## **Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet**

Important! Please read all Instructions before completing this form. This form is to be used when filing a 'Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet' with your County Elections office. Please note that each county produces a separate County Voters' Pamphlet. If the jurisdiction or district is located in more than one county a separate 'Measure Argument for Voters' Pamphlet' form must be filed and the fee paid to each county where the argument is to be printed.

Filing Information			·
Election: Primary 20	<b>∨</b> General 20 <u>16</u>	Special	
Measure #_26 178	Original Statement	Amended Statem	nent
Order #	Argument in Favor	Argument in Oppo	sition
"This information furnished by" (as it should appear in the Voters' Pamphlet):			
Cascade Policy Institute			
Argument paid for by:			
Cascade Policy Institute			
Name of person or organization paying for arg			
Phone: Cell:	Work: (503) 242-0900	Home:	
Contact information for authorized cha	nges:		SEP F
John A. Charles, Jr.	E-Mail: <sup>j</sup> ¢	Home: ohn@cascadepolic	y.orgy 7
Name of person authorized to make changes t			
Phone: Cell:	Work: (503) 242-0900	Home:	
Filer checklist for Measure Argument for Cou	nty Voters' Pamphlet (VP).		: <b>57</b>
Typewritten & signed Measure Argument form and Argument for County VP.  Fee or certified petition provided.  (If applicable) Endorsement Statement #:  Word Count (325 MAX).			
By signing this document, I (we) hereby state I (we) am (are) responsible for the content of this argument. ORS 251.415			
John A. Charles, Jr.	Mh a.	Charly Jn furnishing argument	09/12/16
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person	furnishing argument	Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person	furnishing argument	Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person	furnishing argument	Date
Organization name person(s) is(are) authorized to represent, if applicable: Cascade Policy Institute			
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further details.			
For Office Use only:		_	7\$ 7
O County: 12.7 C	Required Info?	O No Wo	ord Count (325 max): 283
O Check #: 1/54	Signed? Over Ono	Q Pai	riew Staff Initials:
Amount \$ 400	Endorsements? O Yes # Intake Staff Initials:	_ <del>O No </del>	T C

## Opposition statement to Metro's Five-Year Operating Levy – Measure 26-178

Metro is asking for a new tax levy <u>despite the fact that it already has sufficient funds</u> to operate all its parks.

According to the Metro Auditor, for the 10-year period 2003-2013:

- Total annual revenue for Metro went up by 22%, while expenses increased only 16%
- Annual property revenue increased by 40%
- Excise tax income went up 37%

In more recent years, these trends have actually accelerated. Since 2006, annual property tax revenue collected by Metro has gone up by 122% — from \$28.6 million to \$63.6 million.

Unfortunately, this money is not even being used to improve public access to Metro's parks. In fact, <u>large portions of Metro's 17,000 acres of parklands are not open to use by taxpayers</u>.

Many Metro properties are gated and locked; most have inadequate signage so no one can find them.

At a September town hall meeting in Forest Grove, Metro employees stated that 73-81% of the Chehalem Ridge nature park — Metro's largest single land holding — will be <u>zoned as</u> "conservation areas" and off-limits to human use.

Even if you are lucky enough to find a Metro park, don't even think about bringing your dog. Metro prohibits dogs and other pets on virtually all its parklands.

Since 1995, Metro has spent hundreds of millions of tax dollars buying up large tracts of lands far from where most people live. The Metro Council doesn't want you to use most of these lands, but they do want you to pay for them. This an elitist conception of nature.

Metro is awash in tax money. There is no justification for a new property tax levy, regardless of its stated purpose.

Cascade Policy Institute urges you to vote NO on Measure 26-178.

(Statement submitted by John A. Charles, Jr., President and CEO, Cascade Policy Institute)