

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

NATIONAL	NATIONAL (CONTINUED)	STATE (CONTINUED)
<p align="center">UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT</p> <p>Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.</p> <hr/> <p align="center">VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DEMOCRAT</p> <p>U.S. President, JOHN F. KERRY U.S. Vice President, JOHN EDWARDS</p> <p>PACIFIC GREEN</p> <p>U.S. President, DAVID COBB U.S. Vice President, PATRICIA LA MARCHE</p> <p>CONSTITUTION</p> <p>U.S. President, MICHAEL ANTHONY PEROUTKA U.S. Vice President, CHUCK BALDWIN</p> <p>LIBERTARIAN</p> <p>U.S. President, MICHAEL BADNARIK U.S. Vice President, RICHARD V. CAMPAGNA</p> <p>REPUBLICAN</p> <p>U.S. President, GEORGE W. BUSH U.S. Vice President, DICK CHENEY</p> <hr/>	<p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, 1ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GOLI AMERI Republican</p> <p>DEAN WOLF Constitution</p> <p>DAVID WU Democrat</p> <hr/> <p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, 3RD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TAMI MARS Republican</p> <p>DALE WINEGARDEN Constitution</p> <p>EARL BLUMENAUER Democrat</p> <p>WALTER F. (WALT) BROWN Socialist</p> <hr/> <p align="center">UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, 5TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JERRY DEFOE Libertarian</p> <p>DARLENE HOOLEY Democrat</p> <p>JIM ZUPANCIC Republican</p> <p>JOSEPH H. BITZ Constitution</p> <hr/> <p align="center">STATE</p> <hr/> <p align="center">SECRETARY OF STATE VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>BETSY L. CLOSE Republican</p> <p>RICHARD MORLEY Libertarian</p> <p>BILL BRADBURY Democrat</p> <hr/>	<p align="center">STATE TREASURER VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RANDALL EDWARDS Democrat</p> <p>JEFF CATON Republican</p> <p>CAROLE D. WINEGARDEN Constitution</p> <p>MITCH SHULTS Libertarian</p> <hr/> <p align="center">ATTORNEY GENERAL VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICHARD D. HAKE Constitution</p> <p>PAUL CONNOLLY Republican</p> <p>HARDY MYERS Democrat</p> <p>DONALD G. SMITH, JR. Libertarian</p> <hr/> <p align="center">STATE SENATOR, 14TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RYAN DECKERT Democrat</p> <p>JAY OMDAHL Republican</p> <hr/> <p align="center">STATE SENATOR, 18TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JOHN WIGHT Republican</p> <p>ROGER F. GARCIA Libertarian</p> <p>GINNY BURDICK Democrat</p> <hr/>

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

STATE (CONTINUED)	STATE (CONTINUED)	STATE (CONTINUED)
<p>STATE SENATOR, 21ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>PAUL DEPARRIE Constitution</p> <p>THERESA (DARKLADY) REED Libertarian</p> <p>KATE BROWN Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 31ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ELIZABETH (BETSY) JOHNSON Democrat</p> <p>RON ROSS Constitution</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 38TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GREG MACPHERSON Democrat</p> <p>ERNEST C. RICHARDSON Constitution</p> <p>CHRISTOPHER RICHTER Libertarian</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE SENATOR, 22ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>MARGARET CARTER Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 33RD DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DAVID E. LONG Libertarian</p> <p>THOMAS E. HUMPHREY, JR. Constitution</p> <p>MITCH GREENLICK Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 41ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RITA LYNN Constitution</p> <p>CAROLYN TOMEI Democrat</p> <p>STEVEN D. ROWE Republican</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE SENATOR, 23RD DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>AVEL LOUISE GORDLY Democrat</p> <p>LOU BURBACH Constitution</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 35TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>CODY MATTERN Libertarian</p> <p>DIANE MANDAVILLE Independent</p> <p>SUZANNE GALLAGHER Republican</p> <p>LARRY GALIZIO Democrat</p> <p>RONALD BROWER Constitution</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 42ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SUSAN DETLEFSEN Libertarian</p> <p>CHARLES P. FALL Pacific Green</p> <p>RONALD L. (RON) MORGAN Socialist</p> <p>DIANE ROSENBAUM Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE SENATOR, 25TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LAURIE MONNES ANDERSON Democrat</p> <p>RON SUNSERI Republican</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 36TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>H. JOE TABOR Libertarian</p> <p>MARY NOLAN Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 43RD DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SHIRLEY (WHITEHEAD) FREEMAN Republican</p> <p>CHIP SHIELDS Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 27TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>MARK HASS Democrat</p> <p>CHRISTI FELDEWERTH Libertarian</p> <p>GABE SCHOMUS Republican</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>-----</p>	<p>-----</p>

