



MULTNOMAH COUNTY
LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM
1600 SE 190TH Ave, Suite 116, Portland OR 97233
Ph. 503.988.3043 Fax 503.988.3389
www.co.multnomah.or.us/landuse

**NSA
Road/Utility
Expedited
Application**

11#
ZONING 100.00
TOTAL 100.00
0000-001 4/ 9/ 7
5101 CHUCK 9:04AM

PROPERTY

Nearest Address N/A BRIDAL VEIL Nearest Cross Street Historic Columbia

STATE PARK
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (check all that apply) River Highway

- ☐ Road Closure Gates Length _____ Height _____ ft
- ☐ New traffic detection devices, vehicle weighting devices, or signal boxes. (Does not include signs) Size _____ (120 sf max) Height _____ ft (12 ft max)
- ☐ New guardrails, guardrail ends, wire strand or woven wire access control fences.
- ☐ Air, weather, water or similar research & monitoring facility attached to existing structure Size _____ (120 sf max) Height _____ ft (12 ft max)
- ☐ New underground utility facility located inside road, utility, or railroad rights-of-way or previously disturbed easement. Ditch Width _____ (36 in max)
Amount of excavation for non-linear facilities _____ (20 cubic yds. Max)
- ☒ Trail Reconstruction. May include up to 1,000 foot reroute.
- ☐ Decommission non-paved road: Includes ripping road surface, barriers, revegetation
- ☐ Develop new or modify existing aboveground/overhead utility facilities
Size _____ (120 sf max) Height _____ (12 ft. max)
- ☐ Replace existing aboveground/overhead utility facilities in the same location and no more than 15% larger than the existing facilities.
- ☐ New antennas/support structures necessary for public service on existing wireless communication poles and towers if size is minimum necessary to provide the service.
- ☐ Outdoor lights ☐ Other: _____

APPLICANT

Name DIANA ROSS-USDA, FS Phone 541-308-1716
Mailing Address 902 W ASCO AVE. Fax 541-386-1916
City WOOD RIVER State OR Zipcode 97031 e-mail dross@fs.fed.us

OWNER (if work is to occur on private property)

Name KEVIN PRICE Phone 503 695-2261 X222
Address P.O. Box 100 City CORVALLIS State OR Zipcode 97331

I authorize the applicant to make this application.

Kevin Price
Property Owner Signature

If no owner signature above, a letter of authorization from the owner is required. ☐

NOTE: By signing this form, the property owner or property owner's agent is granting permission for Planning Staff to conduct site inspections on the property.

NSA Expedited Application_road

CASE NUMBER <u>12-07-035</u>
State ID # <u>1N5E14-00200</u>
Alt Acct. # <u>2945140040</u>
DATE SUBMITTED <u>4/4/07</u>
PF/PA No. <u>N/A</u>
Related Case No. _____
Zoning <u>GSO</u>
rev. 5/24/2006

Work in Road Rights-of-Way

Type:
☐ State
☐ County
Permit#


**MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON
PROPERTY RECORDS**

Property Information

[Property Information](#)
 [Tax Summary](#)
 [Assessment History](#)
 [Improvement Information](#)
 [New Search](#)
 [Search Results](#)
 [Printable Summary](#)
 [Logoff](#)

Search Results for R322881**Owner Name**
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA %
USDA
Property ID Number

R322881

Owner Address
 902 WASCO AVE
HOOD RIVER, OR 97031
Situs Address

CORBETT, OR 97014

Alternate Account Number

R945140040

Neighborhood

R010

Map Tax Lot

1N5E14 -00200

Levy Code Area - Taxing Districts

073

Portland Maps[Click to Open Map](#)**Information on Ordering Copies**[Click to Open Order Form](#)**Property Description****Exemption**

(1) FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Expiration Date**Tax Roll Description**

SECTION 14 1N 5E; TL 200 40.00 ACRES

Map Number

141N5E 1N5E14 -00200

Parcel**Account Status**

A - Active

Property Use

A - VACANT LAND

Year Built Acreage

40.00

Related Accounts**Linked Accounts****Split/Merge Account****Split/Merge Account Message**

Special Account Information**Sales Information**

Deed	Grantor (Seller)	Grantee (Buyer)	Instrument	Date	Consideration Amount
INST	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	<u>20610554</u>		\$0

2007 Land Information (Unedited and Uncertified)

ID	Type	Acres	Sq Ft
L1	RES - RESIDENTIAL LAND	40.00	

INFORMATION SUBJECT TO DISCLAIMER - SEE [HOME PAGE](#)

© 2007 [Tyler Technologies, Inc. - The Software Group Division](#)

Instructions for applicants:

The checklist below asks you to confirm facts or conditions related to the subject property and your proposal. The numbered paragraphs in bold represent code requirements or criteria for development in the National Scenic Area (NSA). Those criteria are addressed when you check a box below each numbered paragraph. By checking a box, you are confirming that the corresponding statement applies to your project. Staff concurrence is indicated by initials in the boxes along the right column of this form. Please ensure that you check a box under every numbered paragraph or staff will not be able to process this application under the Expedited Review Process.

