

MULTNOMAH COUNTY LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM 1600 SE 190<sup>TH</sup> Ave, Suite 116, Portland OR 97233 Ph. 503.988.3043 Fax 503.988.3389 www.co.multnomah.or.us/landuse

NSA Residential
13/2/02/12 11 23AM 000001 #2676
Expedited 0011 KATHY

0011 KATHY Application PERMITS-TYPE 2 \$100.00 CHECK \*100.00

PROPERTY 365 Th Ave Site Size For Staff Use Address // C. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (check all that apply) (200 sf max)Height Size ☐ Addition or covered deck: NUMBER Existing Floor Area \_\_\_\_\_ (500 sf min) Existing Height \_\_\_\_ ft (greater than proposed) T2:2012 021 84 ☐ Accessory structure: Size \_\_\_\_\_ (60 to 200 sf) Height \_\_\_\_ ft (10 ft max) State ID # ☐ Uncovered, attached deck: Size \_\_\_\_ (500 sf max) Height \_\_\_ inches (30" max) Structure Type \_\_\_\_\_ Age \_\_\_\_ (less than 50 yrs) Alt Acct.# ☐ Demolition: □ Rail, solid, or semi-solid fence: Height \_\_\_\_ ft (6' max) Length \_\_\_\_ (100' max) DATE ☐ Wire strand fence: Height \_\_\_ ft (greater than 4') Length \_\_\_ (greater than 500') **SUBMITTED** 3.20-2012 ☐ Woven wire fence: Agricultural enclosure only

Area fenced \_\_\_\_\_\_(80 ac max) ZONING ☐ Pave existing dirt/gravel road: All work to occur within existing road prism 56R.5 ☐ Decommission non-paved road: Includes ripping road surface, barriers, revegetation MCC CITATION ☐ Retaining wall: Height \_\_\_\_ ft (2'max exposed surface) Length \_\_\_\_ (100' max) (For Qualifying Use) □ Outdoor lights: Other: Neights added to Existing Dwelling Related Case No APPLICANT Open UR/ZV Name Ken & Rita Ficeher Phone 507.473.7252 Mailing Address 700 NE 365 F97 Fax City Corpett State OR Zipcode 97019 e-mail rev. 5/16/2008 OWNER Phone Name Zipcode City State Street Address

Property Owner Signature

I authorize the applicant to make this application.

If no owner signature above, a letter of authorization from the owner is required.  $\square$ NOTE: By signing this form, the property owner or property owner's agent is granting permission for Planning Staff to conduct site inspections on the property.

The checklist below asks you to confirm facts or conditions related to your property and your proposal. The numbered paragraphs in bold represent code requirements or criteria for development in the National Scenic Area (NSA). Those criteria are addressed when you check a box below each numbered paragraph. By checking a box, you are confirming that the corresponding statement applies to your project. Staff concurrence is indicated by initials in the boxes along the right column of this form. Please ensure that you check a box under every numbered paragraph or staff will not be able to process this application under the Expedited Review Process. The NSA Handout #4: Expedited Development Review Process that accompanies this form explains each of the criteria.

## Scenic Resources

1. In the General Management Area, the scenic resource protection criteria shall not apply to woven-wire fences for agricultural use that would enclose 80 acres or less.

☐ This application includes new woven-wire fencing on property that is within the General Management Area (i.e. it is in a zone district that begins with "GG") and the fencing is for agricultural use and encloses an area that is less than 80 acres in size. The scenic resource criteria do not apply to the new woven wire fence.

[Proceed to the Recreational Resource criteria (page 4) if this application is only for fencing. Otherwise, respond to the remaining scenic criteria for other uses or development that are eligible for expedited review.

- ☐ This application is not for new woven wire fencing. The scenic resources criteria are applicable.
- 2. The colors of structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall be dark earth-tones found at the specific site or the surrounding landscape. The specific colors or list of acceptable colors shall be included as a condition of approval. This guideline shall not apply to additions, which may match the color of existing buildings.

Staff initial:

See NSA

Handout #4:

Development Review

Process, for

list of KVAs

Expedited

Staff initial:

The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure that is not topographically visible from a Key Viewing Area (KVA). The KVA(s) the structure is visible from are

The attached site plan

illustrates how the structure is topographically screened from these KVA(s).

Note to applicant: Show on the site plan the location of the terrain feature or landform that screens the structure with arrows identifying the vantage point from which the site is viewed from the KVA(s).

Attach plan

The application is for an addition. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the addition will match the color of the existing buildings. This criterion has been met.

