Department of Community Justice



Director's Office

501 SE Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 250 Portland, Oregon 97214 (503) 988-3701 phone (503) 988-3990 fax

TO: Christian Elkin, Budget Director CC: Chris Yager, Budget Analyst

FROM: Erika Preuitt, Director **DATE:** June 12, 2020

SUBJECT: Public Safety Follow up Questions from Commissioner Jayapal

The following is DCJ's response to questions regarding Program #50029 - Adult Electronic Monitoring.

1. Could you provide the following data:

- a. Number/percentage of adults served pre-adjudication vs. post-conviction
 - Current number on EM pre-adjudications: 35 (out of approximately 550 pretrial defendants).
 - Current number of post-conviction adults on EM 820 (out of approximately 7,000 people on active supervision)
 - Below is a snapshot of how many new people we have placed on EM to give you another data point:
 - o For April, the number of Pretrial defendants:
 - GPS 6 new
 - SCRAM 4 new
 - o For May, the number of Pretial clients:
 - GPS: 8 new
 - SCRAM: 3 new
 - Latest figures for the last couple months for post-conviction:
 - For April, number of Post-Conviction adults:
 - GPS 2 new
 - SCRAM 1 new
 - For May, number of Post-Conviction adults:
 - GPS 11 new
 - SCRAM 1 new

- b. Number/percentage of adults served disaggregated by race and ethnicity
 - This info below reflects post-adjudication. The vendor maintains the data for pretrial defendants and we were not able to get demographic information at this time.
 - Number of unique people served by EM in the past year (between 6/1/2019 and 5/31/2020): 820
 - Number of those with valid SID numbers in DOC400 data system: 745
 - The below numbers reflect the 745 number because only those who have valid SID numbers have more complete info, such as demographics, entered into the information system.

	Number	Percent
All found IDs:	745	100%
White	378	51%
Black	281	38%
Hispanic	61	8%
Asian	11	1%
Native American	14	2%

- c. Number/percentage of adults who successfully complete their obligation
 - We can not at this time get yearly averages, but we do get quarterly information.
 - For the last guarter, (March 1 June 1) we closed 390 justice-involved individuals (JIIs)
 - 162 of them are what we would call "neutral" closures in that they are for such things as transfer to another county, or death.
 - Our "positive/negative" closure breakdown is:
 - 177 positive. (sentence expiration, early termination, earned discharge, sentence converted to bench, etc.)
 - 51 negative (revoked to jail, revoked to prison)
 - We would like to take the "closure count" for this quarter to be 228, with a 78% positive case closure rate.
- 2. The offer specifies that EM devices are used to monitor high-risk justice-involved adults. How is risk level determined?

Risk is set by an actuarial assessment that predicts the likelihood for re-offense (recidivism). We use two primary tools. The first is the Public Safety Checklist (PSC). This tool is developed by the Criminal Justice Commission and is used for both legislative budget funding (meaning it is the tool used by the entire state) as well as for an initial triage sorting instrument for DCJ/ASD. Following that, the higher

risked PSC justice-involved individual (JII) undergoes an assessment using the Level of Service Case Management Inventory (LSCMI). Similar to the PCS, it predicts risk to recidivate, as well as provides information to inform a case plan for prioritizing both services and interventions. In addition, our specialty units use other various risk instruments such as the Static 99 (for sex offenses), Ontario Domestic Assault Risk Assessment (ODARA - for domestic violence offenses), and the Women's Risk Needs Assessment (WRNA).

3. How much do the people monitored pay for equipment costs (per person and total budgeted revenue)? What happens if they cannot or do not pay? What percentage of people being monitored cannot or do not pay?

Our sentenced DCJ/ASD JII do not pay for electronic monitoring. We pay a daily rate per the equipment that we use via a contract with our Electronic Monitoring (EM) vendor. However, I do believe that the Courts have made defendants (not JIIs) pay for some EM services while on pretrial release.

4. Who provides the Contractual Services of \$332,000 and what are the services provided?

We use Vigilnet as the contractor. They are not a company that manufactures equipment, but rather acts as a service broker to both manage our inventory, services, and software for case tracking.

5. Who supplies the devices and how much does each device cost?

We use (through Vigilnet) a couple of products. Our electronic monitoring and GPS is provided by Attenti. We also use Nexus who supplies a product called Scram. This bracelet monitors for alcohol consumption through sweat glands in the ankle. Costs for such products are different. Our current contract acts as a lease and we pay a daily rate from \$3-6 dollars per day. However, we are responsible for locating any lost equipment and are responsible for paying 75% of the lost or damaged devices. A GPS bracelet can cost as much as \$550. A SCRAM bracelet costs around \$700.