

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

<p>INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTER USE A PENCIL ONLY TO VOTE, BLACKEN THE OVAL (●) COMPLETELY TO THE LEFT OF THE RESPONSE OF YOUR CHOICE. TO WRITE IN A NAME BLACKEN THE OVAL (●) TO THE LEFT OF THE DOTTED LINE AND WRITE THE NAME ON THAT DOTTED LINE.</p>	<p align="center">ATTORNEY GENERAL VOTE FOR ONE HARDY MYERS ----- STATE SENATOR 6TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE GINNY BURDICK -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 10TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE ROGER S. OBRIST MICK WAGNER MIKE SMITH -----</p>
<p align="center">DEMOCRATIC PARTY</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NATIONAL</p> <hr/> <p align="center">UNITED STATES PRESIDENT VOTE FOR ONE LYNDON H. LAROUCHE, JR. AL GORE -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE SENATOR 7TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE KATE BROWN ----- STATE SENATOR 8TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE MARGARET CARTER EVIE CROWELL -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 11TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE MARTIN TAYLOR MARY NOLAN JIM DAVIS JOHN A CALHOUN -----</p>
<p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS 1ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE DAVID WU -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE SENATOR 10TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE AVEL LOUISE GORDLY -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 12TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE CHRIS BECK -----</p>
<p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS 3RD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE JOHN SWEENEY EARL BLUMENAUER -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE SENATOR 11TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE CHRIS GORSEK -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 13TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE DAN GARDNER -----</p>
<p align="center">STATE</p> <hr/> <p align="center">SECRETARY OF STATE VOTE FOR ONE BILL BRADBURY -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE SENATOR 28TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE VERN COOK -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 14TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE DIANE ROSENBAUM -----</p>
<p align="center">STATE TREASURER VOTE FOR ONE RANDALL EDWARDS GARY BRUEBAKER -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 7TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE MITCH GREENLICK -----</p>	<p align="center">STATE REPRESENTATIVE 15TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE STEVE MARCH ----- STATE REPRESENTATIVE 16TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE JEFF MERKLEY -----</p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 17TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LEWIS MARCUS</p> <p>GARY D. HANSEN</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">REPUBLICAN PARTY</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NATIONAL</p> <hr/> <p align="center">UNITED STATES PRESIDENT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GEORGE W. BUSH</p> <p>ALAN KEYES</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 6TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 18TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DEBORAH KAFOURY</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS 1ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>CHARLES STARR</p> <p>ALICE L. SCHLENKER</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 7TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 19TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JO ANN A. BOWMAN</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS 3RD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JEFFERY L. POLLOCK</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 8TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 20TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>MIKE WEATHERBY</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">STATE</p> <hr/> <p align="center">SECRETARY OF STATE VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LYNN LUNDQUIST</p> <p>PAUL DAMIAN WELLS</p> <p>LYNN SNODGRASS</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 10TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 21ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RANDY LEONARD</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">STATE TREASURER VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JON KVISTAD</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 11TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JOHN MINNIS</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 22ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LAURIE MONNES ANDERSON</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">ATTORNEY GENERAL VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>KEVIN L. MANNIX</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE SENATOR 28TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TED FERRIOLI</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 56TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>PAUL ZASTROW</p> <p>BILL BELL</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 7TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>BILL WITT</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 10TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JAN LEE</p> <p>-----</p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 11TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>WARD BARBEE</p> <p>JOAN GARDNER</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 18TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">NONPARTISAN STATE</p> <hr/> <p>JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT POSITION 2 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RANDALL H. NIVEN</p> <p>CHARLEY MERTEN</p> <p>PHILLIP D. HATFIELD</p> <p>GREG BYRNE</p> <p>PAUL J. DEMUNIZ</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 12TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>STEVE TERREAULT</p> <p>JEANNE SCHOEL</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 19TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>IVARS BITANS</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT POSITION 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ROBERT D. (SKIP) DURHAM INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 13TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 20TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>KAREN MINNIS</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT POSITION 6 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>WALLACE P. CARSON, JR. INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 14TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 21ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS POSITION 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RIVES KISTLER INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 15TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SCOTT LUTZ</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 22ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICH SCARIANO</p> <p>EDWIN GOLOBAY</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS POSITION 5 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICK HASELTON INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 16TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 56TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>PATTI SMITH</p> <p>BOB MCFADDEN</p> <p>M. D. VAN VALKENBURGH</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS POSITION 8 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JACK L. LANDAU INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE 17TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>(NO CANDIDATE FILED)</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS POSITION 10 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>REX ARMSTRONG INCUMBENT</p> <p>-----</p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">KIMBERLY C. FRANKEL INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 23 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">EDWARD J. JONES INCUMBENT</p>	<p align="center">COUNTY</p> <hr/> <p>COUNTY COMMISSIONER DISTRICT NO. 1 VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">DIANE LINN</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 7 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">JEROME LABARRE INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 25 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">DOROTHY M. BAKER INCUMBENT</p>	<p>COUNTY COMMISSIONER DISTRICT NO. 3 VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">LISA NAITO</p> <p align="center">RON MC CARTY</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 8 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 26 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">DALE R. KOCH INCUMBENT</p>	<p>COUNTY COMMISSIONER DISTRICT NO. 4 VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">LONNIE ROBERTS SE MILL STREET</p> <p align="center">DIANNA JOYCE ROBERTS NE WASCO STREET</p> <p align="center">DAN OLDHAM</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 9 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">FRANK L. BEARDEN INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 27 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">DOUGLAS G. BECKMAN INCUMBENT</p>	<p>FRANK SHIELDS</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 12 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">JANICE R. WILSON INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 29 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">KEITH E. MEISENHEIMER INCUMBENT</p>	<p align="center">METRO</p> <hr/> <p>METRO COUNCILOR, 5TH DISTRICT VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">ED WASHINGTON</p> <p align="center">REX BURKHOLDER</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 16 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">SUSAN M. SVETKEY INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 31 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">DAVID GERNANT INCUMBENT</p>	<p>METRO COUNCILOR, 6TH DISTRICT VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">ROD MONROE</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 18 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">ELIZABETH WELCH INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 32 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">MERRI SOUTHER WYATT INCUMBENT</p>	<hr/> <p>DISTRICT ATTORNEY VOTEFORONE</p> <p align="center">MICHAEL D. SCHRUNK</p> <hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 20 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">ROBERT W. REDDING INCUMBENT</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 35 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">PAULA J. KURSHNER INCUMBENT</p>	<hr/>
<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 22 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">MARSHALL L. AMITON INCUMBENT</p>	<hr/>	<hr/>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