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

STATE (CONTINUED)	STATE (CONTINUED)	NONPARTISAN STATE JUDICIARY
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 44TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GARY D. HANSEN Democrat</p> <p>AARON L. HUDDART Republican</p> <p>ROBERT MORTON Constitution</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 49TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>KAREN MINNIS Republican</p> <p>ROB BRADING Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, POSITION 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DARLEEN ORTEGA Incumbent</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 45TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JACKIE DINGFELDER Democrat</p> <p>JORDANA SARDO Freedom Socialist</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 50TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JOHN LIM Republican</p> <p>ALLAN PAGE Constitution</p> <p>JIM BUCK Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 15 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ALICIA A. FUCHS Incumbent</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 46TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ERIC DICKMAN Libertarian</p> <p>WILLIAM (BILL) C. CORNETT Republican</p> <p>STEVE MARCH Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 51ST DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LINDA FLORES Republican</p> <p>KATHRYN FIRESTONE Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 20 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ERIC BLOCH Incumbent</p> <p>-----</p> <p>JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, 4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 25 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>KATHLEEN DAILEY Incumbent</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 47TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>FRANK CLEYS Republican</p> <p>JEFF MERKLEY Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 52ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>WAYNE KUECHLER Democrat</p> <p>PATTI SMITH Republican</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>NONPARTISAN COUNTY</p> <p>COUNTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT NO. 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RON MCCARTY</p> <p>LISA NAITO</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 48TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DAVE MOWRY Republican</p> <p>MIKE SCHAUFLENER Democrat</p> <p>-----</p>		<p>METRO</p> <p>METRO COUNCILOR, DISTRICT NO. 6 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ROBERT LIBERTY</p> <p>ROD MONROE</p> <p>-----</p>

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**EAST MULTNOMAH SOIL AND
WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

**DIRECTOR, ZONE 1, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

XANDER PATTERSON

**DIRECTOR, ZONE 2, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

NO CANDIDATE FILED

**DIRECTOR, AT LARGE, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

CHRIS RUNYARD

SCOTT FORRESTER

**WEST MULTNOMAH SOIL AND
WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

**DIRECTOR, ZONE 1, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

NO CANDIDATE FILED

**DIRECTOR, ZONE 2, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

NO CANDIDATE FILED

**DIRECTOR, ZONE 3, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

GEORGE A. SOWDER

**DIRECTOR, AT LARGE, 4-YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR ONE**

ELIZABETH CALLISON

TERRI PREEG RIGGSBY

**INTERLACHEN WATER PEOPLE'S
UTILITY DISTRICT**

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 1
VOTE FOR ONE**

ROB JOHNSON

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 5
VOTE FOR ONE**

PATRICK A. DAVIES

**ROCKWOOD WATER PEOPLE'S
UTILITY DISTRICT**

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 1
VOTE FOR ONE**

HERB BROWN

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 2
VOTE FOR ONE**

DONALD JAMES MCCARTHY

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 3
VOTE FOR ONE**

STEVE MCELROY

MELISSA (MISSY) YOUNG

**DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 4
VOTE FOR ONE**

SANDRA K. RAMAKER

CITY OF FARIVIEW

**CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 1
FOUR YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR 1**

JAMES R. RAZE

**CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 2
FOUR YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR 1**