Scenic Resources

1. Any application involving Interstate 84 must first be reviewed for consistency with the I-84 Corridor Strategy by the ODOT lead I-84 Strategy Team.

Staff initial:

CB

☐ This application does not involve Interstate 84. *The I-84 Corridor Strategy does not apply.*

☒ This application does involve Interstate 84. The proposal has been reviewed for consistency with the I-84 Corridor Strategy by the I-84 Strategy Team. *The proposal is consistent with the I-84 Corridor Strategy.*

Attach agency confirmation

2. The colors of structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall be dark earth-tones found at the specific site or the surrounding landscape. The specific colors or list of acceptable colors shall be included as a condition of approval. This guideline shall not apply to additions, which may match the color of existing buildings.

Staff initial:

CB

☐ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure on land that is not topographically visible from a Key Viewing Area (KVA). The KVA(s) the structure is visible from are Sandy R, Columbia, Bridal Veil, Hcrt. The attached site plan illustrates how the structure is topographically screened from these KVA(s).

This criterion has been met. Crown Pt, PDX Women's Forum, Rooster Rock, I-84, SR14, Larch Mt. Rd.

Note to applicant: Show on the site plan the location of the terrain feature or landform that screens the structure with arrows identifying the vantage point from which the site is viewed from the KVA(s).

See NSA Handout #4: Expedited Development Review Process, for list of KVAs

Attach plan

☒ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure on land that is topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the above ground portion of the structure will be dark earth tones that are found at the site or surrounding landscape. *This criterion has been met. - screened by Trees*

Attach color chip(s) & photo(s) of structure & surrounding landscape

3. Structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall use low or non-reflective building materials.

Staff initial:

CB

☐ The application does not involve a structure that is topographically visible from a key viewing area. *This criterion has been met.*

☒ The application includes structure(s) that are topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached samples, the above ground portions of the proposed structure(s) will use low or non-reflective building materials. *This criterion has been met.*

**Attach
building
material
samples**

4. Outdoor lights shall be directed downward and sited, hooded, and shielded such that they are not highly visible from key viewing areas. Shielding and hooding materials shall be composed of non-reflective, opaque materials.

Staff initial:

CB

☒ The application does not include outdoor lights. *This criterion is not applicable.*

☐ The application includes outdoor lights. As shown in the attached specification sheet, the proposed lights will be hooded and shielded and are composed of non-reflective, opaque materials. A site plan and/or elevation drawings shows the location of the lighting. Based on these drawings the lighting will not be highly visible from key viewing areas. *This criterion has been met.*

**Attach spec
sheet here**

5. Structures within 1/2-mile of a key viewing area and topographically visible from the key viewing area shall be sited, screened and/or designed to achieve the applicable scenic standard (e.g., visual subordination, not visually evident).

Staff initial:

CB

☒ The application does not involve a structure that is within 1/2-mile of and topographically visible from a key viewing area. *This criterion is not applicable.*

☐ The application includes structure(s) that are within 1/2-mile of and topographically visible from (a) key viewing area(s). As shown on the attached site plan, and exterior architectural elevations or rendered photo, the proposed structure(s) will be sited, screened, and/or designed so that it achieves the standard of: ☐ visual subordination, or ☒ not visually evident

**Attach
elevations or
photo of
structure**

Explain how standard is achieved.

This criterion has been met.

**See NSA
Handout #5:
Designing
for Approval**

Recreation Resources

6. The development shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of established recreation sites on adjacent parcels.

☐ The attached site plan labels the uses on adjacent parcels. There is no established recreation site on an adjacent parcel. *This criterion is not applicable.*

☒ The attached site plan labels show that the property is adjacent to at least one established recreation site, but does not detract from the use and enjoyment of the site. The proposed development will not generate noise, dust, or odors at levels significant enough to impact the use. Also, the site plan shows that the proposed development would not interfere with access to the adjacent recreation site(s). *This criterion has been met. will enhance recreation - trail*

Staff initial:

CB

Label
adjacent
uses on
attached
site plan

Cultural Resources

7. The expedited development review process shall only be used to review proposed development that does not require a reconnaissance survey or historic survey.