<p align="center">CITY OF PORTLAND</p> <hr/> <p align="center">MAYOR VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JADA MAE LANGLOSS</p> <p>WENDY MARI LOREN</p> <p>JOHN ERNSBERGER</p> <p>JAKE OKEN-BERG</p> <p>TYRONE WILLIAMS</p> <p>L. E. HUMBLE</p> <p>MELODY F. BERKHEISER</p> <p>BRUCE BROUSSARD</p> <p>BLAKE BYRNE</p> <p>CHARLIE GILBERT</p> <p>SHAUN J. FAIRLEE</p> <p>JASON FLEMING</p> <p>ROBERT L. FORTHAN</p> <p>VERA KATZ</p> <p>VIRGINIA DAVIS</p> <p>WILLIAM J. DOERING</p> <p>SCOTT CONWAY</p> <hr/> <p align="center">COMMISSIONER, POSITION NO. 1 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GLORIA HARRIS</p> <p>JIM FRANCESCONI</p> <p>JOHN KELLEY</p> <hr/> <p align="center">COMMISSIONER, POSITION NO. 4 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TED PICCOLO</p> <p>CHARLIE HALES</p> <hr/>	<p align="center">STATE MEASURES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">SEE TEXT OF MEASURES ON SEPARATE SHEET</p> <hr/> <p align="center">REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY</p> <hr/> <p>77 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: MAKES CERTAIN LOCAL TAXING DISTRICTS' TEMPOR ARY PROPERTY TAX AUTHORITY PERMANENT</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote changes portions of certain local districts' property tax authority from temporary to permanent.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current lo- cal districts' temporary and permanent property tax authority.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p>78 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LENGTHENS PERIOD FOR VERIFYING SIGNATURES ON INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM PETITIONS</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote lengthens period for verifying initiative, refer- endum petition signatures from 15 to 30 days.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current 15- day period for verifying sig- natures on initiative and referendum peti- tions.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p>79 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: INCREASES SIGNATURES REQUIRED TO PLACE INITIATIVE AMENDING CONSTITUTION ON BALLOT</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote increases number of signatures required to place initiative to amend con- stitution on ballot.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects increasing signatures required to place initiative to amend constitution on ballot.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p>80 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: AUTHORIZES USING FUEL TAX, VEHICLE FEES FOR INCREASING HIGHWAY POLICING</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote authorizes using fuel tax, motor vehicle fees for increasing policing of highway system.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects allowing fuel tax, vehicle fee use for increasing polic- ing of highway system.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/>	<p>81 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO LIMIT RECOVERY OF DAMAGES IN CIVIL ACTIONS</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote adds constitu- tional provision allowing legislature to limit recovery of damages in civil actions.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains restric- tions on legislature's authority to limit re- covery of damages in civil actions.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p align="center">REFERENDUM ORDER BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE</p> <hr/> <p>82 REPEALS TRUCK WEIGHT- MILE TAX; ESTABLISHES AND INCREASES FUEL TAXES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote repeals truck weight-mile tax; establishes diesel tax; increases gas tax, registration fees.</p> <p>YES</p> <p align="right">RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains weight- mile highway tax on trucks; rejects increas- ing gas tax, registration fees.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/>
---	---	--

