Mid County Service District No. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon

Financial Statements and Reports of Independent Auditors

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011



Prepared by: Department of County Management Joseph Mark Campbell, Chief Financial Officer 501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 531 Portland, Oregon 97214

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon Table of Contents

Introductory Section:	Pages
Board of County Commissioners	i
Transmittal Letter	ii - iv
Financial Section:	
Report of Independent Auditors	1-2
Management Discussion and Analysis	3-6
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	7
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position	8
Statement of Cash Flows	9
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	10-14
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Budget and Actual	15-16
Schedules of Special Assessment Transactions	17
Reconciliation of Budgetary Revenues to Interest and Tax/Assessment Collections	18
Reports of Independent Auditors Required by State Statutes:	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Oregon Minimum Audit Standards</i>	19-20

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS* AS OF JUNE 30, 2012 501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, 6th floor Portland, Oregon 97214

	Term Expires
Jeff Cogen, Chair of the Board	December 31, 2014
Deborah Kafoury, Commissioner District 1	December 31, 2012
Loretta Smith, Commissioner District 2	December 31, 2014
Judy Shiprack, Commissioner District 3	December 31, 2012
Diane McKeel, Commissioner District 4	December 31, 2012

REGISTERED AGENT

Joseph Mark Campbell

REGISTERED OFFICE

501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 531 Portland, Oregon 97214-3501

* Governing body of Mid County Service District No. 14 reported on herein.



Department of County Management MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON 501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 531 Portland, Oregon 97214 (503) 988-3786 phone (503) 988-3292 fax

December 13, 2012

Honorable County Chair and Board of County Commissioners Multnomah County, Portland, Oregon

INTRODUCTION

We are pleased to submit the Basic Financial Statements for Mid County Service District No. 14, Portland, Oregon (the District), for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. This report includes the opinion of our independent auditors, Moss Adams LLP.

We prepared this report for the Secretary of the State of Oregon as required under ORS 297.425. The District's financial statements are also included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of Multnomah County (the County) as a blended component unit. This is necessary because the Board of County Commissioners of Multnomah County serves as the governing body and maintains overall financial accountability for the District.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) require that management provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. Mid County's MD&A can be found immediately following the independent auditors' report.

PROFILE OF THE DISTRICT

Mid County Service District No. 14 was organized in 1968 as Tulip Acres Lighting District under the provisions of ORS Chapter 451. The District now provides street lighting to the unincorporated urban areas of the County, and the cities of Maywood Park, Troutdale and Fairview. Administration of the District is managed by the Multnomah County Department of Community Services (DCS). Portland General Electric provides energy and maintenance services to the District and the County's DCS Land Use and Transportation Division provides illumination engineering and design.

The District is accounted for as an enterprise fund. Enterprise funds generate revenue for operations by charging user fees to recover costs of providing goods and services to the public. The measurement focus is on a flow of economic resources and the accrual basis of accounting is used. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded at the time they are earned and expenses are recorded at the time the liabilities are incurred.

Oregon Budget Law requires the use of budgetary control. See pages 15-16 for the Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual.

FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION

The District's operating budget has stabilized with the substantial completion of municipal annexations. Operations were funded by charging user fees of \$50 per household for fiscal year 2012, an increase over the prior fiscal year amount of \$48 per household. This rate was presumed to be sufficient to match the District's engineering, maintenance and operational demands. The fees are collected via special assessments that are added to property tax bills for properties served by the District.

Operating revenues in total generally follow population levels. Historically (over the last 10 years) operating revenues have increased approximately 5.6% annually and operating expenses have increased approximately 3.0% over the same time period. However, during fiscal year 2012, operating revenues increased by \$17,395 or 4.8% from the prior year. This increase was due to receiving additional revenue in fiscal year 2011 by the above increase of user fees for the District from \$48 per household to \$50 per household.