Note to applicant: If an Indian tribe sends a letter in response to the application indicating that the proposal affects a treaty right or cultural resource, then the application can not be reviewed using the expedited development review process.

Reconnaissance Survey

Proposed development does not require a reconnaissance survey if it meets any of the following (check at least one that applies):

- ☐ Is limited to the modification, expansion, replacement, or reconstruction of existing buildings and structures.
- ☐ Will not disturb the ground (e.g. new overhead wires on existing poles)
- ☐ Occurs on a site that was previously disturbed by human activities where the depth and extent of the grading does not exceed prior ground disturbance.
- ☐ Involves minor ground disturbance, as defined by depth and extent (e.g. fence construction, installation of new meter, etc.)

Width _____ x Length _____ x Depth _____

Note to applicant: The project will not qualify for expedited review if the Gorge Commission disagrees that the activity results in minor disturbance.

☒ Occurs on a site that has been adequately surveyed in the past, or has been identified by the Gorge Commission, USFS Archaeologist, or private archaeologist as having a low probability of containing cultural resources.

This criterion has been met. - see attached

Staff initial:

CB

Show area
and type of
disturbance
on plan

Attach survey

Historic Survey

A historic survey is not required for the following activities (check at least one):

- ☒ There are no structures 50 years old or older on the property.
- ☐ There is/are structures 50 years old or older; however, the application does not alter the structure(s), nor does it compromise features of the surrounding area that help define the historic character of the structure(s).

This criterion has been met. - see attached

Natural Resources

8. The development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. This guideline shall not apply to development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.

- ☐ The proposal is for development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. *This criterion is not applicable.*

☒ As shown on the attached site plan, proposed development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. *The criterion has been met.*

9. The development will not adversely impact sensitive wildlife or plant species or is at least 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. This guideline shall not apply to development that does not disturb the ground or is located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.

- ☐ As shown on the attached site plan and confirmed by planning staff, the proposed development is over 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. *This criterion has been met.*

- ☐ The proposed development does not disturb the ground or is inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements or other areas that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. *This criterion is not applicable.*

☒ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of a known sensitive wildlife area or site, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (for GMA lands) or U.S. Forest Service (SMA lands) has determined that the area or site is not active, that development will not compromise the integrity of the wildlife area or site, or that development will not occur during a time of year that the wildlife species are sensitive to disturbance. *-see attached review form*

- ☐ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of known sensitive plants, a representative of the Oregon Natural Heritage Program or an expert in botany or plant ecology has determined that development will not occur within 200 feet of a sensitive plant species.

Staff initial:

CB

Show rights-of-way or easement boundary on site plan

Staff initial:

CB

See land use staff for agency contacts

Attach agency confirmation

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION

In accepting this application for expedited review, the Planning Director is granting preliminary approval of the development. The Gorge Commission, U.S. Forest Service, Indian tribal governments, and property owners within 750 feet of the subject tract will be given 14 days to provide comments. If no comments are received, the decision shall become final at the close of business on the 14th day. If substantive written comments are submitted, the Planning Director will either modify the decision to address the comments and re-issue it for a 14-day appeal period or re-direct the application to full review if comments establish that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review.

Comments must be directed to the applicable approval criteria. Those in **bold** above are listed in §38.7100 of the County code. Failure to provide comments during the comment period will preclude a right to appeal.

Conditions/Limitations of Approval

1. If, during construction, cultural or historic resources are discovered, the applicant/owner shall immediately cease development activities and inform the Multnomah County Land Use Planning Division, Columbia River Gorge Commission, and the U.S. Forest Service of any discovery pursuant to MCC 38.7045(L) & (M), or MCC 38.7050(H) as applicable. Once halted, construction activities shall not resume until these standards have been satisfied.
2. Approval of this land use permit is based upon the statements made in this application and attached materials. No work shall occur under this permit other than that which is specified in these documents.
3. Development of structures must be commenced within 2 years of the date of this decision, and completed within 2 years of the date of commencement. The property owner may request an extension of either of these timeframes, as provided in MCC 38.0700. Such a request must be made prior to expiration of the permit.

This decision is final at the close of the comment period unless comments are received. If no comments are received, the effective date of the decision is April 23, 2007

o

FOR STAFF USE

At close of the comment period (check one that applies): C. Beasley 7/25/07

- ☒ No substantive written comments were received. The decision is final.
- ☐ Substantive written comments were received. The Planning Director will issue a letter addressing the comments and may modify this preliminary decision.
- ☐ Written comments were submitted showing that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review. The project will be reviewed using the full development review process.

Any comments received are included in the County records for this application.