

A stylized graphic on the left side of the slide. It features two dark green mountain peaks of different heights. Below the mountains is a dark green wavy band representing a forest or a body of land. At the bottom is a blue wavy band representing water. The entire graphic is composed of solid-colored shapes with no outlines.

Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment (MCJRP)

2025-2027 Grant

**LPSCC COUNCIL
July 28, 2025**

What we will cover:

- Introduction
- History of the Justice Reinvestment program
- Overview of the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Program (MCJRP) Grant Application
- Budget Overview
- Q&A

VOTE: Approve 2025-2027 Justice Reinvestment Grant Application

Final Grant Application Due July 28, 2025



Between 2000-2010, Oregon's prison rate increased by nearly 50% growing to 14,000 adults in custody with a total biennial corrections budget over \$1.4 billion.

Justice Reinvestment is an approach to spending resources more effectively with the goals of **decreasing prison use, reducing recidivism, protecting the public and holding offenders accountable.**

Oregon's Justice Reinvestment Initiative allows the State to limit prison use and reinvest some of the avoided costs in local public safety systems.

Counties must reduce prison use and recidivism through evidence based practice, while holding offenders accountable and maintaining public safety.

- HB 3194 (2013) establishes the Justice Reinvestment Grant Program (**formula grant**)
- HB 3078 (2017) adds additional grant funding for downward departure programs (**competitive grant**)

LPSCC Justice Reinvestment Steering Committee (established 2012)

- District Attorney Nathan Vasquez
- Chief Criminal Judge Michael Greenlick
- Sheriff Nicole Morrissey O'Donnell
- Deputy Chief Chris Gjovik, Portland Police Bureau
- Grant Hartley, Director Multnomah Metropolitan Public Defenders
- Stacey Reding, Director Multnomah Defenders, Inc
- Denise Peña, Director Dept of Community Justice
- Barb Marcille, Trial Court Administrator
- Alison Noice, CODA Executive Director
- David VanSpeybroeck, Citizen Chair

- Defendants charged with certain felony presumptive prison offenses are eligible
- A validated assessment tool was used pretrial to identify risks and needs; the LS/CMI was the tool selected by the stakeholders
- Parties participated in a judicial settlement conference informed by the LS/CMI
- Enhanced probation supervision for MCJRP participants to ensure community safety and support rehabilitation.



- Reductions in funding through the years have led to changes in program structure and loss of resources including data analyst team
- Indigent defense crisis has resulted in many MCJRP eligible cases unable to move forward unless an attorney is appointed after those offenders have committed additional crimes thereby increasing the likelihood of a prison sentence
- Reduced adherence to LS/CMI requirement since Covid; As of 7/1/25, DCJ no longer produces the LS/CMI for the MCJRP model; DCJ continues to use the LS/CMI post-adjudication
- While still reducing use of prison compared to pre-MCJRP, prison usage and probation revocations leading to increased prison sentences
- Backlog of pending MCJRP court cases has grown and the time it takes to resolve cases (time to disposition) has increased significantly
- Resources are being further restricted at each stakeholder agency



- Small team of stakeholders from JRSC has been meeting since January 2025 to re-envision
- Goals are to decrease reliance on prison while reducing recidivism and probation revocations, and specifically to:
 - Speed up pretrial process – improve time to disposition of these cases
 - Utilize limited resource of judicial settlement conference (JSC) for cases that most benefit
 - Shift DCJ resources to provide intensive supervision for MCJRP probationers



- Presumptive Prison Downward Departure program
- Treatment Readiness Dorn
- Defense-based Social Work teams
- Warm hand-off to Probation supervision and services
- Wraparound services and enhanced supervision

- MCJRP eligibility still identified at case issuing stage (*No Change*)
- After arraignment on indictment and defense attorney appointment cases are sorted into “tracks”
- Purpose of “tracks” is to sort cases into two (2) groups
 - Track 1- cases likely to resolve with a probation sentence with minimal additional information about the defendant
 - Track 2- cases requiring more negotiation and mitigation prior to resolution



- Tracks criteria are objective and based on a combination of charge type and criminal history
- Track sorting focuses resources on cases requiring more information
 - Allows shift of pre-adjudication resources to supervision
 - More efficient use of resources prior to case resolution
 - Quicker time-to-disposition on Track 1 cases

- Pre-Adjudication Reports (available risk tools, supervision summary, eligibility for treatment courts)
- Enhanced supervision (dosage and stabilization)
- Treatment Readiness Model in Community
- Adjusting resources to focus on supervision post adjudication to reduce revocations



Treatment Readiness Dorm (TRD)

Program Overview

- Established May 2016
- TRD at Inverness Jail is an evidence-based behavioral health intervention
- Prepares Adults in Custody (AICs) for post-release treatment and reentry
- Supports Justice Reinvestment Program (MCJRP) and countywide behavioral health goals