In fiscal year 2004, the District implemented a new capital asset initiative to replace 15% of their lights and poles that were past their life expectancy. Under this initiative the District began purchasing more lights and poles rather than leasing these assets from Portland General Electric and therefore reducing capital contributions. Since then the District continues to record capital contributions for light and poles and also purchase replacements, but at a scaled down rate. Over time the District anticipates that capital contributions will continue to decrease with the slowing of the economy as capital asset acquisitions slightly increase. In fiscal year 2006 management revised the estimates on the useful lives of the District's lights and poles from 15 years to 30 years as new lights and poles are constructed from stronger more durable materials. The District continues to maintain a strong working capital position and has no long-term debt. Following is a summary of some key financial data which is summarized from current and prior years' financial statements:

	Year Ended June 30,								
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>				
Operating revenue	\$ 380,098	\$ 362,703	\$ 348,256	\$ 263,464	\$ 267,636				
Depreciation expense	65,721	64,994	63,221	60,607	58,820				
Operating loss	(30,331)	(64,165)	(55,494)	(156,045)	(108,494)				
Change in net position	(22,324)	(32,893)	12,061	(68,938)	(80,790)				
Capital contributions	6405	29,658	65,344	80,210	10,176				
Net working capital	281,101	251,654	249,211	250,622	339,163				
Total assets	1,785,214	1,807,304	1,814,278	1,803,106	1,906,714				
Total net assets	1,735,303	1,757,627	1,790,520	1,778,459	1,847,397				

AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the help of the Finance Division staff, who aided me in the preparation of this report. I appreciate their excellent work. I also want to thank the staff in the Department of County Management for their contributions during the year.

Respectfully submitted,

Vn

Joseph Mark Campbell Chief Financial Officer

FINANCIAL SECTION



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Board of County Commissioners Mid County Service District No. 14

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Mid County Service District No. 14 ("the District"), a component unit of Multnomah County, Oregon, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 6 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.



MOSS ADAMS LLP Certified Public Accountants | Business Consultants

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The introductory section (Board of County Commissioners and transmittal letter) and supplementary information section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the introductory section (Board of County Commissioners and transmittal letter) is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole. The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and whole. The introductory section has

James C. Layanotta

James C. Lanzarotta, CPA For Moss Adams LLP Eugene, Oregon

December 13, 2012





Department of County Management MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON 501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 531 Portland, Oregon 97214 (503) 988-3786 phone (503) 988-3292 fax

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of Mid County Service District No. 14, we offer readers of the District's basic financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the additional information that we have furnished in our letter of transmittal, which can be found on pages iii-iv of this report.

Financial Highlights

- Mid County's assets exceeded its liabilities at June 30, 2012, by \$1,735,303. Of this amount, \$281,101 is unrestricted and may be used to meet the District's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.
- The District's total net assets decreased by \$22,324 in fiscal year 2012. This was primarily due to operating revenues being less than operating expenses by \$30,331. This is true even after adjusting the user fees from \$48 to \$50 per household in fiscal year 2012. In fiscal year 2011, total net assets decreased by \$32,893 even after adjusting the user fees from \$45 to \$48. There was also a \$6,405 contribution of new lights and poles from Portland General Electric (PGE) in fiscal year 2012 compared to \$29,658 in 2011.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to Mid County's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements include: 1) fund financial statements, and 2) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Fund financial statements. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Enterprise funds. Mid County is accounted for as an enterprise fund. Enterprise funds are used to account for operations (a) where the intent of the government is to fully recover costs of providing goods or services to the general public through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purpose.

The District operates like a business receiving user charges for services rendered. It does not receive any funds from the State or the County other than assessments collected through property taxes. The District's statute anticipates that it collects fees necessary to prudently operate.

The main source of revenue, street lighting assessments, is collected through property taxes. The user charges were increased from the prior fiscal year at \$48 to \$50 per household for fiscal year 2012 generating \$380,098 in operating revenues.

The basic enterprise fund financial statements can be found on pages 7-9 of this report.

Notes to the basic financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found on pages 10-14 of this report.

Other information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain supplementary information. Supplementary information can be found on pages 15-18 of this report.

Financial Analysis of the District

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial condition. As noted earlier, Mid County's total assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,735,303 at the close of the most recent fiscal year. Included in this amount, the District had \$1,454,202 or 84% of total net position invested in capital assets. The investment in capital assets is the District's street lighting system, with no related debt. The District uses these capital assets to provide services to its member households; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending.

