Joint County Voters' Pamphlet Measure Argument

Important! Read all instructions before completing this form.
Use this form when filing a 'JCVP-03 Joint County Voters' Pamphlet Measure Argument' with your County Elections office. Please note that each county produces a separate County Voters' Pamphlet. If the jurisdiction/district is located in more than one county, a separate JCVP-03 form must be filed with the appropriate fee or 'JCVP-04 certifie petition' to each county where the 'Measure Argument' is to be printed.
Filing Information
Election Date: November 3, 2020
Argument in Favor I Argument in Opposition
Measure # <u>26 _ 212</u> Order # <u>A - 1</u>
"This information furnished by" (as it should appear in the Voters' Pamphlet) Name of person required ORS 251.355(2)
Name of person: Organization Name: (required) David Ripma (if applicable)
Argument paid for or petition provided by:
David Ripma Email: dave@ripma.com
Name of person/organization paying or submitting petition for 'Measure Argument'
Phone: Cell: 503 312-3733 Work: 503 312-3733 Home: 503 666-8462
Contact information for authorized changes:
David Ripma Email: dave@ripma.com
Name of person authorized to make changes to 'Measure Argument'
Phone: Cell: 503 312-3733 Work: 503 312-3733 Home: 503 666-8462
Filer Checklist for Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet (VP)
Typewritten & signed JCVP-03 form and attached 'Measure Argument' for County VP.
Fee or JCVP-04 certified petition (Petition ID #) provided.
(If applicable) JCVP-02 Statement of Endorsement(s) #: Word Count (325 MAX).
By signing this document, I (we) hereby state I (we) am (are) responsible for the content of this argument, (ORS 251.415)
Organization name person(s) is (are) authorized to represent/if applicable:
David Ripma
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument Date
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee with the Secretary of State Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further details.
For Office Use Only:
County: Required Info? O Yes O No Word Count (325 max):
Receipt #:
Receipt #: Signed? Yes No Providing digital copy? Yes No Check #: Endorsemanis? Yes # O No Received digital copy? Yes O No

MEASURE ARGUMENT ACCOMPANYING JCVP-03 FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY VOTERS' PAMPHLET

ARGUMENT IN OPPOSITION TO MEASSURE 26-212NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING:DAVID RIPMA

I am Troutdale Councilor David Ripma and I oppose measure 26-212, which amends Troutdale's Charter. It changes the way Councilors are elected. It would adopt what I call "Multiple Seat Voting," also called "Top Three Voting." All candidates that file would run against all other candidates for all open positions. Voters would cast votes for as many candidates as there are positions to fill, selecting from all candidates that filed.

Troutdale's current system (adopted 44 years ago), calls for candidates to run for specific positions, against an incumbent councilor, or whoever else files, or if the position is vacant, no one. Citizens cast separate votes for each position.

The disadvantages of Multiple Seat voting are:

- Multiple seat voting increases the cost of running; each candidate is seeking to win a popularity contest.
- Because of the higher cost to run, "multiple seat" favors dominant, well-funded slates of candidates. Troutdale would be the loser with fewer choices and minority or new candidates less likely to run.

 "Multiple seat" voting makes councilors less accountable to the voters. Troutdale's current system lets someone who disagrees with a particular councilor run a targeted campaign for that councilor's position. That cannot be done with "multiple seat" voting.

Beware of any amendment that makes your elected councilors less accountable.

 A majority of the Troutdale Council put this measure on the ballot but took no position either favoring or opposing it. The Troutdale Citizens Advisory Committee studied it and voted to oppose referring it.

This charter amendment fixes a problem Troutdale does not have. In 2020 there are contested races for every position. When, in some elections, a position is uncontested (only one candidate running), I contend it is because the incumbent is doing a good job, or there are not enough people interested in running.

The "fix" of adopting "multiple seat" voting is worse than an occasional uncontested race.

Vote NO on Measure 26-212