Attach color chip & photo of existing building

☐ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure that is topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the proposed addition will be dark earth tones that are found at the site or surrounding landscape. This criterion has been met.	Attach color chip(s) & photo(s) of structure & surrounding landscape
<ol> <li>Structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall use low or non-reflective building materials, including roofing, gutters, vents, and chimneys.</li> </ol>	Staff initial:
The application does not involve a structure that is topographically visible from a key viewing area. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	
☐ The application includes structure(s) that are topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached samples, the proposed structure(s) will use low or non-reflective building materials. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	Attach building material samples
4. Outdoor lights shall be directed downward and sited, hooded, and shielded such that they are not highly visible from key viewing areas. Shielding and hooding materials shall be composed of non-reflective, opaque materials.	Staff initial:
☐ The application does not include outdoor lights. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	
The application includes outdoor lights. As shown in the attached specification sheet, the proposed lights will be hooded and shielded and are composed of non-reflective, opaque materials. A site plan and/or elevation drawings shows the location of the lighting. Based on these drawings the lighting will not be highly visible from key viewing areas. This criterion has been met. No Additional Lights for posed	Attach spec sheet here
5. Structures within ½-mile of a key viewing area and topographically visible from the key viewing area shall be sited, screened and/or designed to achieve the applicable scenic standard (e.g., visual subordinance, not visually evident).	Staff initial:
The application does not involve a structure that is within ½-mile of and topographically visible from a key viewing area. This criterion is not applicable.	
The application includes structure(s) that are within ½-mile of and topographically visible from (a) key viewing area(s). As shown on the attached site plan, and exterior architectural elevations or rendered photo, the proposed structure(s) will be sited, screened, and/or designed so that it achieves the standard of: □ visual subordinance, or □ not visually evident	Attach elevations or photo of structure
Explain how standard is achieved.	See NSA
	Handout #5: Designing
This criterion has been met.	for Approval

Necreation Nesotrices	
6. The development shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of established recreation sites on adjacent parcels.	Staff initial:
The attached site plan labels the uses on adjacent parcels. There is no established recreation site on an adjacent parcel. <i>This criterion is not applicable.</i>	Label adjacent
The attached site plan labels show that the property is adjacent to at least one established recreation site, but does not detract from the use and enjoyment of the site. The proposed development will not generate noise, dust, or odors at levels significant enough to impact the use. Also, the site plan shows that the proposed development would not interfere with access to the adjacent recreation site(s). This criterion has been met.	uses on attached site plan
Cultural Resources	
7. The expedited development review process shall only be used to review proposed development that does not require a reconnaissance survey or historic survey.	Staff initial:
<u>Note to applicant:</u> If an Indian tribe sends a letter in response to the application indicating that the proposal affects a treaty right or cultural resource, then the application can not be reviewed using the expedited development review process.	
Reconnaissance Survey	
Proposed development does not require a reconnaissance survey if it meets <u>any</u> of the following (check at least one that applies):	
Is limited to the modification, expansion, replacement, or reconstruction of existing buildings and structures.	
☐Will not disturb the ground (e.g. storage shed without a foundation)	In Company and Company and the
☐Occurs on a site that was previously disturbed by human activities where the depth and extent of the grading does not exceed prior ground disturbance.	Show area and type of disturbance
☐ Involves minor ground disturbance, as defined by depth and extent (e.g. fence construction, footings for a deck, etc.)	on plan
Widthx Lengthx Depth	
Note to applicant: The project will not qualify for expedited review if the Gorge Commission disagrees that the activity results in minor disturbance.	
Occurs on a site that has been adequately surveyed in the past, or has been identified by the Gorge Commission, USFS Archaeologist, or private archaeologist as having a low probability of containing cultural resources.	Attach survey

NSA Expedited Application\_res.doc

This criterion has been met.

				•
Historic Survey				
A historic survey	is not required for	r the following activ	vities (check at lea	st one):
There are no str	ructures 50 years o	old or older on the	property.	•
not alter the	e structure(s), n	old or older; howe or does it comp ne the historic chara	romise features	of the
This criterion has b	een met.			
	Natura	al Resources		
	ne shall not apply -way or easemen	ones for wetlands, s to development loc ts that have been	ated inside road,	utility or
2		been previously		

Staff initial:

Show rightsof-way or easement boundary on site plan

Staff initial:

KC

As shown on the attached site plan and confirmed by planning staff, the proposed development is over 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. This criterion has been met.

previously disturbed and regularly maintained.

As shown on the attached site plan, proposed development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. *The criterion has been met*.

9. The development will not adversely impact sensitive wildlife or plant species or is

at least 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. This guideline shall not apply to development that does not disturb the ground or is located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been

☐ The proposed development does not disturb the ground or is inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements or other areas that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. *This criterion is not applicable*.

Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of a known sensitive wildlife area or site, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (for GMA lands) or U.S. Forest Service (SMA lands) has determined that the area or site is not active, that development will not compromise the integrity of the wildlife area or site, or that development will not occur during a time of year that the wildlife species are sensitive to disturbance.

☐ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of known sensitive plants, a representative of the Oregon Natural Heritage Program or an expert in botany or plant ecology has determined that development will not occur within 200 feet of a sensitive plant species.