Mid County's Net Position June 30, 2012 2011 2010 331,012 \$ 301,331 \$ 272,969 Current assets \$ 1,541,309 Capital assets, net 1,454,202 1,505,973 Total assets 1,785,214 1,807,304 1,814,278 Current liabilities 49,911 49,677 23,758 Net position: Net investment in capital assets 1,505,973 1,454,202 1,541,309 Unrestricted 281,101 251,654 249,211 \$ 1,735,303 1,790,520 Total net position \$ 1,757,627 \$

At the end of the current and prior fiscal years, the District is able to report positive balances in the above categories of net position.

	2012		2011		2010
Revenues				-	
Operating revenues:					
Charges for services	\$	380,098	\$ 362,703		\$ 348,256
Nonoperating revenues:					
Investment earnings		1,602	1,614		2,211
Total revenues		381,700	364,317	_	350,467
Expenses					
Operating expenses:					
District operating expenses		410,429	 426,868	_	403,750
Total expenses		410,429	 426,868	_	403,750
Loss before contributions		(28,729)	(62,551)		(53,283)
Capital contributions		6,405	 29,658	_	65,344
Increase (decrease) in net position		(22,324)	(32,893)		12,061
Beginning fund net position		1,757,627	 1,790,520	_	1,778,459
Ending fund net position	\$	1,735,303	\$ 1,757,627	_	\$ 1,790,520

Mid County's Changes in Fund Net Position For the Year Ended June 30,

The District's ending fund net position decreased by \$22,324 during fiscal year 2012, decreased by \$32,893 during 2011 and increased by \$12,061 during fiscal year 2010 respectively. The primary reasons for the increase and decreases in fund net position are:

- In fiscal year 2012 user fee charges were increased to \$50 per year per household, but with only \$6,405 of contributed assets, net position still decreased by \$22,324. In fiscal year 2011 user fee charges were increased to \$48 per year per household, and even with contributed assets of \$29,658 net assets still decreased by \$32,893 due to rising operating expenses. In fiscal year 2010 the fees were brought up to \$45 to meet rising operating expenses and helped create an increase in net assets of \$12,061, with the help of \$65,344 of capital contributions.
- Capital contributions continue, but at a reduced rate, as the District's growth has stabilized with the slowing of the economy and substantial completion of municipal annexations. In fiscal year 2012 the District's capital contributions were down to \$6,405 with a decrease in capital assets of \$51,771. In fiscal year 2011 capital contributions were \$29,658 with a decrease in capital assets of \$35,336. In fiscal year 2010 capital contributions were \$65,344 with an increase in capital assets of \$13,472.
- Investment earnings decreased by \$12 in fiscal year 2012 from 2011 as rates continued to remain low due to the slow economy. Earnings decreased by \$597 in fiscal year 2011 from 2010 as rates continued to decline. Earnings decreased by \$4,686 in fiscal year 2010 from 2009.
- Operating expenses decreased by \$16,439 in fiscal year 2012 from 2011. The largest decrease was in professional services, with reduced audit fees. Operating expenses increased by \$23,118 in fiscal year 2011 from 2010. The largest increase was in repairs, maintenance and supplies on poles and light fixtures. Operating expenses decreased by \$15,759 in fiscal year 2010 from 2009. The largest decrease was in repairs and maintenance, due to fewer repairs and more end of life light replacements.

Capital assets. The District's investment in capital assets as of June 30, 2012, amounts to \$1,454,202 (net of accumulated depreciation). This entire investment in capital assets is the street lighting system. The net decrease in the District's investment in capital assets for the current fiscal year was \$51,771 or 3.4% due to capital contributions of only \$6,405, less depreciation of \$65,721. Additional information on the District's capital assets can be found in notes A.5 and C.3 on page 11, 13 and 14 of this report.

Budgetary highlights. Total budgeted expenditures for the District were \$431,250 in fiscal year 2012 compared to actual expenditures of \$352,358. Actual expenditures were under budget by \$78,892. There were three primary reasons why actual expenditures were less than budget:

- Capital expenditures had a budget of \$50,000 for light and pole replacements for fiscal year 2012 but only had \$7,545 of actual expenditures and pole replacements of \$6,405 contributed by PGE. The District's capital program has slowed significantly in anticipation of new Light Emitting Diode (LED's) technology. The District is studying when to switch from the old High Pressure Sodium (HPS) street lights to the new LED's. In the meantime HPS street lights will still be used as replacements.
- Supplies and repairs had a budget of \$25,000 for replacing knocked down poles and street lights. Actual expenditures were about \$20,114 including \$4,557 of labor costs from the road maintenance department.
- Professional Services had a budget of \$25,000, but only \$7,975 of actual expenditures due to decreases in the outside audit fee and the internal reimbursement charge.

