ANNEX A – Federal Requirements Crosswalk and Plan Assessment

The Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool (PRT) demonstrates how the local mitigation plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR § 201.6 and offers states and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the local governments, including special districts.

- 1. The Multi-Jurisdictional Summary Sheet is a worksheet that is used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the plan elements (Planning Process; Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Maintenance; Plan Update; and Plan Adoption).
- 2. The Plan Review Checklist summarizes FEMA's evaluation of whether the plan has addressed all requirements.

For greater clarification of the elements in the Plan Review Checklist, please see Section 4 of this guide. Definitions of the terms and phrases used in the PRT can be found in Appendix E of this guide.

Plan Information				
Jurisdiction(s)	City of Fairview, City of Gresham, City of Troutdale, City of Wood Village, Multnomah County, Multnomah County Drainage District, PEN 1 Drainage District, PEN 2 Drainage District, Sandy Drainage Investment Company, Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts Joint Contracting Authority, Urban Flood Safety and Water Quality District, Port of Portland			
Title of Plan	Multnomah County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan			
New Plan or Update	Update for all cities and county. New plan for all special districts.			
Single- or Multi-Jurisdiction	Multi-jurisdiction			
Date of Plan	4/19/2023			
	Local Point of Contact			
Title	David Lentzner, Planner			
Agency	Multnomah County Emergency Management			
Address	501 SE Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 400; Portland, OR; 97214			
Phone Number	503-679-3275			
Email	david.lentzner@multco.us			

Additional Point of Contact			
Title			
Agency			
Address			
Phone Number			
Email			

	Review Information				
State Review					
State Reviewer(s) and Title					
State Review Date					
	FEMA Review				
FEMA Reviewer(s) and Title					
Date Received in FEMA Region					
Plan Not Approved					
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption					
Plan Approved					

Multi-Jurisdictional Summary Sheet

		Requirements Met (Y/N)						
#	Jurisdiction Name	A. Planning Process	B. Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Maintenance	E. Plan Update	F. Plan Adoption	G. State Requirements
1	City of Fairview							
2	City of Gresham							
3	City of Troutdale							
4	City of Wood VIIIage							
5	Multnomah County							
6	Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts (6 current or planned drainage district entities on the Columbia River)							
7	Port of Portland							
8								
9								
10								

Plan Review Checklist

The Plan Review Checklist is completed by FEMA. States and local governments are encouraged, but not required, to use the PRT as a checklist to ensure all requirements have been met prior to submitting the plan for review and approval. The purpose of the checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the plan by element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been "met" or "not met." FEMA completes the "required revisions" summary at the bottom of each element to clearly explain the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is "not met." Sub-elements in each summary should be referenced using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each element and sub-element are described in detail in Section 4: Local Plan Requirements of this guide.

Plan updates must include information from the current planning process.

If some elements of the plan do not require an update, due to minimal or no changes between updates, the plan must document the reasons for that.

Multi-jurisdictional elements must cover information unique to all participating jurisdictions.

Element A: Planning Process

Element A Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
A1. Does the plan document the planning process, including ho involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement 44 C		o was
A1-a. Does the plan document how the plan was prepared, including the schedule or time frame and activities that made up the plan's development, as well as who was involved?	Section 1.3, pg 3 – Participating Entities Chapter 4, beginning page 196 – public comment process, stakeholder meeting timeline	
A1-b. Does the plan list the jurisdiction(s) participating in the plan that seek approval, and describe how they participated in the planning process?	Section 1.3, pg 3 – Participating Entities Chapter 4, beginning page 196 – public comment process, stakeholder meeting timeline	

Element A Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met		
A2. Does the plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as businesses, academia, and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(b)(2))				
A2-a. Does the plan identify all stakeholders involved or given an opportunity to be involved in the planning process, and how each stakeholder was presented with this opportunity?	Chapter 4, beginning page 196 – public comment process, external representation on steering committee, regional stakeholder engagement, external stakeholder workshop			
A3. Does the plan document how the public was involved in the drafting stage and prior to plan approval? (Requirement 44 CFR		the		
A3-a. Does the plan document how the public was given the	Section 4.1, page 196			
opportunity to be involved in the planning process and how their feedback was included in the plan?	Annex D, page 436 collected public comments			
A4. Does the plan describe the review and incorporation of exist technical information? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(b)(3))	ing plans, studies, report	s, and		
A4-a. Does the plan document what existing plans, studies, reports and technical information were reviewed for the development of the plan, as well as how they were incorporated into the document?	References are included throughout the document – information used for the plan that was produced since the last update is summarized in: Subsection 1.7.5, pg 11			
ELEMENT A REQUIRED REVISIONS				
Required Revision:				

