



Association of Local Government Auditors

April 20, 2017

Steve March, PhD, CIA
Multnomah County Auditor

Dear Mr. March,

We have completed a peer review of the Multnomah County Auditor's Office for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016. In conducting our review, we followed the standards and guidelines contained in the *Peer Review Guide* published by the Association of Local Government Auditors (ALGA).

We reviewed the internal quality control system of your audit organization and conducted tests in order to determine whether your internal quality control system operated to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our procedures included:

- Reviewing the audit organization's written policies and procedures.
- Reviewing internal monitoring procedures.
- Reviewing a sample of audit and non-audit engagements and working papers.
- Reviewing documents related to independence, training, and development of auditing staff.
- Interviewing auditing staff to assess their understanding of, and compliance with, relevant quality control policies and procedures.

Due to variances in individual performance and judgment, compliance does not imply adherence to standards in every case, but does imply adherence in most situations.

Based on the results of our review, it is our opinion that the Multnomah County Auditor's Office internal quality control system was suitably designed and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with *Government Auditing Standards* for audits and attestation engagements during the January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016 review period.

We have prepared a separate letter offering suggestions to further strengthen your internal quality control system.

Katie Houston, CPA, CIA, CFE
Office of the City Auditor
City of Austin

Houman Boussina, CIA, CISA, CGAP
Office of the City Auditor
City of Palo Alto