Key Economic Factors and Budget Information for Next Year

The District completed a large capital pole and lighting replacement program over the prior five or six years, targeting depreciated fixtures that were past their life expectancy or in poor condition. The capital program has now slowed significantly, but will continue to address any additional individual street lights which have reached their life expectancy. In the fiscal year 2013 budget, the District is proposing \$50,000 for replacing these additional street lights and poles. They are also anticipating converting to new the LED lights when available and cost effective, as stated above.

The District's current assessment rate is \$50 per household. For fiscal year 2013 the District budget committee plans no change in the rate of \$50 per household. The rate should provide the District with necessary operating resources to match engineering, maintenance and operational demands for the period. The District continues to build its unrestricted fund balance to fund future replacement of depreciated equipment and study the capital cost needs of the new LED conversion project.

Requests for information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of Mid County's finances for all those with an interest in the district's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be directed to the following address:

Multnomah County Department of County Management 501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 531 Portland, OR 97293-0700

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon Statements of Net Position

	June 30,						
		2012	,	2011			
ASSETS							
Current assets:							
Cash and investments	\$	308,524	\$	284,067			
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectibles):							
Special assessments		22,488		17,264			
Total current assets		331,012		301,331			
Capital assets		2,812,969		2,799,019			
Accumulated depreciation		(1,358,767)		(1,293,046)			
Total assets		1,785,214		1,807,304			
LIABILITIES							
Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable		49,911		49,677			
Total current liabilities		49,911		49,677			
NET POSITION							
Net investment in capital assets		1,454,202		1,505,973			
Unrestricted		281,101		251,654			
Total net position	\$	1,735,303	\$	1,757,627			

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position

	Years Ended June 30,						
	2012	2011					
OPERATING REVENUES							
Street lighting assessments	\$ 380,098	\$ 362,703					
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Cost of sales and services	315,343	324,077					
Administration	29,365	37,797					
Depreciation	65,721	64,994					
Total operating expenses	410,429	426,868					
Operating loss	(30,331)	(64,165)					
NONOPERATING REVENUES							
Interest revenue	1,602	1,614					
Loss before contributions	(28,729)	(62,551)					
Capital contributions	6,405	29,658					
Change in net position	(22,324)	(32,893)					
Total net position - beginning	1,757,627	1,790,520					
Total net position - ending	\$ 1,735,303	\$ 1,757,627					

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14

A Component Unit of

Multnomah County, Oregon Statements of Cash Flows

	Years Ended June 30,						
		2012	2011				
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Receipts from customers	\$	374,979	\$	362,437			
Payments to suppliers		(316,566)		(305,359)			
Payments to County employees		(25,769)		(22,385)			
Internal activity		(2,244)		(7,972)			
Net cash provided by operating activities		30,400		26,721			
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED							
FINANCING ACTIVITIES							
Purchases of capital assets		(7,545)		-			
Net cash used by capital and related financing activities		(7,545)		-			
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES							
Interest received		1,602		1,614			
Net cash provided by investing activities		1,602		1,614			
Net increase in cash and investments		24,457		28,335			
Balances at beginning of the year		284,067		255,732			
Balances at end of the year	\$	308,524	\$	284,067			
Reconciliation of operating loss to net cash provided by							
operating activities:							
Operating loss	\$	(30,331)	\$	(64,165)			
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash							
provided by operating activities:							
Depreciation		65,721		64,994			
Changes in assets and liabilities:							
Receivables, net		(5,224)		(27)			
Accounts payable		234		25,919			
Total adjustments		60,731		90,886			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	30,400	\$	26,721			
Noncash financing activities							
Contributions of capital assets	\$	6,405	\$	29,658			

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Note A. Summary of significant accounting policies

1. Nature of business

Mid County Service District No. 14 (the District) was organized in 1968 under the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 451 to provide street lighting in unincorporated urban areas of Multnomah County (the County) and the cities of Maywood Park, Troutdale and Fairview. The Multnomah County Board of Commissioners is the governing body of the District, as provided for by ORS 451.485.