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

PORTLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE	BEAVERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 48J	CENTENNIAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28JT
<p>26-1 PCC GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS: IMPROVING CLASSROOMS AND BUILDINGS, UPGRADING TECHNOLOGY</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall PCC be authorized to issue general obligation bonds for capital construction, technology upgrades and building improvements, not exceeding \$144,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. The cost of the measure to property taxpayers is an estimated average of 13.5 cents per thousand dollars of assessed value over the next 20 years (\$20.25 per year for a home valued at \$150,000).</p> <p>The measure would provide Portland Community College funds for construction of new classrooms and labs, technology upgrades and building improvements to meet increased enrollment over the next 10 years. The measure will enable the college to continue to provide educational opportunities for thousands of students each year. In 1999-2000, PCC will educate more than 90,000 students.</p> <p>Projects include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional classroom and lab space at all campuses to meet enrollment increases of 2 percent per year over the next 10 years • Improved technical classrooms and laboratories at PCC campuses in North, Southeast and Southwest Portland • A library and science labs at the Rock Creek Campus in Washington County to serve an increasing student population • Technology upgrades to meet students' needs and job requirements • Property acquisition • Improvements to facilities to meet safety requirements and prolong building life <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p>34-13 BEAVERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 48J, GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall District 48J be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$149,780,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. If approved, this measure provides funds for capital construction and improvements including: adding classroom space to keep pace with enrollment growth, renovating and improving existing facilities, making site improvements, and purchasing land for future use. Specifically, this measure would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct and furnish two elementary schools and one middle school addition to relieve overcrowding in the elementary and middle schools. • Renovate, upgrade and expand existing buildings to support the educational program and protect the community's investment in these facilities. Funds are expected to allow the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct, furnish, and renovate classrooms and related educational facilities at many existing elementary, middle and Aloha, Sunset and Westview High Schools. • Upgrade electrical wiring and improve student access to technology. • Replace leaking roofs, outdated heating, ventilation, plumbing systems and make other health and safety improvements. • Replace portable buildings and expand support facilities. • Purchase land for future school building use and make site improvements. • Pay associated building and issuance costs. <p>Bonds would mature in 21 years or less from issuance date and may be issued in one or more series.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p>26-4 CENTENNIAL SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall Centennial School District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$31,000,000 to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>If approved, this measure provides funds to add classroom space to keep pace with student enrollment growth and renovate and expand Centennial High School's aging facilities. Specifically, this measure will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct, equip and furnish a new elementary school building to house up to 600 students and relieve overcrowding in the district's other elementary schools • Renovate and expand the high school to protect the community investment in that facility and extend the life of the school at least an additional 30 years. Improvements will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade electrical wiring to improve student access to technology. • Replace outdated heating, ventilation, plumbing systems and make other health and safety improvements. • Construct, equip and furnish new classrooms <p>Bonds will mature in 20 years or less from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