See land use staff for agency contacts

Attach agency confirmation

## **NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION**

In accepting this application for expedited review, the Planning Director is granting preliminary approval of the development. The Gorge Commission, U.S. Forest Service, Indian tribal governments, and property owners within 750 feet of the subject tract will be given 14 days to provide comments. If no comments are received, the decision shall become final at the close of business on the 14th day. If substantive written comments are submitted, the Planning Director will either modify the decision to address the comments and re-issue it for a 14-day appeal period or re-direct the application to full review if comments establish that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review.

Comments must be directed to the applicable approval criteria. Those in **bold** above are listed in MCC 38.7100 of the County code. Failure to provide comments during the comment period will preclude a right to appeal.

## Conditions/Limitations of Approval

- 1. If, during construction, cultural or historic resources are discovered, the applicant/owner shall immediately cease development activities and inform the Multnomah County Land Use Planning Division, Columbia River Gorge Commission, and the U.S. Forest Service of any discovery pursuant to MCC 38.7045(L) & (M), or MCC 38.7050(H) as applicable. Once halted, construction activities shall not resume until these standards have been satisfied.
- 2. Approval of this land use permit is based upon the statements made in this application and attached materials. No work shall occur under this permit other than that which is specified in these documents.
- 3. Development of structures must be commenced within 2 years of the date of this decision, and completed within 2 years of the date of commencement. The property owner may request an extension of either of these timeframes, as provided in MCC 38.0700. Such a request must be made prior to expiration of the permit.

This decision is final at the close of the comment period unless comments are received. If no comments are received, the effective date of the decision is \_\_\_\_\_\_.

COI	nments are received, the effective date of the decision is	
	FOR STAFF USE	
At	close of the comment period (check one that applies):	Staff initi
	No substantive written comments were received. The decision is final.	
-	Substantive written comments were received. The Planning Director will issue a letter addressing the comments and may modify this preliminary decision.	Date:
	Written comments were submitted showing that the proposed development is not el for expedited review. The project will be reviewed using the full development revie process.	U
An	y comments received are included in the County records for this application.	
	Fill out NSA DR Database Form for Gorge Commission and include copy with file.  A Expedited Application res.doc	Page 6 of 6



Property Information

Property Information

Tax Summary Assessment History

Improvement Information

New Search Search Results

Printable Summary

Logoff

Search Results for R322752

**Owner Name** 

FISCHER, KENNETH S &

MCCORD, RITA Y

**Property ID Number** 

R322752

**Owner Address** 

710 NE 365TH AVE

CORBETT, OR 97019-9626

**Alternate Account Number** 

R944350700

Map Tax Lot

1N4E35BC -00300

**Portland Maps** 

Click to Open Map

Situs Address

710 NE 365TH AVE CORBETT, OR 97019

Neighborhood

R020

Levy Code Area - Taxing Districts

074

**Information on Ordering Copies** 

Click to Open Order Form

Map Number

**Property Description** 

Exemption

**Expiration Date** 

Tax Roll Description

SECTION 35 1N 4E, TL 300 2.50 ACRES

351N4E

1N4E35BC -00300

Parcel

**Account Status** A - Active

**Property Use** 

Year Built

Acreage

**B - RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED** 

1979

2.50

**Related Accounts** 

**Linked Accounts** 

Split/Merge Account

Split/Merge Account Message

**Special Account Information** 

**Sales Information** 

Consideration Grantee Grantor **Instrument Date** Deed (Seller) (Buyer) **Amount** WD SCHOPPERT, DEBRAL FISCHER, KENNETH S 2011107194 09/28/11 \$275,000. \$0 **INST** SCHOPPERT, DEBRA L SCHOPPERT, DEBRA L 98237358


## 2012 Land Information (Unedited and Uncertified)

IDTypeAcresSq FtL1RES - RESIDENTIAL LAND2.50

INFORMATION SUBJECT TO DISCLAIMER - SEE HOME PAGE

© 2012 Tyler Technologies, Inc. - The Software Group Division

Sheet 167



SCALE: 1" - 1'-0"  $\bigoplus$ 9 NEW FRONT DOOR PICTURE MOTURE  $\bigoplus$ F) HENOVE EXISTING DOORS A LIMNG ROOM PLOOK LEVEL 4 BASENENT FLOOR LEVEL CANG BOOM STOCK TEAST OF OF OR OF REMODEL OF THE FISCHER / McCORD RESIDENCE FINNE MATTH AVE.
CORRECT, OR FIND Date: 2-28-12 CHRIS DAVIS DESIGN ARCHITECTURE & INTERIORS 345 ne 496 avenur, ninten 400ktani), omconvarii 400 mender 9 EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS © 2012 CHRES DAYIS DESIGN AND SHIFTCHERS & INTERIORS