BARBARA E. JONES

HELEN I. MAGUIRE

**CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 3
FOUR YEAR TERM
VOTE FOR 1**

LARRY COOPER

KEN QUINBY

CITY OF GRESHAM

**COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 1
VOTE FOR ONE**

KARYLINN ECHOLS

STEVEN T. HOILAND

**COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 3
VOTE FOR ONE**

CYNTHIA HOPKINS

SHIRLEY R. CRADDICK

R. H. (BOB) PUNG, SR.

**COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 5
VOTE FOR ONE**

PAUL WARR-KING

SHARON PETRI

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO	CITY OF MILWAUKIE	CITY OF TROUTDALE
<p align="center">MAYOR, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">JUDIE HAMMERSTAD</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 1 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR 1</p> <p align="center">CARLOTTA COLLETTE</p> <p align="center">MIKE STACEY</p> <p align="center">SARAI ST. JULIEN</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">MAYOR, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">JIM KIGHT</p> <p align="center">BARBARA KYLE</p> <p align="center">NORMAN D. THOMAS</p> <p align="center">PAUL THALHOFER</p> <p align="center">ROMAN YORK</p> <p align="center">-----</p>
<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR THREE</p> <p align="center">JOHN TURCHI</p> <p align="center">ELLIE MCPEAK</p> <p align="center">FRANK GROZNIK</p> <p align="center">PETER STIDD</p> <p align="center">-----</p> <p align="center">-----</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 3 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR 1</p> <p align="center">JOE LOOMIS</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 2, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">MIKE GOSS</p> <p align="center">DAVID RIPMA</p> <p align="center">-----</p>
<p align="center">CITY OF MAYWOOD PARK</p> <p align="center">CITY COUNCIL THE TWO CANDIDATES RECEIVING THE MOST VOTES WILL BE GIVEN FOUR-YEAR TERMS; THE REMAINING CANDIDATE WILL SERVE A TWO-YEAR TERM. VOTE FOR 3</p> <p align="center">JAMES S. AKERS</p> <p align="center">ARTHUR J. WINSLOW</p> <p align="center">STEVEN GOTHRO</p> <p align="center">-----</p> <p align="center">-----</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY OF PORTLAND</p> <p align="center">MAYOR VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">JIM FRANCESCONI</p> <p align="center">TOM POTTER</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 4, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">ROBERT CANFIELD</p> <p align="center">PAT SMITH</p> <p align="center">-----</p>
	<p align="center">COMMISSIONER, POSITION NO. 1 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">SAM ADAMS</p> <p align="center">NICK FISH</p> <p align="center">-----</p> <p align="center">COMMISSIONER, POSITION NO. 4 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">RANDY LEONARD</p> <p align="center">-----</p>	<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 6, 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p align="center">DOUG DAOUST</p> <p align="center">TOM BEVERLY</p> <p align="center">-----</p>

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE	STATE MEASURES	STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)
<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 2 FOUR YEAR TERM VOTE FOR 1</p> <p>MARK CLARK</p> <p>PATRICIA A. SMITH</p> <p>-----</p>	<p align="center">REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY</p> <p>31 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: AUTHORIZES LAW PERMITTING POSTPONEMENT OF ELECTION FOR PARTICULAR PUBLIC OFFICE WHEN NOMINEE FOR OFFICE DIES</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote amends constitution to authorize law providing that an election for a particular public office may be postponed when nominee for that office dies.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing law, which contains no provision permitting postponement of an election for a particular public office when nominee for that office dies.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Current law does not provide for the enactment of a law postponing an election for a particular public offices when a nominee for that office dies. Measure authorizes the legislature to enact a law permitting postponement of an election for a particular public office when a candidate nominated for that office dies; in that circumstance, the legislature may enact a law: (1) allowing the postponement of the regularly scheduled election for the office in question; (2) allowing the office in question to be filled at a subsequent election; and (3) prohibiting the votes cast for candidates at the regularly scheduled election for the office in question from being considered. Measure does not affect election process for other candidates or measures on the ballot.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.</p>	<p align="center">PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION</p> <p>33 AMENDS MEDICAL MARIJUANA ACT: REQUIRES MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES FOR SUPPLYING PATIENTS/CAREGIVERS; RAISES PATIENTS' POSSESSION LIMIT</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote amends Medical Marijuana Act: requires creating marijuana dispensaries to supply patients/caregivers; allows dispensary/caregiver sales to patients; increases amount patients may possess.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current Oregon Medical Marijuana Act, which allows registered patients to possess limited amounts of marijuana for medical purposes, and prohibits marijuana sales.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Oregon Medical Marijuana Act currently allows registered patients to possess/deliver/produce limited amounts of marijuana for medical purposes. Current law prohibits all marijuana sales, including sales to patients. Measure creates licensing program for nonprofit, regulated medical marijuana dispensaries, which may supply six pounds marijuana yearly per patient. Permits dispensaries to sell marijuana to registered patients/caregivers; percentage of proceeds funds program. Requires dispensaries to provide indigent patients marijuana. Requires county health departments in counties without licensed dispensaries to become dispensaries and supply marijuana to registered patients. Allows designated caregivers to sell marijuana to their registered patients. Increases marijuana registered patients may possess to ten mature plants, any number seedlings, one pound usable marijuana (six pounds if patient grows only one crop yearly). Other provisions.</p>
<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 3 FOUR YEAR TERM VOTE FOR 1</p> <p>DAVID M. FULLER</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>32 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: DELETES REFERENCE TO MOBILE HOMES FROM PROVISION DEALING WITH TAXES AND FEES ON MOTOR VEHICLES.</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote allows taxes and fees on mobile homes to be used for nonhighway purposes.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains restriction on use of taxes and fees on mobile homes.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure authorizes expenditure of taxes and fees on mobile homes for nonhighway purposes. Under current law, taxes and fees on mobile homes are required to be spent for highway or administrative purposes, but may also be used for park purposes.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.</p>	<p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: The measure would require state expenditures of \$340,000 to \$560,000 per year on a recurring basis, with additional one-time start-up costs of \$135,000. All but \$75,000 of these costs may be offset by fees to be established by the Department of Human Services as provided in the measure. The financial effect on local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined.</p>
<p align="center">CITY COUNCIL, POSITION 5 FOUR YEAR TERM VOTE FOR 1</p> <p>TODD STURDAVANT</p> <p>-----</p>		