Element B: Risk Assessment

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met		
B1. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction? Does the plan also include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(2)(i))				
B1-a. Does the plan describe all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s) in the planning area, and does it provide the rationale if omitting any natural hazards that are commonly recognized to affect the jurisdiction(s) in the planning area?	Section 1.4, pg 5 – Hazards listed Earthquake Chapter, Section 3.1, pg 63 Flood Chapter, Section 3.2, pg 92 Landslide Chapter, Section 3.3, pg 129 Severe Weather Chapter (Extreme Heat, Winter Storm, Windstorm, Drought), Section 3.4, pg 147 Volcano Chapter, Section 3.5, pg 165 Wildfire and Wildfire Smoke Chapter Section 3.6, pg 180			

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B1-b. Does the plan include information on the location of each identified hazard?	Subsection 3.1.1 Earthquake Impacts, Location and Extent pg 68	
	Subsection 3.2.1 Flood Impacts, Location and Extent pg 97	
	Subsection 3.3.1 Landslide Impacts, Location and Extent pg 134	
	Subsection 3.4.1 Severe Weather Impacts, Location and Extent pg 153	
	Subsection 3.5.1 Volcano Impacts, Location, and Extent pg 167	
	Subsection 3.6.1 Wildfire and Wildfire Smoke Impacts, Location, and Extent pg 187	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B1-c. Does the plan describe the extent for each identified hazard?	Subsection 3.1.1 Earthquake Impacts, Location and Extent pg 68	
	Subsection 3.2.1 Flood Impacts, Location and Extent pg 97	
	Subsection 3.3.1 Landslide Impacts, Location and Extent pg 134	
	Subsection 3.4.1 Severe Weather Impacts, Location and Extent pg 153	
	Subsection 3.5.1 Volcano Impacts, Location, and Extent pg 167	
	Subsection 3.6.1 Wildfire and Wildfire Smoke Impacts, Location, and Extent pg 187	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B1-d. Does the plan include the history of previous hazard events for each identified hazard?	Subsection 3.1.2 Earthquake Probability and History pg 73	
	Subsection 3.2.2 Probability and History pg 106	
	Subsection 3.3.2 Landslide Probability and History pg 141	
	Subsection 3.4.2 Severe Weather Probability and History pg 155	
	Subsection 3.5.2 Volcano Probability and History pg 174	
	Subsection 3.6.2 Wildfire and Wildfire Smoke Probability and History pg 190	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B1-e. Does the plan include the probability of future events for each identified hazard? Does the plan describe the effects of future conditions, including climate change (e.g., long-term weather patterns, average temperature and sea levels), on the type, location and range of anticipated intensities of identified hazards?	Each Subsection below has a description of expected climate-related future impacts. Subsection 3.1.2 Earthquake Probability and History pg 73 Subsection 3.2.2 Probability and History pg 106 Subsection 3.3.2 Landslide Probability and History pg 141 Subsection 3.4.2 Severe Weather Probability and History pg 155 Subsection 3.5.2 Volcano Probability and History pg 174 Subsection 3.6.2 Wildfire and Wildfire Smoke Probability and History pg 190	
B1-f. For participating jurisdictions in a multi-jurisdictional plan, does the plan describe any hazards that are unique to and/or vary from those affecting the overall planning area?	No hazards are unique to any entity. Differences in risk by entity are explained by individual Risk Ratings and through narrative description. Risk ratings are included in each jurisdictional/district chapter, and are collected in total in Annex C, pg 423	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B2. Does the plan include a summary of the jurisdiction's vulner community from the identified hazards? Does this summary als that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement 4)	o address NFIP-insured s	
B2-a. Does the plan provide an overall summary of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the identified hazards?	Hazard risk scoring by participating entitity are located in tables, and risk levels are included for each hazard description for that entity.	
	Section 5.1.5 City of Farivew jurisdictional chapter, pg 229	
	Section 5.2.5 City of Gresham jurisdictional chapter pg 263	
	Section 5.3.5 City of Troutdale jurisdictional chapter pg. 287	
	Section 5.4.5 City of Wood Village jurisdictional chapter pg. 304	
	Section 5.5.5 Multnomah County jurisdictional chapter pg 351	
	Section 5.6.5 Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts district chapter pg 388	
	Section 5.7.5 Port of Portland district chapter pg 414	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B2-b. For each participating jurisdiction, does the plan describe the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on each participating jurisdiction?	Section 5.1 City of Farivew jurisdictional chaper, pg 212	
	Section 5.2 City of Gresham jurisdictional chapter pg 231	
	Section 5.3 City of Troutdale jurisdictional chapter pg. 265	
	Section 5.4 City of Wood Village jurisdictional chapter pg. 289	
	Section 5.5 Multnomah County jurisdictional chapter pg 306	
	Section 5.6 Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts district chapter pg 353	
	Section 5.7 Port of Portland district chapter pg 390	

