

## MEETING SUMMARY: Presentation of Draft Plan #2

---

**Project:** Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area/Transportation System Plan Update

**Date:** 7/15/2014

**Time:** 6:00-8:30

**Location:** Sauvie Island Academy 14445 NW Charlton Rd. Portland, OR 97231

**Present:** Community Advisory Members, Multnomah County staff, Doug Zenn – Zenn Associates, General Public

---

The following is a brief meeting summary that highlights the major items discussed and agreed upon action items that were identified during the two meetings that were to discuss the draft policies to be included in the Sauvie Island Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.

### Introduction:

The meeting began with Doug Zenn (facilitator), introducing the meeting and its intention. The following issues, topics, and concerns were raised:

- Maia Hardy presented a quick PowerPoint regarding the comment board that was placed at the park and ride lot.
- Kevin gave an overview of the next steps for the Planning Commission hearings and then Board of Commissioners.
- Kevin indicated that policy language had changed after County internal and legal review. Policies have been shortened in order to not read like code language. Many similar policies were consolidated.
- Concern raised that policy language changed considerably.
- General policies are intended to provide flexibility when it is time to write code.
- CAC members are upset and concerned about significant changes to policy text.
- Concern that Comp plans must be clear.
- Draft plan may not meet the requirements for a plan because policies are not clear.
- Policies are meant to be read together.

### Summary of Public Comments:

- Vision statement is pearl of document.
- Citizen believes that metrics belong in the Rural Area Plan – believes it should look like a strategic plan.
- Policy language is confusing.
- Comment that community is not being heard.
- Why isn't all the information from the background reports included in the Rural Area Plan main document?
- Staff is accountable to the community.
- Increasing shoulder width will just encourage bicyclists to ride 5 abreast instead of 3 abreast.
- Increasing road widths will just encourage more traffic.
- Farm stands may double the amount of business they are doing and this will lead to even more traffic.
- Request that plan be very specific with respect to policies about live-aboard boats.
- Policies need to be more specific to be legally defensible and provide guidance.
- Policies are not providing clear guidance.

- Policies don't reflect the input of the CAC and community and don't meet the intent of the Oregon Land Use Program.

CAC Comments:

- We had good progress and now it seems gone with this plan – very disappointed in it. Rug pulled out from us.
- We need an action plan not a meaningless document.
- Member disagrees with staff stance on marinas – wanted county to stand against the state instead of agree with the state.
- Plan is embarrassment.
- Plan is worthless without accompanying draft code language.
- Plan is bare bones at best.
- Plan should not move forward at this point.

*\*Meeting audio available upon request\**