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

34 REQUIRES BALANCING TIMBER PRODUCTION, RESOURCE CONSERVATION/PRESERVATION IN MANAGING STATE FORESTS; SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSES TWO FORESTS

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires managing state forests balancing, as equally beneficial, conservation/preservation and timber production; manages Tillamook, Clatsop forests half for restoration, half for production.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current law allowing mixed use state forest management; rejects: requiring management that values conservation and production equally, separately managing Tillamook, Clatsop Forests.

SUMMARY: Current law directs that Board of Forestry manage all state forests to maximize "permanent value" (defined by board) through mixed use, including timber sales, mining, protecting, conserving, utilizing forests. Measure requires management defining "permanent value" as balancing sustainable timber production with water, wildlife, watershed protection, recreation, forest restoration, considering resource conservation equally beneficial to timber production. Manages Tillamook, Clatsop Forests half for forest restoration, prioritizing drinking water, habitat, fish protection; half for sustainable timber production, with restoration management steps recommended by restoration science team. Addresses using timber revenues for common School Fund, forest restoration management (board providing additional funding as needed); continues current local school funding levels. Measure declares it replaces any other management plan for Clatsop, Tillamook Forests adopted in 2004 election. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: With respect to the Tillamook and Clatsop State Forests:

The measure is estimated to increase state expenditures by \$1.5 million to \$6.3 million per year;

The measure is estimated to decrease state revenue by \$4.2 million to \$10.3 million per year;

The measure is estimated to require approximately \$2 million of one-time state expenditures;

The measure is estimated to decrease revenues for local governments by \$17.2 million to \$19.4 million per year; and

There is no financial effect on local government expenditures.

The impact of the measure on other state forests cannot be determined.

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

35 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITS NONECONOMIC DAMAGES (DEFINED) RECOVERABLE FOR PATIENT INJURIES CAUSED BY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER'S NEGLIGENCE OR RECKLESSNESS

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote limits recovery of noneconomic damages (defined) for negligent or reckless injury to patient by healthcare provider to \$500,000 (adjusted annually for inflation).

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current law, which places no limit on jury's award of noneconomic damages (defined) for injury caused by negligence, recklessness of healthcare provider.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Under current law, there is generally no limit on jury's award of noneconomic damages to patient, patient's legal representative, or patient's spouse for injury caused by healthcare provider. Measure limits recovery of noneconomic damages for negligent or reckless injury caused by an Oregon licensed healthcare provider or healthcare entity to \$500,000. Defines noneconomic damages to include pain; mental suffering; emotional distress; loss of society, companionship, services; loss of sexual relations; inconvenience; interference with normal and usual activities apart from employment. Specifies formula to adjust for inflation annually. Limitation applies regardless of extent of injuries, number of people entitled to damages, or number of defendants sued. Does not apply to wrongful death claims. Applies to suits filed after January 1, 2005. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

36 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: ONLY MARRIAGE BETWEEN ONE MAN AND ONE WOMAN IS VALID OR LEGALLY RECOGNIZED AS MARRIAGE

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote adds to Oregon constitution declaration of policy that only marriage between one man and one woman is valid or legally recognized as marriage.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing constitution without a provision declaring that only marriage between one man and one woman is valid or legally recognized as marriage.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Oregon statutes currently provide that marriage is a civil contract entered into in person between individuals of the opposite sex, that is, between males and females at least 17 years of age who solemnize the marriage by declaring "they take each other to be husband and wife." The existing Oregon Constitution contains no provision governing marriage. Currently, the State of Oregon recognizes out-of-state marriages that are valid in the state where performed, unless the marriage violates a strong public policy of Oregon. Measure adds to Oregon Constitution a declaration that the policy of the State of Oregon and its political subdivisions is that "only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or legally recognized as a marriage."

