

### Program #50040 - Adult Effective Sanctioning Practices

**Program Contact:** Laura Ritchie 7/21/2015

**Department:** Community Justice

**Program Offer Type: Existing Operating Program** Program Offer Stage: As Adopted

**Related Programs:** 

**Program Characteristics:** 

### **Executive Summary**

Research has shown offender behavior change requires a balance of supervision, services and sanctions. Sanctions are a part of sound correctional practice. A Vera Institute study dated December 2007 shows alternative sanctions have a greater impact on offender behavior than jail beds alone. Other studies demonstrate Day Reporting Centers, Community Service and other program-based sanctions result in a decrease in recidivism. This program provides Parole/Probation Officers (PPO) an array of less expensive, more effective sanctioning options than incarceration alone.

## **Program Summary**

Sanctions are imposed by PPOs to address supervision violations. Typically, these violations are not new crimes. Sanctions are used to hold offenders accountable and promote offender behavior change. To be effective, a continuum of sanctioning incorporates options ranging from least restrictive to incarceration. A range of options allows the PPO to impose a sanction equal to the severity of the violation.

This program offer will enable DCJ to provide immediate access to the Day Reporting Center (DRC), Community Service (CS) and Electronic Monitoring (EM) for offenders who would otherwise be sanctioned to jail.

Jail beds are the most expensive sanctioning option available for PPOs and have been shown to be the least effective option for changing offender behavior. Because of the high cost, jail beds should remain available for the highest risk offenders who cannot be managed successfully in the community.

Performance Measures								
Measure Type	Primary Measure	FY14 Actual	FY15 Purchased	FY15 Estimate	FY16 Offer			
Output	Average number of alternative sanctions recommended per month	243	320	230	250			
Outcome	Average number of revocations per month	26	30	25	25			
Outcome	Average Number of DCJ Jail Bed Days Saved Monthly	973	NEW	1800	1200			

#### **Performance Measures Descriptions**

Savings are calculated based on the benchmark of 445 jail beds occupancy for DCJ clients.

## Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2015	2015	2016	2016
Personnel	\$908,010	\$0	\$903,262	\$0
Contractual Services	\$182,089	\$0	\$198,289	\$0
Materials & Supplies	\$28,670	\$0	\$12,470	\$0
Total GF/non-GF	\$1,118,769	\$0	\$1,114,021	\$0
Program Total:	\$1,118,769		\$1,114,021	
Program FTE	9.50	0.00	9.50	0.00

Program Revenues						
Total Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

# **Explanation of Revenues**

County General Fund

# **Significant Program Changes**

Last Year this program was: FY 2015: 50040 Adult Effective Sanctioning Practices