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B2-c. Does the plan address NFIP-insured structures within each jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Repetitive loss totals are included in the Local Hazard Analysis subsection under flood for each city or county jurisdiction. Subsection 5.1.4 City of Fairview, pg 220 Subsection 5.2.4 City of Gresham pg 251 Subsection 5.3.4 City of Troutdale pg 276 Subsection 5.4.4 City of Wood Village pg 295 Subsection 5.5.4	
	Multnomah County pg 330	
ELEMENT B REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision:		

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

Element C Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
C1. Does the plan document each participant's existing authorit resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing (Requirement 44 CFR § $201.6(c)(3)$)	· · · · · · ·	
C1-a. Does the plan describe how the existing capabilities of each participant are available to support the mitigation strategy? Does this include a discussion of the existing building codes and land use and development ordinances or regulations?	Building Codes are essentially the same across the jurisdictions. Local differences are noted in jurisdictional chapters. An overview of countywide land use and zoning ordinances and regulations is included in Subsection 2.6.1, Comprehensive Plans and Land Use/Zoning Codes, pg 47 Land Use and Development ordinances are included in each jurisdictional chapter as part of a section called Aligned Plans	

C1.h. Does the plan describe each participant's ability to	and Other Implementation Processes. Special Districts do not have these codes. Subsection 5.1.6, City of Fairview, pg 229 Subsection 5.2.6, City of Gresham, pg 263 Subsection 5.3.6, City of Troutdale, pg 287 Subsection 5.4.6, City of Wood Village, pg 304 Subsection 5.5.6, Multnomah County, pg 352
C1-b. Does the plan describe each participant's ability to expand and improve the identified capabilities to achieve mitigation?	Each jurisdictional/district chapter profile contains profiles and new data initiatives that highlight opportunities in relation to codes, but opportunities are limited by state building code laws
C2. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement 44 CFR	
C2-a. Does the plan contain a narrative description or a table/list of their participation activities?	NFIP and CRS participation are included in the Local Hazard Analysis subsection under flood for each city or county jurisdiction. Subsection 5.1.4 City of Fairview, pg 220

Subsection 5.2.4 City of Gresham pg 251

Subsecton 5.3.4 City of Troutdale pg 276

Subsection 5.4.4 City of Wood Village pg 295

Subsection 5.5.4 Multnomah County pg 330

C3. Does the plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(i))

C3-a. Does the plan include goals to reduce the risk from the hazards identified in the plan?

Goals are shared between all participants in Section 1.9, Plan Mission, Goals, and Objectives pg 13

Risk reduction strategies are included in each jurisdictional/district chapter.

Subsection 5.1.1, City of Fairview, pg 212

Subsection 5.2.1, City of Gresham, pg 231

Subsection 5.3.1, City of Troutdale, pg 265

Subsection 5.4.1, City of Wood Village, pg 289

Subsection 5.5.1, Multnomah County, pg 306

Subsection 5.6.1, Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts, pg 353

Subsection 5.7.1, Port of Portland, pg 390

C4. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(ii))

The ward existing sumanings and initiative action (responsible 11)	
C4-a. Does the plan include an analysis of a comprehensive range of actions/projects that each jurisdiction considered to reduce the impacts of hazards identified in the risk assessment?	Mitigation actions for each participating entity are included in their respective chapter. Mitigation strategies are sorted by hazards mitigated and then by priority scoring. All mitigation strategies across jurisdictions are combined in Annex C, pg 423
	Subsection 5.1.1, City of Fairview, pg 212
	Subsection 5.2.1, City of Gresham, pg 231
	Subsection 5.3.1, City of Troutdale, pg 265
	Subsection 5.4.1, City of Wood Village, pg 289
	Subsection 5.5.1, Multnomah County, pg 306
	Subsection 5.6.1, Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts, pg 353
	Subsection 5.7.1, Port of Portland, pg 390
C4-b. Does the plan include one or more action(s) per jurisdiction for each of the hazards as identified within the plan's risk assessment?	All entities include actions that are multi- hazard and address all identified hazards. All entities have specific actions for hazards that are of particular risk to that entity