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

37 GOVERNMENTS MUST PAY OWNERS, OR FORGO ENFORCEMENT, WHEN CERTAIN LAND USE RESTRICTIONS REDUCE PROPERTY VALUE

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires that governments pay owners, or forgo enforcement by repealing, changing, not applying restrictions, when certain land use restrictions reduce owners' property value.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects requiring that governments pay owners or forgo enforcement by repealing, changing, not applying restrictions, when certain land use restrictions reduce property value.

SUMMARY: Currently, Oregon Constitution requires government(s) to pay owner "just compensation" when condemning private property or taking it by other action, including laws precluding all substantial beneficial or economically viable use. Measure enacts statute requiring that when state, city, county, metropolitan service district enacts or enforces land use regulation that restricts use of private real property or interest thereon, government must pay owner reduction in fair market value of affected property interest, or forgo enforcement. Governments may repeal, change, or not apply restrictions in lieu of payment; if compensation not timely paid, owner not subject to restrictions. Applies to restrictions enacted after "family member" (defined) acquired property. Creates civil right of action including attorney fees. Provides no new revenue source for payments. Certain exceptions. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The measure would require state administrative expenditures to respond to claims for compensation of between \$18 million and \$44 million per year.

The measure may require compensation to landowners. The amount of state expenditures needed to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.

There is no financial effect on state revenues.

The measure would require local government administrative expenditures to respond to claims for compensation of between \$46 million and \$300 million per year.

The measure may require compensation to landowners. The amount of local government expenditures needed to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.

The effect of the measure on local government revenues cannot be determined.

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

38 ABOLISHES SAIF; STATE MUST REINSURE, SATISFY SAIF'S OBLIGATIONS; DEDICATES PROCEEDS, POTENTIAL SURPLUS TO PUBLIC PURPOSES

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote abolishes SAIF; state must reinsure, satisfy SAIF's current obligations (including pending policyholder claims against SAIF); dedicates proceeds, potential surplus to specified public purposes.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains law authorizing SAIF, a public corporation, to sell and administer workers compensation insurance and to administer an accident fund for that purpose.

SUMMARY: State Accident Insurance Fund (SAIF) is a public corporation selling, administering workers compensation insurance, and administering accident fund for that purpose. Measure abolishes SAIF. Requires state to assume SAIF's authority over accident fund; reinsure fund; satisfy SAIF's obligations under its existing policies; use fifty percent of any excess surplus (meaning any funds exceeding reserves and surplus necessary to satisfy future liabilities) to satisfy policyholder claims in litigation before October 2003; transfer forty percent of any excess surplus to new fund; sell SAIF's assets; transfer proceeds to same fund; and reinsure, otherwise resolve SAIF's remaining liabilities. Dedicates new fund to supporting schools, local law enforcement; providing medications to seniors, medically needy; promoting job growth. Requires certain reports to legislature regarding rates for insurance premiums. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The measure would reduce state revenue by approximately \$405 million per year and would reduce state expenditures by approximately \$301 million per year due to the elimination of SAIF.

The measure would require additional state government expenditures of \$1.8 million to \$5.5 million per year on a recurring basis with an additional one-time expenditure of \$2.2 billion to \$2.4 billion.

There will be a one-time increase of state revenues of \$32.6 million from sale of real property.

The measure would require local government expenditures of \$2.6 million to \$10.5 million per year on a recurring basis.

There is no financial effect on local government revenues.

COUNTY

26-57 MAJORITY VOTE REQUIRED TO ELECT MULTNOMAH COUNTY OFFICERS

QUESTION: Shall all County elected officials be required to receive a majority of the votes cast?

