

## Stakeholder Representative Group Meeting

Department of Community Services Transportation Division

January 30, 2018

## Agenda



- 1. Introductions
- 2. Project Update
- 3. Screening Results
- 4. Options Evaluation
- 5. Schedule Review
- 6. Closing Remarks







### **Key Activities**







### **Key Activities – Stakeholder Briefings**







### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**









### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**

## Red Cross / KGW Keeping You Safe

## "Prepare Out Loud"









### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**

## Multnomah County Podcasts – Project Spotlight



December 2017



### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**





### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**

## **Portland Saturday Market**







### **Key Activities – Public Outreach**

## **Online Briefing**





Crossing. Multnomah County is studying options to make the Burnside Bridge capable of withstandinga major earthquake. We have been evaluatinga wide range of options and want to catch you up on what we are learning, what we have heard and what's next for this study. Then we want to hear what you think!

Then we want to near the Watch the overview video to learn more about Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge.

H 4) 001/211

Overview

#### January 2018





### **Discussion Break**







### SCREENING STEPS

#### **OPTION GROUPS**

No Build Maintain existing bridge as-is.

Seismic Retrofit Upgrade the existing bridge.

Enhanced Seismic Retrofit Retrofit most of the existing bridge, but replace the spans over I-5 and the railroad.

#### Replacement

Build a new crossing such as a high fixed bridge, low movable bridge, twin bridges or a tunnel.

Enhance Another Bridge Retrofit or replace a different bridge across the Willamette River.



-Seismic Resiliency

-Emergency Response











### Sampling of Options to be Evaluated

#### ENHANCED SEISMIC RETROFIT







### Photos of sections of bridge next to I-5





### Sampling of Options to be Evaluated

**REPLACEMENT – Movable Bridge** 







### Sampling of Options to be Evaluated

#### **REPLACEMENT – Twin Movable Bridges**



#### **Mode Separated**







### Sampling of Options to be Evaluated







### Sampling of Options to be Evaluated







### What's next?

SCREENING STEPS	-Seismic Resiliency -Emergency Response -Compatibility with major infrastructure	<b>2</b> -Function immediately after an earthquake -Everyday use	<b>3</b> Further evaluated for its performance in six key categories: <b>We are here.</b>	FINAL REPORT FALL 2018
OPTION GROUPS No Build Maintain existing bridge as-is. Seismic Retrofit Upgrade the existing bridge. Enhanced Seismic Retrofit Retrofit most of the existing bridge, but replace the spans over I-5 and the railroad. Enplacement Build a new crossing such as a high fixed bridge, low movable bridge, twin bridges or a tunnel. Enhance Another Bridge Retrofit or replace a different bridge across the Willamette River.	<ul> <li>×</li> <li>×&lt;</li></ul>	$ \xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \\ \xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \\ \xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \\ \xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \\ \hline \\ $	SEISMIC RESILIENCY NON-MOTORIZED TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION CONNECTIVITY EQUITY BUILT ENVIRONMENT FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP	REMAINING OPTIONS









### **Guiding Principles**

Measurable at the level of design and information that will be available in this step

Help differentiate alternatives

Reflect input received to date

Narrow range of crossing options to be carried forward into an environmental impact statement





### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 1: Seismic Resiliency**

#### Support reliable and rapid emergency response after an earthquake







### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 2: Non-motorized Transportation**

#### Support access and safety for bikes, pedestrians and people with disabilities



EARTHQUAKE

BRIDGE





### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 3: Transportation System**

Support street system integration and function (cars, freight, transit, bikes, peds, ADA)





### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 4: Equity**

Minimize adverse impacts to communities of concern and promote transportation equity





### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 5: Built Environment**

Promote land use compatibility and minimize impacts to parks and historic resources



### **Proposed Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Criteria 6: Financial Stewardship**

Be responsible stewards of public funds

















**Proposed Evaluation Criteria** 

## **Discussion Break**



## **5. Schedule Review**







## 6. Closing Remarks