Program Goals

- Prepare AICs for substance use and behavioral health treatment post-release
- Promote cognitive-behavioral skill-building and recovery-oriented behavior
- Support stabilization planning and case coordination for successful reentry.
- Reduce recidivism and improve public safety through treatment engagement
- Continue collaboration with MCJRP, courts, and treatment providers



Proposed Adjustment

- MCSO to assume full responsibility for TRD services.
- Transition includes staffing, supervision, and coordination with reentry partners.
- Core services currently delivered by VOA will be replicated under MCSO

Benefits of MCSO Oversight

- **Improved System Alignment:** Streamlined operations under unified jail policies.
- **Enhanced Accountability:** Stronger fiscal and program performance management.
- **Sustainable Staffing:** Corrections Counselors included in MCSO training systems.
- **Cost Efficiency:** Reduced duplication and improved use of internal resources

Counties may retain 3% of awarded funds to evaluate Justice Reinvestment Programs.

Mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative) evaluation of social work support

- How does having a social worker effect participant outcomes (sentencing, re-offending, pretrial/probation compliance)?
- What support do participants receive and what are the challenges?
- What changes do participants undergo as part of the program?



The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission awards biennial grants to counties through their Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC)

- Formula Grant
- Competitive Grant
- Victim Services Grant(s)
- 3% Evaluation Funding



The formula grant funds:

MCJRP Program Staff:

- MCJRP Probation Officers, Partial CJ Manager
- OJD Court Coordinator
- MCJRP Deputy District Attorney
- MCSO Program Administrator
- MCSO Treatment Readiness Dorm Corrections Counselors (2)
- MPD Social Worker and Case Managers (2)
- Partial MCDA Legal Assistant
- Partial PV Deputy District Attorney
- Partial MCJRP Data Analyst (MCDA)
- Partial LPSCC Project Manager
- Partial OJD Data Analyst

Services:

Stabilization Beds/Housing

Clean and Sober Housing

CBT Peer Treatment Readiness



MultCo Funding Request Cap: \$901,945

- \$2,689,708 over available funding
- 90%, 85% and 75% budgets
- 6 Additional Counties Competing this biennium
- Limited to key downward departure personnel

MCJRP Positions funded in the competitive grant:

- Partial PV DDA
- Partial OJD Analyst
- MDI Social Worker and Case Manager
- MPD Case Manager

Funding Type	FY 25-27 Application Amount
Formula	\$6,421,987
Competitive	\$901,945
Evaluation	\$221,448
Victim Services	\$738,159*
Total:	\$8,283,539

1.3 Million starting deficit for 25-27 grant cycle

*Competitive funding allocation for Victim Services will increase total once that amount is awarded.



Justice Reinvestment // 25.27 Funding By Partner - Formula

Organization	Item	Amount
DCJ	3.15 FTE (3.00 PPOs, 0.15 SCJM)	\$1,287,966
LPSCC	0.80 FTE (Grant Project Manager)	\$417,475
MCSO	3.00 FTE (1.00 Program Coordinator, 2.00 Corrections Counselors)	\$1,128,529
MCDA	2.41 FTE (1.37 DDA 2, 0.50 Legal Assistant, 0.54 Research Evaluation Analyst Sr)	\$1,025,703
OJD	1.14 FTE (1.00 Program Coordinator, 0.14 Data Analyst)	\$451,445
Metropolitan Public Defender	2.36 FTE (1.00 Social Worker, 1.36 Case Managers)	\$660,735
Multnomah Defenders, Inc.	0.10 FTE (0.05 Social Worker, 0.05 Case Manager)	\$23,157
Community Organization (Pass-through via DCJ)	Cognitive Behavioral Peer Treatment Readiness	\$789,722
Community Organization (Pass-through via DCJ)	Housing Services	\$637,255
Total:		\$6,421,987



Justice Reinvestment // 25.27 Funding By Partner - Competitive

Organization	Item	Amount
MCDA	0.63 FTE (DDA 2)	\$288,382
Metropolitan Public Defender	0.64 FTE (Case Manager)	\$147,655
Multnomah Defenders, Inc.	1.90 FTE (0.95 Social Worker, 0.95 Case Manager)	\$465,908
Total:		\$901,945



25-27 Formula Funding: \$738,159

25-27 Competitive Funding: TBD

- 10% of JRP award must be dedicated to victim services
- Culturally responsive, historically excluded/underserved
- Administered through LPSCC and DCJ Finance Team

MCJRP Victim Services Providers:

Oregon Crime Victims Law Center: No cost legal representation for victims of crime, primarily domestic and sexual violence

IRCO: Human Trafficking Advocacy Services

Lutheran Community Services, NW: Services and advocacy for victims of crime



PRELIMINARY RFP RELEASED	MARCH 5, 2025
PRELIMINARY GRANT DUE	APRIL 18, 2025
FINAL/COMPETITIVE RFP RELEASED	JUNE 15, 2025
FINAL/COMPETITIVE DUE	JULY 28, 2025
AWARD DECISION	SEPTEMBER 16, 2025
DISBURSEMENT	FALL 2025