SUMMARY: The measure amends the Charter. It resolves an inconsistency in the current Charter which requires fewer votes to be elected to a full term board position than the majority vote required for other officers, or for board members for a partial term. The measure repeals the inconsistent provision. The result is that in all elections for County officers, a majority of the votes cast are required for election. The measure takes effect on November 3, 2004.

26-58 SALARY COMMISSION

QUESTION: Should the Salary Commission set County Chair and Commissioner salaries?

SUMMARY: The Charter permits the county board to set chair and commissioner salaries not exceeding the salaries recommended by the salary commission. The auditor appoints the five-member salary commission. The measure amends Charter Section 4.30 to require the salary commission to set the county chair and commissioner salaries. It takes effect on November 3, 2004.

26-59 MULTNOMAH COUNTY LOBBYIST

QUESTION: Should County Charter be amended to repeal bar on county paid lobbyist?

SUMMARY: This amends county Charter. The Charter Review Committee favors passage. The measure allows the county to employ a lobbyist. The Charter now bars a paid county lobbyist. This applies to the state and federal legislatures. County services and programs depend on many decisions made in Salem as well as federal funding. The county cannot proactively represent its interests. All other counties and cities may have paid lobbyists. The measure repeals Charter Section 6.50(2). It takes effect on November 3, 2004.

26-60 COUNTY TERM LIMITS

QUESTION: Shall County Charter's limit on voters ability to elect a person to more than two four-year terms be repealed?

SUMMARY: The Charter limits public service in county elective offices. No person can serve more than two four-year terms. Voters cannot decide to keep a person in office. The measure repeals Charter Section 6.50(3). It takes effect on November 3, 2004.

COUNTY (CONTINUED)

26-61 MULTNOMAH COUNTY OFFICIAL RUNNING FOR OFFICE MIDTERM

QUESTION: Shall County elected officials be allowed to run for another elective office in midterm?

SUMMARY: The measure amends the Charter. It allows county elected officials to file for another office without ending their current term of office. The Charter now treats filing as a resignation resulting in ending county elected terms, creating office vacancies and causing more elections to fill offices. The measure takes effect on November 3, 2004.

26-62 CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION TERMS

QUESTION: Shall County Charter be amended reducing term of Civil Service Commission members?

SUMMARY: The Charter now provides that the term of office of each member of Multnomah County's Civil Service Commission be six years, with the term of one member of the commission expiring every two years. This measure amends the Charter to reduce the term of office from six years to three years, with one member's term expiring each year.

26-63 NOMINATION OF REPLACEMENT CANDIDATES AFTER PRIMARY

QUESTION: Shall the County select replacement candidates after primary in accordance with current state law?

SUMMARY: The measure amends the Charter. It is a housekeeping measure. Currently the Charter provides that if a candidate dies, withdraws, or becomes otherwise ineligible on or after the primary election date; candidates for the office shall be nominated by petition or assembly in the manner provided by state law for the nomination of independent candidates. State law does not provide a manner for selection of independent candidates. The measure takes effect on November 3, 2004.

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

COUNTY (CONTINUED)

26-64 REPEALS 2004, 2005 COUNTY INCOME TAX FOR SCHOOLS, OTHER SERVICES

QUESTION: Shall Multnomah County's voter approved three-year 1.25% income tax for schools and services be repealed for 2004 and 2005?

SUMMARY: On May 20, 2003, Multnomah County voters approved Measure 26-48 enacting a three year 1.25% income tax for county schools, health and senior care and public safety. The tax is on income earned in 2003, 2004, and 2005. Law creates citizen's oversight committee to review how school districts spend county tax revenues and requires independent performance audits. Citizen's committee issued report and audits are ongoing.

This initiative measure would repeal the county's temporary income tax for 2004 and 2005, with tax on personal income earned during calendar year 2003 remaining due and payable. Provides no replacement funding.

The major effect of this initiative measure would be

- a loss to Multnomah County public schools of about \$90 million in 2004-2005 and \$90 million in 2005-2006 school years for services such as teachers, programs and instructional days;
- a loss of approximately \$32 million each year for public safety and health and senior care (including prescription drug benefits for low income seniors, senior and disabled housing and mental health care for low income people).

EAST MULTNOMAH SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

26-71 EAST MULTNOMAH SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT PERMANENT RATE LIMIT

QUESTION: Shall the District be authorized to have a permanent rate limit of \$0.10 per \$1,000 assessed value beginning FY 2005-2006?