GRESHAM-BARLOW SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10JT	LAKE OSWEGO SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7JT	PORTLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT #1J
<p>26-5 GRESHAM-BARLOW SCHOOL DISTRICT 10JT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall Gresham-Barlow School District 10JT be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$45,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>If approved, bonds will finance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technology infrastructure for all schools and operational efficiencies/facilities upgrades resulting in improved lighting and electrical/data wiring. • Essential renovation and expansion at Gresham High School of the library, cafeteria, classrooms, counseling center, and gymnasium facilities, serving approximately half the District's students; improvements will prolong the useful life of the school and add instructional space. • The construction, equipment, and furnishing of Professional Technical/Learning Centers to relieve overcrowding at the middle and high schools. • The construction, equipment, and furnishing of one or more Early Childhood/Kindergarten Centers to relieve overcrowding. • The construction, equipment, and furnishing of a new West Gresham Grade School to replace that school and provide space for 500 students. • Additional capital improvements that will result in renovated instructional space, property, safety, heating, ventilation, and electrical systems and a central warehouse/office structure. <p>Associated building and bond issuance costs are included.</p> <p>Bonds would mature over a period not to exceed 20 years with repayment structured so that new taxpayers will assist in paying for the bond measure.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p>3-81 LAKE OSWEGO SCHOOL DISTRICT FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION LEVY</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall the District levy \$1.18 per \$1,000 of assessed value to support operations for five years beginning in 2000-01? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>The recently approved local option allows school districts, for the first time in ten years, to ask voters to approve additional operating funds for their local schools.</p> <p>The District will use levy proceeds to maintain current services, rebuild some instructional programs and services eliminated since 1990, and add new programs and teachers.</p> <p>A rate of \$1.18 per \$1,000 of assessed value is estimated to raise \$3,850,000 in 2000-01, \$4,100,000 in 2001-02, \$4,300,000 in 2002-03, \$4,550,000 in 2003-04, and \$4,750,000 in 2004-05, for a total of \$21,550,000 over five years.</p> <p>The amount of revenue the District can receive under this measure, together with State funding, is capped by law. If changes in property values or other factors create the potential for more revenue than allowed, the District would reduce its rate to stay within cap limits. Under current limits, rate reductions are expected in years two through five of the levy period. The annual rate will not exceed \$1.18 per \$1,000 of assessed value.</p> <p>The District will retire debt at the end of the 1999-00 fiscal year, reducing taxes for 2000-01 by approximately \$.60 per thousand of assessed value. The local option levy would produce a maximum net increase in taxes of approximately \$.60 per thousand of assessed value over present rates.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p>26-2 FIVE YEAR LEVY TO RESTORE SCHOOL PROGRAMS; REPLACE OUTDATED TEXTBOOKS</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall District replace textbooks; restore programs; reduce class sizes; levy 75¢ per \$1000 assessed valuation for five years beginning 2000? This measure may cause property taxes to increase by more than three percent.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>Over the past 10 years, students in Portland Public Schools have experienced cuts of 442 teachers, counselors, and librarians, resulting in a loss of programs and increased class sizes. In the same period, the District reduced facility costs, cut central administration costs to 5% of the total District budget, and began updating financial systems.</p> <p>The five year serial levy will prevent further cuts and restore and improve services to students. The funds will be used to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replace outdated science, history and other textbooks that are 10 to 15 years old; • Help restore art, music and other lost basic programs; • Reduce class sizes by hiring and furnishing supplies and training for 170 teachers; • Support summer school for struggling students and other needed programs such as Saturday classes. <p>This levy will produce an estimated \$78.3 million over five years. Estimated total for each year: 2000-01 \$14.1 million; 2001-02 \$15.0 million; 2002-03 \$15.7 million; 2003-04 \$16.4 million; 2004-05 \$17.1 million. The levy is 75¢ per \$1000 assessed value per year. A typical home will pay \$8 per month.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7	CLACKAMAS COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT NO. 1	FULL TEXT OF STATE MEASURES