(typically rated as of moderate or high risk). C5. Does the plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including a cost-benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii)) C5-a. Does the plan describe the criteria used for prioritizing A prioritization table is actions? included with each action, scoring actions on their equity impact, community/district benefit, cost (ability to fund), risk (concern of hazard(s) addressed), and capacity (time horizon to accomplish) The prioritization methodology is described in the beginning of Chapter 5, pg 209 C5-b. Does the plan provide the position, office, department or Each action includes a agency responsible for implementing/administrating the lead implementation identified mitigation actions, as well as potential funding responsibility, sources and expected time frame? potential funding sources, and implementation methods. Potential agencies or departments that will assist with implementation are also named when relevant. Time frames are described by the Capacity score from the prioritization table, with a description of time horizons on pg 209. **ELEMENT C REQUIRED REVISIONS** Required Revision:

Element D: Plan Maintenance

Element D Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
D1. Is there discussion of how each community will continue purmaintenance process? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(iii))	blic participation in the pl	an
D1-a. Does the plan describe how communities will continue to seek future public participation after the plan has been approved?	Continuing public participation is a Subsection of Chapter 6, Plan Maintenance and Implementation, pg 416 It is also discussed in Section 4.3, pg 207	
D2. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cy 44 CFR \S 201.6(c)(4)(i))		toring,
D2-a. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to track the progress/status of the mitigation actions identified within the Mitigation Strategy, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible for the process?	Continuing processes are described in Section 4.3, Continuing Plan Comment and Further Plan Updates, pg 207.	
	Maintenance meeting schedules are also defined in Section 1.8, Plan Adoption and Implementation, pg 13 and Section 6.1 Continuing Mitigation Planning, pg 416	
D2-b. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to evaluate the plan for effectiveness? This process must identify the criteria that will be used to evaluate the information in the plan, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible.	Continuing evaluation of mitigation planning is described in Section 4.3, Continuing Plan Comment and Further Plan Updates, pg 207.	

Element D Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
D2-c. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to update the plan, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible for the process?	Update processes, on a five-year update cycle, or more frequently, are described in Section 1.8, Plan Adoption and Implementation, pg 13 and Section 6.1 Continuing Mitigation Planning, pg 416	
D3. Does the plan describe a process by which each community the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as co improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement 44 CFR §	mprehensive or capital	ments of
D3-a. Does the plan describe the process the community will follow to integrate the ideas, information and strategy of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms?	Aligned strategic plans and processes are included in each jurisdictional/district chapter. Mitigation actions indicate which plans and strategic processes are aligned with implementation of actions. Plans and strategic initiatives are mentioned as part of participating entities' five-year update information when relevant.	

Element D Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
D3-b. Does the plan identify the planning mechanisms for each plan participant into which the ideas, information and strategy from the mitigation plan may be integrated?	Each jurisdiction/district chapter lists aligned plans and strategic initiatives that can locations where hazard mitigation planning can be promoted or coordinated.	
	Subsection 5.1,6 City of Fairview, pg 229	
	Subsection 5.2,6 City of Gresham, pg 263	
	Subsection 5.3.6, City of Troutdale, pg 287	
	Subsection 5.4.6, City of Wood Village, pg 304	
	Subsection 5.5.6, Multnomah County, pg 352	
	Subsection 5.6.6, Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts, pg 388	
	Subsection 5.7.6, Port of Portland, pg 415	

Element D Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
D3-c. For multi-jurisdictional plans, does the plan describe each participant's individual process for integrating information from the mitigation strategy into their identified planning mechanisms?	Each jurisdictional/district chapter has local information for how mitigation strategies can be implemented, and which strategies relate to which mechanisms.	
	Section 5.1, City of Fairview, pg 212	
	Section 5.2, City of Gresham, pg 231	
	Section 5.3, City of Troutdale, pg 265	
	Section 5.4, City of Wood Village, pg 289	
	Section 5.5, Multnomah County, pg 306	
	Section 5.6, Columbia Corridor Drainage Districts, pg 353	
	Section 5.7, Port of Portland, pg 390	
ELEMENT D REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision:		