SUMMARY: This permanent rate limit will enable the East Multnomah Soil and Water Conservation District to provide education and technical assistance to urban and rural residents, farmers, businesses, municipalities, and others to meet rising legal and public expectations for healthy and sustainable land management. The District does not make or enforce regulations.

The permanent rate limit will support current District programs including Naturescaping for Clean Rivers, conservation technical assistance, help for landowners navigating regulations, and cost-share funding for conservation projects. The District may purchase conservation easements in ecologically sensitive areas, provide youth education, make capital expenditures, and develop new programs to improve the quality of our streams and natural habitat for fish, wildlife, and people.

This measure establishes a permanent rate limit of \$.10 per \$1,000 of assessed value. It will cost the owner of a property valued at \$200,000 a maximum of \$20.00 per year and yield an estimated \$2,862,200 to the District. The District may levy a lower rate. This permanent rate is an upper limit that by law can never be raised.

TUALATIN VALLEY FIRE & RESCUE

34-94 LOCAL OPTION LEVY RENEWAL FOR FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

QUESTION: Shall TVF&R renew fire and emergency medical levy at \$.25 per \$1,000 assessed value for five years, beginning FY2005-2006?

SUMMARY: Tualatin Valley Fire & Rescue (TVF&R) provides fire and emergency medical response services to approximately 418,000 people. TVF&R responded to 30,413 emergency calls in 2003.

In 2000, voters approved a four-year local option levy of \$.25 per \$1,000 assessed value to supplement TVF&R's permanent tax rate. This levy expires June 30, 2005.

If approved, this measure would continue the \$.25 per \$1,000 levy for an additional five years. The levy would retain the 33 firefighters and paramedics and six support staff authorized by voters in 2000. These personnel respond to fires and medical emergencies, and support incident analysis, training, technology, and accounting functions.

Levy revenues would also pay for firefighting apparatus, safety equipment, and technology improvements.

If approved, a typical homeowner would pay \$46 in 2005. Assumes assessed value \$182,000; market value \$252,000.

Estimated revenues over five-year period: \$41,316,000. Estimated revenues for each year of levy:

- \$7,568,000 in 2005-2006
- \$7,900,000 in 2006-2007
- \$8,248,000 in 2007-2008
- \$8,611,000 in 2008-2009
- \$8,989,000 in 2009-2010

CITY OF GRESHAM

26-65 CLARIFICATION OF CHARTER PROVISION REGARDING MAJOR ROADS AND HIGHWAYS

QUESTION: Shall the charter be amended to clarify when voter approval is required on city road and transportation projects?

SUMMARY: The current charter provides that "no limited access road or highway of four lanes or more shall be constructed" in Gresham without prior voter approval of both the location and design of the project. Absent voter approval, the city is also prohibited from "promoting or even acquiescing" in such a project. The provision is the result of an initiative petition process in 1996, and has caused confusion in its interpretation and its application to city transportation projects since that time. The measure clarifies that voter approval is required only when a freeway-type project is at issue. Language relating to promoting or acquiescing in a construction project is deleted to avoid unnecessary impacts to non-freeway road and transportation projects in the city and adjacent areas. References to state highway projects that have not received full funding or met final environmental requirements have been deleted as no longer relevant. The measure would take effect on January 1, 2005.

CITY OF GRESHAM (CONTINUED)

26-66 ADDITION OF CITY AUDITOR POSITION TO CITY CHARTER

QUESTION: Shall a City Auditor position that reports directly to the city council be added to the City Charter?

SUMMARY: This measure was recommended by the Charter Review Committee and amends the charter to create the position of City Auditor. Like the city manager and city attorney, the auditor would report directly to the city council. This reporting relationship is designed to ensure independence of the auditor functions. The position is geared towards management, performance, and efficiency audits. It is not a substitute for the formal government finance audits that are required annually by Oregon law and completed by outside accounting and auditing firms. The measure would take effect January 1, 2005.

26-67 COUNCIL COMPENSATION CHARTER AMENDMENT

QUESTION: Shall the mayor and council be compensated for the services they provide the city?

SUMMARY: This measure amends the City Charter to authorize payment of a monthly stipend to the mayor and council for the services they provide to the city. Under the proposal, the mayor would receive \$1,500 per month; each councilor would receive \$1,000 per month. These stipends would be adjusted annually as warranted by the consumer price index. The measure would take effect at the commencement of the new fiscal year, July 1, 2005.

