

### April 1, 2015 CAC Evaluation Results

Rating Scale:

Meeting 1	1	2	3	4	5	Average
The facilitator(s) helped everyone share their ideas.				1	9	4.9
I had enough information to be prepared.			1	3	6	4.5
I understood the information presented.				4	6	4.6
I can easily access project information.			1	3	6	4.5
I have had enough information to participate.			1	1	8	4.7
The meeting made good use of my time.			1	1	8	4.7
The meeting was worthwhile and good ideas came out of it.				2	8	4.8
My opinions and ideas are listened to and used.				2	8	4.8

Comments:

What aspect(s) of the meeting were most useful?	What aspect(s) of the meeting were least useful?	Any additional feedback or comments?
Sharing feedback from subcommittee meetings.	Digressions (although it's important for everyone's ideas to be heard).	
Trying to keep on time. Hard working competent people. Draw well from each other's different strengths.	Small tendency to let direction get soft. Try to find the concrete nub or at least keep direction clear.	Sometimes perhaps too tentative in realizing repetitive or too extensive / expansive discussions.
Review of committee without general comment.	general comment without written opinion.	
Good listening by CAC and staff. Patience and accommodation for people's questions and comments.		Policy editing format (Rich's) is useful.