

ADDENDUM # 3

MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON

April 29, 2015

Address all questions to:
Paula Rickman, Senior Procurement Analyst
Multnomah County Purchasing
501 SE Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 125
Portland, OR 97214
503-988-7544
E-mail: paula.j.rickman@multco.us

RFP NO: 4000003514
TITLE: Architectural and Engineering Services for the Multnomah County Central Courthouse
CLOSING DATE: May 11, 2015 / NOT LATER THAN 4:00 PM

This Addendum is issued to the above referenced RFP to make the following changes, additions, deletions, and/or clarifications:

1. Clarification Question: Regarding Sub-Consultants: Can you please confirm we are only to include recommendations for the primary disciplines listed on Page 20 (3.2.1.c.ix) rather than all of the disciplines listed in Attachment 8 Statement of Work.

Answer: Yes, that is correct.
2. Clarification Question: I am hoping to clarify the submission deadline for comments to the Sample Contract. On page 4 of 38 in the RFP, a timetable outlines a May 1st deadline. However, on page 24 of 38 (section 3.2.8), we also noted that there is an opportunity to include comments to the A/E agreement with the final submission on May 11th. Can you kindly let us know if its possible to submit our comments to the agreement on May 11th with the proposal submission?

Answer: The County encourages you to ask all questions prior to the May 1st deadline so (if the answer isn't found within the solicitation documents) they may be included in addenda form. Item 3.2.8 is an opportunity to specifically call out any items your firm would require modifying within the Attachment 2 Professional Services Contract should your firm be selected.
- 3 Clarification Question: On page 26, section 4.8.2, question 2: what type of documentation does the County prefer for showing proof of Oregon licensed architects on our team? Is the license number included in a resume sufficient? And if you require a copy of current architectural licenses, can they be provided in the appendix/not count toward the page limit?

Answer: License number included in a resume is sufficient.

4. Clarification Question: Attachment 1: do you want this document in the Appendix, or at the beginning of the proposal?

Answer: Please include at the beginning of your proposal.

5. Clarification Question: Can we include tabs, for instance to separate the proposal from the appendix items?

Answer: Yes, that would be fine.

6. Clarification Question: Who are the sub-consultants that are part of the DAY-CPM team?

Answer: Below is a list of these firms:

HDR
Olympic Associates
Lew Bowers
Peter Findley Fry
Peter Meijer and Associates
Hinman Engineering
Jon Bayles Associates
Seft Consulting Group

CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM: _____

c: JD Deschamps, Mike Day
Paula Rickman, Tessa Steele
File