26-68 CHARTER AMENDMENT TO REPEAL LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF CITY EMPLOYEES

QUESTION: Shall the Charter be amended to repeal the provision that limits the number of city employees based on city population?

SUMMARY: The current charter limits the number of full-time city employees based on a ratio of 6.5 employees per each 1,000 of city population. Repeal of the limitation was recommended by the Charter Review Committee (CRC) and approved by city council. The measure would take effect on January 1, 2005.

26-69 REMOVAL OF TIME LIMITATION ON APPOINTMENT OF MANAGER PRO TEM

QUESTION: Shall the six-month time limitation on appointment of a pro tem city manager be removed?

SUMMARY: The current city charter requires council to appoint a pro tem manager when the city manager is temporarily unable to perform his or her duties or when the city manager position becomes vacant. The time period for which a pro tem manager may serve is limited to six months. The measure removes the six month time limitation, and provides that a pro tem manager may serve until the manager is able to return to work or, in the case of a vacancy, until the vacancy has been filled and a new city manager appointed. The measure would take effect on January 1, 2005.

**OFFICIAL BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

CITY OF GRESHAM (CONTINUED)

26-70 CHARTER AMENDMENT TO CORRECT DOUBLE NUMBERING ERROR

QUESTION: Shall the City Charter be amended to correct a numbering error caused by double numbering at Section 43?

SUMMARY: The current city charter contains two sections 43. The first Section 43 relates to formation of the city Budget Committee, and is found in Chapter X, Miscellaneous Provisions. The second Section 43 is titled Ordinance Power, and is found in Chapter XI. The measure proposed corrects the double numbering problem and renumbers the first section 43 to "Section 42B. Budget Committee." The measure would take effect on January 1, 2005.

HILLSBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT 1J

34-81 LOCAL OPTION TAX TO RESTORE SOME STAFF AND DISTRICT PROGRAMS.

QUESTION: Shall District annually levy up to \$1.50 per \$1,000 assessed value four years beginning 2005-06 for *improved programs/class size*? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: Local option taxes will be used to support School District operations. The additional revenue will be targeted for additional teachers to reduce class size and to restore a portion of programs lost to budget reductions. This Measure authorizes the Hillsboro School District 1J in Washington, Yamhill and Multnomah Counties, Oregon to levy a property tax of up to \$1.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value each year for four years.

An estimate of the total amount to be raised each fiscal year is:

2005-06	\$6,862,000
2006-07	\$7,525,000
2007-08	\$8,168,600
2008-09	\$8,785,000

The total amount of money to be raised by this Measure is estimated to be \$31,340,600.

The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessors of Washington, Yamhill, and Multnomah Counties at the time of the estimate.

**LAKE OSWEGO
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7J**

3-155 FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION OPERATING LEVY RENEWAL AND INCREASE

QUESTION: Shall the District levy \$1.39 per \$1,000 of assessed value to support instruction and operations for five years beginning 2005-06? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: In May, 2000 voters approved a local option levy of \$1.18 per \$1,000 of assessed value, which expires in June 2005. This measure renews the levy and increases the rate to \$1.39.

The Lake Oswego School District will use levy proceeds to continue supporting educational programs and services provided by the expiring levy, and minimize additional downsizing necessitated by reductions in state school funding.

A rate of \$1.39 per \$1,000 of assessed value is estimated to raise \$5,650,000 in 2005-06, \$5,900,000 in 2006-07, \$6,100,000 in 2007-08, \$6,350,000 in 2008-09, and \$6,600,000 in 2009-10, for a total of \$30,600,000 over five years.

The amount of revenue the District can receive under this measure, together with State funding, is capped by law. If changes in property values or other factors create the potential for more revenue than allowed, the District must reduce its rate to stay within cap limits. Under current limits, rate reductions are expected in years two through five of the levy period. The annual rate will not exceed \$1.39 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

**SCAPPOOSE
SCHOOL DISTRICT 1J**

5-129 THREE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR SCAPPOOSE SCHOOL DISTRICT.

QUESTION: Shall the District levy \$0.53 per \$1,000 of assessed value for three years beginning 2004-2005 for operations? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: This measure authorizes the Scappoose School District 1J to levy property tax in the amount of \$0.53 per \$1,000 of assessed value each year for three years. All of the revenue from this tax measure will stay in the Scappoose School District. The taxes would be used to help reduce class sizes by adding back staff positions eliminated due to reductions in the state school fund. The funds raised by this measure are estimated to be \$500,000 per year. This estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.