Mid County is a blended component unit of Multnomah County and its financial activities are included in the basic financial statements of the County. The District serves the residents within its geographical boundaries and is governed by a board comprised of the County's elected Board. The rates for user charges for the District are approved by the Board. The District is reported as an enterprise fund.

2. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement preparation

The District's basic financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. The measurement focus is on the flow of economic resources. With this measurement focus, all assets and all liabilities associated with the operations are included on the Statement of Net Position.

Proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the District are charges to customers for sales and services. The District also recognizes as operating revenue the portion of connection fees intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the system. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

The financial statements of the District have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP). US GAAP statements include all relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. The financial statements have incorporated all applicable GASB pronouncements.

The accompanying basic financial statements have been prepared for purposes of Oregon statutory reporting requirements. The accompanying financial statements are structured into the fund type as described below.

Enterprise funds are used to account for revenues and expenses generally resulting from providing services for fees in connection with the fund's principal ongoing operations. Since the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners maintains overall financial accountability for the District, the financial statements of the District are included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the County, as an enterprise fund.

3. Cash and investments

The District's cash and investments are deposited in the County's investment pool. The District's cash and investments participate in this pool rather than specific, identifiable securities. The District's share of County pooled cash and investments can be drawn upon demand, and therefore, the entire amount on deposit with the County is considered cash equivalents. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated monthly based on the average daily cash balance of the District in relation to total investments in the pool. It is not practical to determine the investment risk, collateral, or insurance coverage for the District's share of these pooled investments.

State statutes authorize the County to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, commercial paper, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, banker's acceptances, repurchase agreements, and the State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP). Investments for the County, as well as for its component units, are reported at fair value. The LGIP operates in accordance with appropriate state laws and regulations.

Information about the pooled investments is included in the County's annual financial report and may be obtained by contacting the County's Finance Division at 501 SE Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 531, Portland, OR 97214.

4. Receivables and payables

The District's receivables are street lighting assessments which are collected through the County's property tax system. The District's payables are all monthly utility charges to Portland General Electric. The District calculates and records an allowance for doubtful accounts on the assessments receivable, which is management's best estimate of amounts that will not be collected.

5. Capital assets

The District's capital assets are street lighting systems consisting of lights and poles. Capital assets are stated at historical cost at time of acquisition or fair value on date donated for donated assets. Street lighting systems with a value of greater than \$10,000 are capitalized. Normal maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Expenditures for major additions, improvements and replacements are capitalized. Gain or loss on retirement or disposal is reflected in income.

Street lighting systems are depreciated on the straight-line method with an estimated useful life of 30 years. During fiscal year 2006 management revised the estimated useful lives of the District's lights and poles. Based on management's review the useful lives of the lights and poles were extended from 15 years to 30 years. In recent years the materials used in constructing the District's lights and poles have become more durable and are engineered to last longer than those previously built.

6. Net position

Net position is reported on the Statement of Net Position. Within net position, the net investment in capital assets represents total capital assets less accumulated depreciation. The District does not report any debt directly related to its capital assets. All other net position of the District is unrestricted.

7. Annexations and intergovernmental agreements

In 1983 the Board of County Commissioners passed Resolution A which stated the County's intention to phase out municipal services provided to urbanized unincorporated areas of the County. As a result, large areas of the District have been annexed by other jurisdictions and further annexations are expected. At the ultimate dissolution of the District, its remaining assets will be distributed to the successors in proportion to the number of customers absorbed by each entity.

8. Use of estimates

In preparing the basic financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP), management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note B. Stewardship, compliance, and accountability

1. Budgets

The District's budget is prepared and adopted in accordance with Oregon local budget law. Certain adjustments are necessary to reconcile from the budgetary basis to the US GAAP basis. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end. During the month of February each year, the District submits requests for appropriations to the County Chair so that a budget may be prepared. By May 15, the proposed budget is presented to the County Board of Commissioners for approval. The Board holds public hearings and a final budget must be prepared and adopted no later than June 30.

The adopted budget is prepared by fund and department. The County's department managers may make transfers of appropriations within a department and fund. Transfers and changes (increases) of appropriations between departments or funds require the approval of the Board. The legal level of budgetary control, (i.e., the level at which expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations) is the fund and department level.