Element E: Plan Update

Element E Requirements Location in Plan Met / Not Met (section and/or page number) E1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3)) Development changes E1-a. Does the plan describe the changes in development that for the county as a have occurred in hazard-prone areas that have increased or whole over the last five decreased each community's vulnerability since the previous years are plan was approved? characterized in Subsection 1.7.3, pg 10. Each jurisdictional chapter also includes demographic and development changes that are informing risk. E2. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities and progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3)) Throughout the plan, E2-a. Does the plan describe how it was revised due to impacts, impacts over changes in community priorities? the last five years are described. For the entire county, these changes are described in Section 1.7, Multnomah County Fire Year Mitigation Report 2017-2022, pg Changes to the 2017 plan were also based on stakeholder input and the addition of special districts. These shifting priorities are summarized in Section 1.5, Updates to the 2017 NHMP, pg 6

Element E Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
E2-b. Does the plan include a status update for all mitigation actions identified in the previous mitigation plan?	2017 Mitigation Actions are collected in Annex E, pg 443. Actions which are continuing are noted in the actions themselves. Mitigation successes since the last plan are included in each jurisdiction/district chapter.	
E2-c. Does the plan describe how jurisdictions integrated the mitigation plan, when appropriate, into other planning mechanisms?	Updated schedules of associated plans are included in the Aligned Plans and Other Implementation mechanisms subsections	
ELEMENT E REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision:		

Element F: Plan Adoption

Element F Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
F1. For single-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (Requirement 4	-	pted the
F1-a. Does the participant include documentation of adoption?	To be included when adopted.	
F2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of each jurisdiction officially adopted the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(5))		
F2-a. Did each participant adopt the plan and provide documentation of that adoption?	To be included when adopted.	

Element F Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
ELEMENT F REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision:		

Element G: High Hazard Potential Dams (Optional)

HHPD Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met		
HHPD1. Did the plan describe the incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports and technical information for HHPDs?				
HHPD1-a. Does the plan describe how the local government worked with local dam owners and/or the state dam safety agency?	Dam safety information, including coordination undertaken with ODWR, is in Flood Vulnerability Subsection 3.2.3, pgs 125-126			
HHPD1-b. Does the plan incorporate information shared by the state and/or local dam owners?	Dam risk information from state and federal sources is collected in the Flood Vulnerability Subsection 3.2.3, pgs 125-127			
HHPD2. Did the plan address HHPDs in the risk assessment?				
HHPD2-a. Does the plan describe the risks and vulnerabilities to and from HHPDs?	Risk to High Hazard Dams is included in the earthquake (pg 91) and flood sections (pgs 103 and 108). Vulnerability from dam failure is entirely located in the Flood Vulnerability Subsection 3.2.3, pgs 125-127.			

HHPD Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met		
HHPD2-b. Does the plan document the limitations and describe how to address deficiencies?	A mitigation action is included for the one high-hazard dam that is not owned by the City of Portland (not included in this plan) or the Federal Government (not eligible for FEMA HHPD mitigation).			
HHPD3. Did the plan include mitigation goals to reduce long-term vulnerabilities from HHPDs?				
HHPD3-a. Does the plan address how to reduce vulnerabilities to and from HHPDs as part of its own goals or with other long-term strategies?	The one high hazard dam in Multnomah County relevant to these entities and the HHPD program is the subject of mitigation strategy #18 for Multnomah County (pg 315). Current focus is to coordinate with the dam owner, distribute the Emergency Action Plan, and consider an exercise for evacuating downstream residents.			
HHPD3-b. Does the plan link proposed actions to reducing long-term vulnerabilities that are consistent with its goals?	All mitigation actions are related back to plan goals. Multnomah County mitigation action #18 refers to HHPD relating to goals to protect community systems and lifelines and to address multihazard risks and risks identified through other processes.			

HHPD Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met		
HHPD4-a. Did the plan include actions that address HHPDs and prioritize mitigation actions to reduce vulnerabilities from HHPDs?				
HHPD4-a. Does the plan describe specific actions to address HHPDs?	Multnomah County mitigation strategy 18, pg 315			
HHPD4-b. Does the plan describe the criteria used to prioritize actions related to HHPDs?	Criteria for addressing HHPDs comes from state and federal dam evaluation (pg 126-127) and action planning was developed through coordination with OWRD (pg 125)			
HHPD4-c. Does the plan identify the position, office, department or agency responsible for implementing and administering the action to mitigate hazards to or from HHPDs?	The HHPD action identifies Multnomah County Emergency Management as the initial administering body, in coordination with OWRD and Washington County. As the issue is studied further, following actions could have different implementation bodies.			
HHPD Required Revisions				
Required Revision:				

Element H: Additional State Requirements (Optional)

Element H Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
This space is for the State to include additional requirements		

ANNEX B – Local Adopting Resolutions

to be added