OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

JEANNE P. ATKINS

SECRETARY OF STATE

ROBERT TAYLOR
DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE



ELECTIONS DIVISION

BRENDA BAYES
INTERIM DIRECTOR

255 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 501 SALEM, OREGON 97310-0722

(503) 986-1518

MEMORANDUM

To:

ALL COUNTY ELECTION OFFICIALS

FROM:

Brenda Bayes, Interim Elections Director Lende

DATE:

September 8, 2016

SUBJECT:

Directive 2016-2, Instructions for the November 8, 2016 General Election Ballot

Attached is a copy of Directive 2016-2 for the 2016 General Election. Please review this Directive carefully. Please use the attached ballot statements to proof ballots. Thoroughly review the statements to ensure you include on your ballot all offices that apply to your county and that they are in the correct order.

If you have any questions, please call. Thank you.



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

ELECTIONS DIVISION

Secretary of State Office State Gen	ficial Ballot	Directive Issued at the Request of: Secretary of State	Date: September 8, 2016	Number: 2016-2
-------------------------------------	---------------	--	----------------------------	-------------------

The Secretary of State in carrying out the duties of the office shall issue detailed directives necessary to maintain uniformity in the application, operation and interpretation of Oregon election laws. (ORS 246.110 & 120). The information provided here is an official directive of the Secretary of State.

The Secretary of State in carrying out the duties of the office shall issue detailed directives necessary to maintain uniformity in the application, operation and interpretation of Oregon election laws. (ORS 246.110 & 120). The information provided here is an official directive of the Secretary of State.

This directive incorporates the attached instructions for processing the official Ballot Statements issued for the 2016 General Election.

The instructions provide information regarding:

- · Ballot Label Style
- · Ballot Specifications
- Ballot Arrangement
- · Numbering System for Candidates and Measures
- Random Ordering of Candidate Names
- · Printing Candidate Names
- Multiple Party Nominations
- Judicial Candidates "Incumbent" Designation
- County Nonpartisan Candidates
- Lines for Candidate Write-In Votes
- · Ballot Measure Formatting

The provisions of ORS 254.108, 254.125, 254.135, 254.145, 254.155 and ORS 260.675 should be reviewed prior to preparing the official ballot.

Jeanne P. Atkins Secretary of State

By:

Brenda Bayes

Interim Elections Director

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

JEANNE P. ATKINS
SECRETARY OF STATE





ELECTIONS DIVISION

Brenda Bayes Interim Elections Director

255 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 501 SALEM, OREGON 97310-0722

(503) 986-1518

DATE:

September 8, 2016

TO:

ALL COUNTY ELECTION OFFICIALS

FROM:

Brenda Bayes, Interim Elections Director Sun Sun

SUBJECT:

Official Ballot Statements for the General Election on November 8, 2016,

Directive 2016-2

Enclosed you will find the following Official Ballot Statements. We have also enclosed a list of open offices for the 2016 General Election. Study this list to ensure you have every office on your ballot that applies to your county. These Statements will serve as a guide in preparing your county's ballot. The state Official Ballot Statements consist of:

- 1. Partisan Candidates
- 2. Nonpartisan Candidates
- 3. Measures

The Secretary of State directs the following:

BALLOT LABEL STYLE

The official General Election Ballot shall be styled as:

"Official Ballot" and state the name of your county and the date of the election.

See ORS 254.135 for further requirements.

BALLOT SPECIFICATIONS

All Official General Election ballots shall be printed in black ink on good quality material, pursuant to ORS 254.195(1). Ballots shall be printed on white paper.

Directive for Official Ballot Statements, 2016 General September 8, 2016 Page 2

BALLOT ARRANGEMENT

The offices shall be arranged as follows:

1. Federal Offices

- President
- US Senator
- US Representative

2. State Offices

- Governor (2 year term)
- Secretary of State
- State Treasurer
- Attorney General

3. Legislative Office

- State Senator
- State Representative
- 4. County if partisan
- 5. City if partisan
- 6. Nonpartisan the sequences for all nonpartisan offices shall be as follows:
 - a. State judicial office of Supreme Court, Court of Appeals and Circuit Court, in the sequence shown on the enclosed Ballot Statement. These offices are listed in the following order:
 - i. Contested Circuit Court positions; and
 - ii. Uncontested Circuit Court positions.

See ORS 254.125(2)(a).