Note C. Detailed notes on the Fund

1. Cash and investments

The District's cash and investments reported on the statement of net assets represent the District's share of the County's cash and investment pool. The District's participation in the cash and investment pool is involuntary. Interest earnings from this pool are allocated to the District on a monthly basis. At June 30, 2012 and 2011 the District's share of the County's cash and investment pool totaled \$308,524 and \$284,067, respectively. This fund's ending cash balance is pooled with the County's cash, and represents a portion of the year-end bank balances.

2. Receivables

	June 30,					
	2012	2011				
Street lighting assessments	\$ 23,546	\$ 18,371				
Other assessments	77	133				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,135)	(1,240)				
Receivables, net	\$ 22,488	\$ 17,264				

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents management's best estimate of receivable amounts that will not be collected. In determining the allowance, management considers historical write offs as well as current economic factors.

3. Capital assets

Capital asset activity for the District for the year ended June 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending
	Balance	Increases	Decreases	Balance
Street lights and poles	\$ 2,799,019	\$ 13,950	\$ -	\$ 2,812,969
Accumulated depreciation	(1,293,046)	(65,721)		(1,358,767)
Street lighting systems, net	\$ 1,505,973	\$ (51,771)	\$ -	\$ 1,454,202

Capital asset activity for the District for the year ended June 30, 2011 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance
Street lights and poles	\$ 2,769,361	\$ 29,658	\$ -	\$ 2,799,019
Accumulated depreciation	(1,228,052)	(64,994)	-	(1,293,046)
Street lighting systems, net	\$ 1,541,309	\$ (35,336)	\$ -	\$ 1,505,973

4. Transactions with Multnomah County

The County Department of Community Services provides operating management and planning for the District. General administrative functions are performed by other units of the County. All services are performed on an internal cost reimbursement basis. Reimbursements to the County were \$7,345 and \$7,642 for fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are included in the financial statement line item for Administration on the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Assets.

Note D. Risk management

As a component unit of the County, the District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the County carries commercial insurance. The County has established risk management programs for liability insurance coverage. The District is covered under the policies and programs insuring the County. The County maintains an internal service fund, risk management fund, to account for and finance its risks of loss. The County established risk management programs for liability and workers' compensation, whereby premiums are calculated on payroll expenses in all funds and are paid into the risk management fund. The funds are available to pay claims, claim reserves, and reduce administrative costs of the program. These interfund premiums are used to offset the amount of claims expenditure reported in the risk management fund. As of June 30, 2012, interfund premiums exceeded reimbursable expenditures. Settlements have not exceeded coverages for each of the past three fiscal years.

Note E. Pension plans

The District itself does not have any employees but is serviced by Multnomah County employees who are covered under the County's pension plans. The County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) provides further details on these plans.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon

The following Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual for the District is prepared on a budgetary basis which differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the accompanying component unit financial statements in the following respects:

- Capital outlay is reflected as an expenditure;
- Contributed capital, other than non-current assets, is reflected as revenue;
- Depreciation is not recorded;
- Street lighting assessment revenue is recognized as it becomes measurable and available;
- Expenses related to uncollectible accounts receivable are not recorded.

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

		Budgeted	l Amou	ints		Actual	Fin Fi	iance with al Budget avorable
	Original			Final	Amounts		(Un	favorable)
REVENUES								
Assessments - street lighting:								
Current	\$	368,000	\$	368,000	\$	366,722	\$	(1,278)
Prior		6,400		6,400		8,111		1,711
Assessments - other		-		-		77		77
Interest		1,750		1,750		1,602		(148)
Total revenues		376,150		376,150		376,512		362
EXPENDITURES								
Community services		431,250		431,250		352,358		78,892
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over								
(under) expenditures		(55,100)		(55,100)		24,154		79,254
Contingency		(25,000)		(25,000)		-		25,000
Net change in fund balances		(80,100)		(80,100)		24,154		104,254
Fund balances - beginning		218,000		218,000		237,873		19,873
Fund balances - ending	\$	137,900	\$	137,900		262,027	\$	124,127
Reconciliation to GAAP basis:								
Net investment in capital assets						1,454,202		
Deferred revenue on property taxes						20,209		
Allowance for uncollectible accounts, assess	sments					(1,135)		
Net Position as reported on the Statement of R		s,						
Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position					\$	1,735,303		

