

### Program #50040 - Adult Effective Sanctioning Practices

**Program Contact:** Laura Ritchie 2/24/2014

**Department:** Community Justice

**Program Offer Type: Existing Operating Program** Program Offer Stage: As Requested

**Related Programs:** 

Program Characteristics: In Target

### **Executive Summary**

Research has shown offender behavior change requires a balance of supervision, services and sanctions. Sanctions are a part of sound correctional practice. A Vera Institute study dated December 2007 shows alternative sanctions have a greater impact on offender behavior than jail beds alone. Other studies demonstrate Day Reporting Centers, Community Service and other program-based sanctions result in a decrease in recidivism. This program provides Parole/Probation Officers (PPO) an array of less expensive, more effective sanctioning options than incarceration alone.

## **Program Summary**

Sanctions are imposed by PPOs to address supervision violations. Typically, these violations are not new crimes. Sanctions are used to hold offenders accountable and promote offender behavior change. To be effective, a continuum of sanctioning incorporates options ranging from least restrictive to incarceration. A range of options allows the PPO to impose a sanction equal to the severity of the violation.

This program offer will enable DCJ to provide immediate access to the Day Reporting Center (DRC), Community Service (CS) and Electronic Monitoring (EM) for offenders who would otherwise be sanctioned to jail.

Jail beds are the most expensive sanctioning option available for PPOs and have been shown to be the least effective option for changing offender behavior. Because of the high cost, jail beds should remain available for the highest risk offenders who cannot be managed successfully in the community.

Performance Measures								
Measure Type	Primary Measure	FY13 Actual	FY14 Purchased	FY14 Estimate	FY15 Offer			
Output	Average number of alternative sanctions recommended per month	314	275	300	320			
Outcome	Average number of revocations per month	31	25	30	30			

#### **Performance Measures Descriptions**

## Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2014	2014	2015	2015
Personnel	\$951,907	\$0	\$902,630	\$0
Contractual Services	\$182,089	\$0	\$182,089	\$0
Materials & Supplies	\$31,003	\$0	\$28,670	\$0
Total GF/non-GF	\$1,164,999	\$0	\$1,113,389	\$0
Program Total:	\$1,16	4,999	\$1,113,389	
Program FTE	10.50	0.00	9.50	0.00

Program Revenues						
Total Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

# **Explanation of Revenues**

County General Fund

# Significant Program Changes

Last Year this program was: 50055 Adult Effective Sanctioning Practices

This program offers reflects a decrease of 1.00 FTE that transferred to other DCJ programs in FY 2014.