<p>26-3 REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall Reynolds School District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$56,500,000 to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>If approved, bonds will finance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital repairs and renovations needed district wide, including replacing aging roofs, electrical and plumbing systems, improving air quality, building cafeterias, and other upgrades for special needs students to create safer, more efficient schools. • A neighborhood school, built on district owned land, turning the existing Administration Building into an elementary school to relieve overcrowding. • Using existing land, construction of a safer, more efficient Reynolds Middle School, with a separate wing for alternative high school students and accommodating the central office. • To relieve overcrowding at Reynolds High School, 28 classrooms and an auditorium will be constructed, equipped and furnished, and other improvements. • Upgrading and expanding computer network and hub connections for all schools, increasing students' access to technology. <p>Associated building and bond issuance costs are included.</p> <p>Bonds will mature over a period not exceeding 20 years with repayment structured so that new taxpayers will assist in paying for the bond.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p>3-70 BONDS FOR FIRE DISTRICT FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall Clackamas County Fire District No. 1 be authorized to issue general obligation bonds to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. This measure authorizes the district to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$9 million dollars to expand and upgrade its facilities. If approved, the measure would provide funds to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct a new fire station to replace the Beavercreek station; • Construct new fire stations to serve the Pleasant Valley and Mt. Scott communities; • Acquire land for new stations and district facilities; • Refurbish, upgrade and construct district fire stations, facilities and infrastructure as needed; • Acquire additional emergency response vehicles; • Pay the costs of issuing the bonds. <p>The bonds will mature over a period not exceeding fifteen (15) years from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p align="center">STATE MEASURES</p> <p align="center">REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY</p> <p>77 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: MAKES CERTAIN LOCAL TAXING DISTRICTS' TEMPORARY PROPERTY TAX AUTHORITY PERMANENT</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote changes portions of certain local districts' property tax authority from temporary to permanent.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current local districts' temporary and permanent property tax authority.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Amends Constitution. Adjusts permanent limits on certain local taxing districts' property tax rates to include portions of temporary local option levy approved by voters for the 1997-1998 tax year. Adjustment limited to that portion of the local option levy that was approved in that district as a serial or one-year levy to replace an existing serial or one-year levy. Requires that district's temporary property tax authority be reduced by same amount as adjustment made to district's permanent property tax authority.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: This measure affects both the permanent and local option tax rate limits only of Deschutes County, Linn County and the city of Sweet Home. In the first year, 2000-01, this measure will reduce the total authority for these three government units to impose operating taxes by a total of approximately \$824,581. This measure shifts a part of the temporary local option authority of these three units to permanent rate authority. It requires their total tax authority to be reduced as outlined in the 1997 property tax limitation Measure 50. Deschutes County's total tax authority is estimated to be reduced by \$289,415 in 2000-01. Their local option authority expires beginning the following year, 2001-02. Linn County's tax authority is estimated to be reduced by \$397,118 in 2000-01 and \$72,928 in 2001-02. Their tax authority would increase an estimated \$267,472 in 2002-03, depending upon the growth in property values in the county. The city of Sweet Home's tax authority will be reduced by an estimated \$138,076 in 2000-01, \$100,300 in 2001-02, and \$60,635 in 2002-03. Both Linn County's and the city of Sweet Home's local option authority expires beginning the following year, 2003-04.</p> <p align="right"><i>TEXT OF STATE MEASURE 77 CONTINUES AT THE TOP OF THE NEXT PAGE</i></p>