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 A Component Unit of Multnomah County, Oregon Schedules of Special Assessment Transactions

	For the Year Ended June 30, 2012											
	Amounts Uncollected June 30, 2011		Levy/ Assessments as Extended by Assessor		Discounts Allowed		terest ceived		cellations and ustments	Interest and Tax/ Assessment Collections	Un	mounts collected e 30, 2012
General Fund Special Assessme	nt											
2011-2012	\$	-	\$	389,885	\$ (9,752)	\$	169	\$	(3,188)	\$ (365,064)	\$	12,050
2010-2011		10,504			60		411		514	(5,300)		6,189
2009-2010		4,605			43		398		877	(2,091)		3,832
2008-2009		2,019			1		400		(24)	(1,556)		840
2007-2008		699			0		220		(21)	(745)		153
2006-2007		144			0		36		(5)	(98)		77
2005-2006		62			0		11		(3)	(27)		43
2004-2005		65			0		3		1	(9)		60
2003-2004		53			0		4		0	(9)		48
2002-2003 and prior years		353			0		58		0	(80)		331
	\$	18,504	\$	389,885	\$ (9,648)	\$ 1	1,710	\$	(1,849)	\$ (374,979)	\$	23,623

	For the Year Ended June 30, 2011											
	Amounts Uncollected June 30, 2010		Levy/ Assessments as Extended by Assessor			Interest Received		Cancellations and Adjustments		Interest and Tax/	Amounts Uncollected June 30, 2011	
					Discounts Allowed					Assessment Collections		
General Fund Special Assessme	nt											
2010-2011	\$	-	\$	373,841	\$ (9,293)	\$	175	\$	(2,535)	\$ (351,684)	\$	10,504
2009-2010		11,106			13		436		(775)	(6,175)		4,605
2008-2009		3,884			3		351		(174)	(2,045)		2,019
2007-2008		1,741			0		344		(26)	(1,360)		699
2006-2007		829			0		268		(32)	(921)		144
2005-2006		131			0		33		(9)	(93)		62
2004-2005		92			0		11		(11)	(27)		65
2003-2004		57			0		20		(1)	(23)		53
2002-2003		45			0		3		(4)	(7)		37
2001-2002 and prior years		352			0		71		(5)	(102)		316
	\$	18,237	\$	373,841	\$ (9,277)	\$	1,712	\$	(3,572)	\$ (362,437)	\$	18,504

MID COUNTY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14

A Component Unit of

Multnomah County, Oregon

Reconciliation of Budgetary Revenues to Interest and Tax/Assessment Collections

For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

	Years Ended June 30,							
		2012	2011					
Revenues, per Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and								
Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual:								
Current year assessments - sewer	\$	366,722	\$	353,698				
Prior year assessments - sewer		8,111		8,154				
Tax title land sales		77		133				
Assessment accrual, sixty day tax, net		13		529				
Tax title accrual, sixty day tax, net		56		(77)				
Interest and Tax/Assessment Collections, per Schedule of Special Assessment Transactions	\$	374,979	\$	362,437				

REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REQUIRED BY STATE STATUTES



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MINIMUM AUDIT STANDARDS

Board of County Commissioners Mid County Service District No. 14

We have audited the financial statements of the Mid County Service District No. 14 as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, prescribed by the Secretary of State. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's basic financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, including provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in Oregon Administrative Rules OAR 162-10-000 to 162-10-330, as set forth below, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts:

- The use of authorized depositories to secure the deposit of public funds.
- The requirements relating to debt.
- The requirements relating to the preparation, adoption and execution of the annual budgets for fiscal years 2012 and 2013.
- The requirements relating to insurance and fidelity bond coverage.
- The appropriate laws, rules and regulations pertaining to programs funded wholly or partially by other governmental agencies.
- The statutory requirements pertaining to the investment of public funds.
- The requirements pertaining to the awarding of public contracts and the construction of public improvements.

However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, prescribed by the Secretary of State.



$MOSS\text{-}ADAMS_{\texttt{LLP}}$

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information of the Board of County Commissioners, management, and federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

James C. Layanotta

James Lanzarotta, Partner For Moss Adams LLP Certified Public Accountants Eugene, Oregon

December 13, 2012