- b. District Attorney
- c. County Judge
- d. Nonpartisan County Candidates (such as County Clerk, County Assessor, County Surveyor, County Treasurer, County Commissioner, Sheriff and Justice of the Peace)
- e. Nonpartisan City Candidates
- f. Special District Candidates if any

7. Measures

- a. State
- b. County
- c. City
- d. Multi-County Special District
- e. Single County Special District

If for any reason you feel that the ballot arrangement as set forth will cause you undue administrative or printing problems, please contact this office for further advice.

NUMBERING SYSTEM

1. Candidates - Automated Tally Systems: Unique candidate numbers are not required.

2. <u>Measures:</u> The number assigned to each local (county, city and district) measure must be preceded by a unique county prefix number (*i.e.*, Baker County is number 1, Benton is number 2, and so forth, numbered consecutively in alphabetical order until Yamhill County, which is number 36).

If a district or city is located in more than one county, the county elections officer who is the filing officer shall immediately certify the district or city measure and number to the county clerk of any other county in which the district or city is located. The same measure number shall be used in all counties in which the election is conducted for that measure.

RANDOM ORDERING OF CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of the candidate's shall be ordered as provided for in ORS 254.155. On August 30, 2016 this office sent a memo to all County Elections Officials giving the random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for candidates on the ballot for the November 8, 2016, General Election. The Ballot Statement for state candidates has been prepared by the Secretary of State using the random sequence.

The random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for which candidate's names will be placed on the ballot for the November 8, 2016, General Election is as follows:

1.	D	6.	W	11.	T	16.	N	21.	L	26. Q
2.	Н	7.	U	12.	K	17.	S	22.	V	
3.	R	8.	F	13.	C	18.	X	23.	M	
4.	1	9.	В	14.	E	19.	0	24.	J	
5.	Υ	10.	G	15.	Α	20.	Z	25.	Р	

For candidates whose last names begin with the same letter(s) of the alphabet, or whose names are identical etc., follow the procedure contained in OAR 165-010-0090.

ORS 254.115(3) requires if two or more candidates for the same office have the same or similar surnames, the location of their places of residence shall be printed opposite their names to distinguish one from another.

PRINTING CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of candidates shall be printed on the official ballot as indicated in the Ballot Statement. Office titles, candidate names and political parties must be printed in **bold face**, **mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of these names shall be upper case with the rest lower case (unless within the name and upper case letter is specified by the candidate, such as McVan).

We also advise that **candidate names must not contain any periods**, even after a Jr or Sr. For example, a candidate name should be formatted as Jonathan J Smith, Jr — with **no periods** (commas are allowable).

ORS 249.031 allows for a candidate to use a nickname in parentheses. ORS 254.145(2) provides that no title or designation may be included in the candidate's name (such as Dr, Mr, PhD, Senior Vice President, etc.). Designations such as Jr, Sr, III, IV, etc. are allowable if it is actually part of the name by which the candidate is commonly known.

Directive for Official Ballot Statements, 2016 General September 8, 2016 Page 4

In addition, ORS 248.360(2) and 254.135(2) provides that in the year when a President and Vice President of the United States are to be elected, the general election ballot shall state: *Electors of President and Vice President are being elected and a vote for the candidates for President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.*

Note: If no candidate has filed for an office, the ballot must include "No Candidate Filed."

MULTIPLE PARTY NOMINATIONS:

Candidates may be nominated by multiple political parties and have up to three parties listed after their name as indicated in the Ballot Statement. ORS 254.135. If the candidate was nominated by the party they are a member of, that party will be listed first, followed by all other parties as specified by the candidate. If the candidate fails to specify which parties and in which order those parties should be listed on the ballot as follows: if the candidate was nominated by the party they are a member of, that party will be listed first, and the remaining parties shall be listed in alphabetical order.

JUDICIAL CANDIDATES "INCUMBENT" DESIGNATION

The information for state candidates for Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Tax Court and Circuit Court positions shall include a designation of "Incumbent" for each candidate who is the regularly elected or appointed judge of the court to which the candidate seeks election ORS 254.135 (3)(c). The Ballot Statement provides this designation.

Please note that justice of the peace, county judges and municipal court candidates <u>do not</u> have the "incumbent" designation.

COUNTY NONPARTISAN CANDIDATES

Review ORS 249.091 to determine if county nonpartisan candidates (Sheriff, County Treasurer or County Clerk, or candidates to fill a vacancy in a nonpartisan office) are required to be listed on the general election ballot.

