

Joint County Voters' Pamphlet Measure Argument

Important! Read all instructions before completing this form.

Please note that each county produces a separate County Voters' Pamphlet. If the jurisdiction/district is located in more than one county, a separate JCVP-03 form must be filed with the appropriate fee or certified petition (JCVP-04) to each county where the Measure Argument is to be printed.

Filing Information

Election Date: November 5, 2024

Amended Statement

Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition

Measure # 26-248

Order # 1

"This information furnished by" (as it should appear in the Voters' Pamphlet) *Name of person required ORS 251.355(2)*

Name of person: Paul Wilcox
(required)

Organization Name:
(if applicable)

Argument paid for or petition provided by:

Paul Wilcox

Email: pauljwilcox@comcast.net

Name of person/organization paying or submitting petition for Measure Argument

Phone Number: 503-758-8199

Contact information for authorized changes:

Paul Wilcox

Email: pauljwilcox@comcast.net

Name of person authorized to make changes to Measure Argument

Phone Number: 503-758-8199

Filer Checklist for Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet (VP)

- Typewritten & signed JCVP-03 form and attached Measure Argument for County VP.
- Fee or JCVP-04 certified petition (Petition ID # _____) provided.
- (If applicable) JCVP-02 Statement of Endorsement(s) #: _____
- Word Count (325 MAX).

By signing this document, I (we) hereby state I (we) am (are) responsible for the content of this argument, (ORS 251.415)

Organization name person(s) is (are) authorized to represent, if applicable:

Paul Wilcox

August 15, 2024

Printed name of person furnishing argument

Signature of person furnishing argument

Date

Printed name of person furnishing argument
(if applicable)

Signature of person furnishing argument
(if applicable)

Date

Printed name of person furnishing argument
(if applicable)

Signature of person furnishing argument
(if applicable)

Date

Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further details.

For Office Use Only:

County: _____

Required info? Yes No

Word Count (325 max): _____

RECEIVED
 AUG 15 10 41 9 AM '24
 CLERK OF COUNTY
 OF CLATSOP

Troutdale voters,

There is absolutely no benefit to Troutdale residents in upholding Ordinance #882 by approving this Measure. The moratorium on "additional" marijuana retailers in the City has been in effect since March 2023. It was enacted as a response to an application that was at risk of approval due to an "inadvertent" omission by the City Planning Division when the Troutdale Development Code underwent revisions changing the format from text-based to a use "table". A "footnote" was left out that prohibited retailers within 1,000 feet of a public park. That has since been corrected.

The "knee-jerk" reaction by Council was to go "nuclear", shutting down the possibility of any future applications until brought before the voters in a General Election. In the meantime, the City has been ineligible for State shared revenues that are collected as taxes from the City's existing marijuana retailer. The City has acknowledged the loss is in the range of \$20,000/year. To put that in perspective, \$25,500+ is budgeted for "Movies in the Park". Of course, as long as that business exists the losses will be on-going. If the expectation is that retail marijuana might someday be gone from Troutdale, it's that particular business "location" that is "grandfathered" to remain eligible, not that specific business. It could be replaced by an entirely different marijuana retailer. It should also be remembered that Troutdale voted in favor of Measure 91 in November 2014. If the Council thought that the election results meant that voters approved of recreational marijuana being legal but opposed it being available within the City limits, the Council could have put a moratorium in effect at that time to be voted on in 2016, but chose not to.

Approval of Measure 26-248 will cost Troutdale government lost income and not eliminate retail marijuana sales.

A NO vote will assure future shared revenues to the City's coffers.

Paul Wilcox

Troutdale