**BIENNIAL PRIMARY NOMINATING SAMPLE BALLOT
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • MAY 16, 2000**

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES AND MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

*(CONTINUATION OF STATE
MEASURE NUMBER 77)*

In subsequent years, revenue estimates depend on whether local voters renew expiring levies. The level of local option tax authority that voters approve will also affect the total tax revenue effects from this measure. This measure does not affect a taxing district's ability to seek additional local option tax authority from local voters. The dollar amount raised from the new permanent tax rate authority established under this measure cannot be increased beyond the growth in assessed value. These estimates assume an assessed value growth of 5% based on historical trends.

There is no financial effect on state government revenue or expenditures.

**78 AMENDS CONSTITUTION:
LENGTHENS PERIOD FOR
VERIFYING SIGNATURES ON INITIATIVE
AND REFERENDUM PETITIONS**

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote lengthens period for verifying initiative, referendum petition signatures from 15 to 30 days.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current 15-day period for verifying signatures on initiative and referendum petitions.

SUMMARY: Amends Oregon Constitution. The constitution now requires the Secretary of State to determine whether an initiative or referendum petition contains the required number of qualified voters' signatures. The Secretary of State currently must do so within 15 days after the last day the petition may be filed. The measure would extend that period to 30 days after the last day the petition may be filed. The amendment becomes effective June 15, 2000, and applies to petitions filed on or after that date.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: No financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

**79 AMENDS CONSTITUTION:
INCREASES SIGNATURES
REQUIRED TO PLACE INITIATIVE
AMENDING CONSTITUTION ON BALLOT**

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote increases number of signatures required to place initiative to amend constitution on ballot.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects increasing signatures required to place initiative to amend constitution on ballot.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Currently, initiative to amend Oregon Constitution can be placed on the ballot by a petition signed by a number of qualified voters equal to 8 percent of the total number of votes cast for all candidates at last election for Governor. Measure increases number of signatures required to place initiative to amend constitution on ballot to 12 percent of total number of votes cast for all candidates at last election for Governor. Applies to initiative submitted for vote after November 2000 election.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: No financial effect on state government expenditures or revenues.

Counties are estimated to incur an additional \$4,300, statewide, once every two years in additional signature verification costs.

**80 AMENDS CONSTITUTION:
AUTHORIZES USING FUEL TAX,
VEHICLE FEES FOR INCREASING
HIGHWAY POLICING**

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote authorizes using fuel tax, motor vehicle fees for increasing policing of highway system.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects allowing fuel tax, vehicle fee use for increasing policing of highway system.

SUMMARY: Amends Constitution. Currently constitution authorizes use of revenues from fuel tax and motor vehicle fees for: construction, reconstruction, improvement, repair, maintenance, operation, use of public highways, roads, streets, roadside rest areas; administration costs; highway bond retirement; certain parks and recreation costs. Measure authorizes additional use of such revenues to increase policing of public highways, roads, streets, roadside rest areas by sworn law enforcement officers. Requires that such use increase police agency budgets to provide service levels not previously authorized by budgets on measure's effective date.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: This measure, alone, has no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues. It allows the legislature to spend Highway Fund monies on additional highway patrol services. Local governments would also be authorized to spend road funds on additional patrol duties.

**81 AMENDS CONSTITUTION:
ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO
LIMIT RECOVERY OF DAMAGES IN CIVIL
ACTIONS**

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote adds constitutional provision allowing legislature to limit recovery of damages in civil actions.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains restrictions on legislature's authority to limit recovery of damages in civil actions.

SUMMARY: Amends Constitution. Under the Oregon Constitution, the right to jury trial restricts the legislature's authority to limit recovery of some kinds of damages in some civil actions. This measure overrides that restriction by adding a new constitutional provision expressly allowing the legislature to impose limits on damages that may be recovered in any civil action.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: This measure, alone, has no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues. It allows the legislature to limit recovery of damages in civil actions.

REFERENDUM ORDER BY
PETITION OF THE PEOPLE

**82 REPEALS TRUCK WEIGHT-
MILE TAX; ESTABLISHES AND
INCREASES FUEL TAXES**

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote repeals truck weight-mile tax; establishes diesel tax; increases gas tax, registration fees.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains weight-mile highway tax on trucks; rejects increasing gas tax, registration fees.

SUMMARY: Currently, motor carriers pay highway tax based on truck weight, miles driven. Measure repeals weight-mile tax. Establishes diesel fuel tax (29 cents per gallon). Increases gasoline tax by five cents to diesel tax rate. Raises vehicle registration fees. Additional revenue to be used primarily for road and bridge modernization, maintenance, and preservation. Requires licenses for diesel fuel suppliers, distributors, others. Requires recordkeeping, monthly tax reports by licensees. Authorizes transportation department to enforce requirements. Provides remedies for violations, including fines, penalties, criminal sanctions. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: This measure would increase gas tax revenues and expenditures an average of \$140 million per year over five years. Of that increase, \$39 million per year is allocated to counties, \$22.5 million per year to cities, and \$78.5 million per year to the state.

The measure also authorizes the sale of \$600 million in bonds. Debt repayment, including interest, on those bonds is estimated to be \$47 million per year for 15 years. The debt repayment, including interest, is included in the \$78.5 million estimate of state expenditure stated above.