

# Hand Count

## Tally and Explanation

SEL 798

rev 11/18  
ORS 254.529

- ⌚ Deadline** A county elections official may only begin the hand count after certification of the official results to the Secretary of State, but not later than the 23rd day after the election. All hand counts must be completed no later than the 30th day after the election.
- ➔ Provide explanation of any difference between the hand count and the tally of votes produced by the vote tally system, as determined by the counting board. Include the precinct or batch number in the explanation.

<b>County Information</b>	
County Multnomah	Contact Tim Scott

<b>Hand Count Information</b>		
Sample of Ballots Selected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3% <input type="checkbox"/> 5% <input type="checkbox"/> 10%	Precincts or Ballot Count Batches Selected See attached list	
Top Two Contest Governor	State Contest Judge of the Court of Appeals Position 4	Measure 103

<b>Results – Top Two Contest</b>				
Start Date 11/27/18		Completed Date 11/27/18		Hand Count Number <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Full
Candidate	Original Results	Hand Count Results	Exceptions	Difference
Auer	46	46		0
Chen	127	127		0
Brown	8,551	8,551		0
Beuhler	2,618	2,617	1	0
Starnes	193	193		0
Henry	52	52		0
Write Ins	13	13		0
Overvote	0	0		0
Undervote	132	133	1	0
<b>Total</b>	11600	11,599	1	0
<b>0.5% of Original Total</b>	58.0			
<b>Result of Hand Count</b>	Acceptable			

<b>Results – State Contest</b>				
Start Date 11/27/18		Completed Date 11/27/18		Hand Count Number <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Full
Candidate	Original Results	Hand Count Results	Exceptions	Difference
Aoyagi	6,346	6,345	1	0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
Write Ins	72	73	1	0
Overvote	1	2	1	0
Undervote	5,313	5,312	1	0
<b>Total</b>	6,418	6,418	2	0
<b>0.5% of Original Total</b>	32.1			
<b>Result of Hand Count</b>	Acceptable			

**Results – State Measure**

<b>Start Date</b> 11/27/18		<b>Completed Date</b> 11/27/18		<b>Hand Count Number</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Full	
<b>Response</b>	<b>Original Results</b>	<b>Hand Count Results</b>	<b>Exceptions</b>	<b>Difference</b>	
Yes	3,095	3,093	2	0	
No	8,444	8,447	3	0	
Overvote	12	11	1	0	
Undervote	181	181		0	
<b>Total</b>	11,539	11,540	5	0	
<b>0.5% of Original Total</b>	57.7				
<b>Result of Hand Count</b>	Acceptable				

**Explanation of Exceptions**

→ Valid votes that have been marked by the voter outside the vote targets or using a marking device that cannot be read by the vote tally system shall not be included in making the determination whether the voting system has met the standard of acceptable performance for any precinct or ballot batch under ORS 254.529(7)(a) through (c) and are to be listed as exceptions.

Assumptions: Exceptions always affect two counts - the losing count and the gaining count. So in the totals above each exception listed below has been recorded twice with one half in the losing count and one half in the gaining count.

**Governor:**

Batch AB-1764 - A vote that was recorded for Beuhler was adjudicated by the hand count board to be an undervote. In this case the oval was filled in but the voter had crossed out Beuhler's name vigorously. The intent of the voter was outside the tally machine's readable area.

**Judge:**

Batch AB-0682 - In the initial adjudication there was a write-in that was incorrectly counted as an undervote because the adjudication board did not follow the adjudication rules. The hand count board, applying the adjudication rules correctly, determined that it was really a write-in.

Batch AB-1186 - On the initial adjudication one overvote was adjudicated as a vote for Aoyagi. The hand count board adjudicated it correctly as an overvote since the oval was filled in for Aoyagi and there was a different name written on the write-in line. The write-in oval was not filled in.

**Measure 103:**

Batch AB-0826: Voter used a hole punch to "remove" their Yes vote - On the scanned image it looked like it was filled in as well as the vote for no so it was initially adjudicated as an overvote. The hand count board decided it was a No vote.

Batch AB-0871: One No vote was initially adjudicated as a Yes vote on the initial count. The hand count board determined that it should have been adjudicated as a No vote.

Batch AB-1639: A ballot that was counted as a Yes vote was adjudicated by the hand count board to a No vote so they are calling it an exception. Marks showing voter intent were outside the readable area.