EXCLUSIVE FARM USE (EFU)

(A) In addition to the standards in MCC 39.3005, for the purposes of the EFU district a Lot of Record is either:

(1) A parcel or lot which was not contiguous to any other parcel or lot under the same ownership on February 20, 1990, or

(2) A group of contiguous parcels or lots:

(a) Which were held under the same ownership on February 20, 1990; and

(b) Which, individually or when considered in combination, shall be aggregated to comply with a minimum lot size of 19 acres, without creating any new lot line.

1. Each Lot of Record proposed to be segregated from the contiguous group of parcels or lots shall be a minimum of 19 acres in area using existing legally created lot lines and shall not result in any remainder individual parcel or lot, or remainder of contiguous combination of parcels or lots, with less than 19 acres in area. See Examples 1 and 2 in this subsection.

2. There shall be an exception to the 19 acre minimum lot size requirement when the entire same ownership grouping of parcels or lots was less than 19 acres in area on February 20, 1990, and then the entire grouping shall be one Lot of Record. See Example 3 in this subsection.

3. Three examples of how parcels and lots shall be aggregated are shown in Figure 1 below with the solid thick line outlining individual Lots of Record:

4. The requirement to aggregate contiguous parcels or lots shall not apply to lots or parcels within exception or urban zones (e.g., MUA-20, RR, RC, SRC, BRC, R-10), but shall apply to contiguous parcels and lots within all farm and forest resource zones (i.e. EFU and CFU), or

(3) A parcel or lot lawfully created by a partition or a subdivision plat after February 20, 1990.

(4) Exception to the standards of (A)(2) above:

(a) Where approval for a “Lot of Exception” or a parcel smaller than 19 acres under the “Lot size for Conditional Uses” provisions has been given by the Hearing Authority and the parcel was subsequently lawfully created, then the

parcel shall be a Lot of Record that remains separately transferable, even if the parcel was contiguous to another parcel held in the same ownership on February 20, 1990.

Staff: According to the County's historic tax records, the subject property was owned by Madeline Kirby on February 20, 1990. Madeline Kirby did not own any or part of any of the adjacent or contiguous parcels; therefore, the subject property is not subject to aggregation.

(B) In this district, significant dates and ordinances applicable for verifying zoning compliance may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) July 10, 1958, F-2 zone applied;**
- (2) December 9, 1975, RL-C zone applied, F-2 minimum lot size increased, Ord. 115 & 116;**
- (3) October 6, 1977, MUA-20 and EFU-38 zones applied, Ord. 148 & 149;**
- (4) August 14, 1980, zone change from MUA-20 to EFU-38 for some properties, zone change from EFU-38 to EFU-76 for some properties. Ord. 236 & 238;**
- (5) February 20, 1990, lot of record definition amended, Ord. 643;**
- (6) April 5, 1997, EFU zone repealed and replaced with language in compliance with 1993 Oregon Revised Statutes and 1994 Statewide Planning Goal 3 Oregon Administrative Rules for farmland, Ord. 876;**
- (7) May 16, 2002, Lot of Record section amended, Ord. 982, reenacted by Ord. 997;**

(C) A Lot of Record which has less than the minimum lot size for new parcels, less than the front lot line minimums required, or which does not meet the access requirements of MCC 39.4260 may be occupied by any allowed use, review use or conditional use when in compliance with the other requirements of this district.

Staff: Section (B) is for information purposes. The subject property has less than the minimum lot size for new parcels or lots in this zone and is subject to (C) above. It may be occupied by any allowed, review or conditional use when in compliance with the other requirements of this district provided it remains a Lot of Record. *Criteria met.*

(D) The following shall not be deemed a Lot of Record:

- (1) An area of land described as a tax lot solely for assessment and taxation purposes;**
- (2) An area of land created by the foreclosure of a security interest;**
- (3) A Mortgage Lot.**
- (4) An area of land created by court decree.**

Staff: As discussed above under section 5.1, the subject property is not an area of land described as a tax lot solely for assessment and taxation purposes. The subject tract is not an area of land created by the foreclosure of a security interest, a mortgage lot or created by court decree. *Criteria met.*

Based on the findings in 5.1 & 5.2, the subject property is a single Lot of Record.

6.0 Exhibits

'A' Applicant's Exhibits
'B' Staff Exhibits
'C' Procedural Exhibits

Exhibits with a “*” after the exhibit # have been included as part of the mailed decision. Those exhibits have been reduced to a size of 8.5” x 11” for mailing purposes. All other exhibits are available for review in Case File T2-2021-14342 by contacting the case planner Izze Liu via email at *isabella.liu@multco.us*.

| Exhibit # | # of Pages | Description of Exhibit | Date Received / Submitted |
|-----------|------------|---|---------------------------|
| A.1 | 1 | Application Form | 02.12.2021 |
| A.2 | 1 | Site Plan | 02.12.2021 |
| A.3 | 1 | Chain of Title | 02.12.2021 |
| A.4 | 2 | 1967 Warranty Deed | 07.08.2021 |
| ‘B’ | # | Staff Exhibits | Date |
| B.1 | 2 | Department of Assessment, Records and Taxation (DART): Property Information for 1S4E02CA -00100 | 02.12.2021 |
| B.2 | 1 | Historic Zoning Map | 02.12.2021 |
| B.3 | 2 | F-2 Zoning Regulations from Zoning Ordinance 100 as amended May 21, 1968 (Districts) | 02.12.2021 |
| B.4 | 3 | 2012 Warranty Deed | 7.28.2021 |
| ‘C’ | # | Administration & Procedures | Date |
| C.1 | 4 | Incomplete Letter | 03.12.2021 |
| C.2 | 1 | Applicant Response Letter | 03.17.2021 |
| C.3 | 3 | Opportunity to Comment | 06.15.2021 |