LINES FOR CANDIDATE WRITE-IN VOTES

ORS 254.145 provides for the write-in of names in the appropriate place on the ballot. Allow one blank line for each position to be filled. Example: "Vote for Three." In this case, you will provide three blank lines following the list of candidates for that office. Blank lines shall not be numbered.

Offices that have been certified as "No Candidate Filed" must still appear on the ballot with the appropriate number of write-in lines. "No Candidate Filed" may be shown on what would have been the candidate name line.

BALLOT MEASURE FORMATTING

State ballot measures must be formatted as shown on the attached Ballot Measure Statement. The state ballot measure headers and the ballot title captions must be printed in **bold face**, **mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of a phrase or sentence and only certain words within a sentence may be upper case with the rest lower case.

Directive for Official Ballot Statements, 2016 General September 8, 2016 Page 5

This directive applies to state ballot measures and does not require you to use the same formatting for local measures. However, we encourage consistent formatting, as this would ensure better readability for the voters.

If you have any questions, please contact our office.

2016 General Election

United States President and Vice	Vote for one		
Your vote for the candidates for Uni			
Vice President shall be a vote for th			
candidates.			
Donald J Trump	Mike Pence	Republican	
Hillary Clinton	Tim Kaine	Democrat	
Jill Stein	Ajamu Baraka	Pacific Green, Progressive	
Gary Johnson	Bill Weld	Libertarian	
US Senator		Vote for one	
Steven C Reynolds		Independent	
Ron Wyden		Democrat	
Mark Callahan		Republican	
Eric Navickas		Pacific Green, Progressive	
Jim Lindsay		Libertarian	
Shanti S Lewallen		Working Families	
US Representative, 1st District		Vote for one	
Brian J Heinrich	3	Republican	
Suzanne Bonamici		Democrat, Independent	
Kyle Sheahan		Libertarian	
US Representative, 2nd District		Vote for one	
Greg Walden		Republican, Independent	
James (Jim) Crary		Democrat	
US Representative, 3rd District		Vote for one	
David Delk		Progressive	
David W Walker		Independent, Republican	
Earl Blumenauer		Democrat	

2016 General Election

US Representative, 4th District	Vote for one
Peter A DeFazio	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Art Robinson	Republican, Constitution
Mike Beilstein	Pacific Green
Gil Guthrie	Libertarian
US Representative, 5th District	Vote for one
Colm Willis	Republican
Kurt Schrader	Democrat, Independent
Marvin Sandnes	Pacific Green
Governor (2 Year Term)	Vote for one
James Foster	Libertarian
Kate Brown	Democrat, Working Families
Cliff Thomason	Independent
Aaron Donald Auer	Constitution
Bud Pierce	Republican
Secretary of State	Vote for one
Sharon L Durbin	Libertarian
Dennis Richardson	Republican
Paul Damian Wells	Independent
Brad Avakian	Democrat, Working Families, Progressive
Alan Zundel	Pacific Green
Michael Marsh	Constitution
State Treasurer	Vote for one
Chris Henry	Progressive, Pacific Green
Tobias Read	Democrat
Jeff Gudman	Republican
Chris Telfer	Independent

2016 General Election

Attorney General	Vote for one
Lars D H Hedbor	Libertariar
Ellen Rosenblum	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Daniel Zene Crowe	Republicar
State Senator, 1st District	Vote for one
Timm Rolek	Democra
Jeff Kruse	Republican, Independen
State Senator, 2nd District	Vote for one
Herman Baertschiger Jr	Republican, Democrat, Independen
State Senator, 3rd District (2 Year Term)	Vote for one
Alan DeBoer	Republicar
Tonia Moro	Democra
State Senator, 5th District	Vote for one
Arnie Roblan	Democrat, Working Families
Dick Anderson	Republican, Independent
Dan Souza	Libertariar
State Senator, 9th District	Vote for one
Rich Harisay	Democra
Fred Frank Girod	Republican, Independent
Jack Stillwell	Libertarian
State Senator, 12th District	Vote for one
Brian J Boquist	Republican, Independent
Ross Swartzendruber	Democra

2016 General Election

State Senator, 14th District	Vote for one
Mark Hass	Democrat, Independent, Republican
State Senator, 18th District	Vote for one
Ginny Burdick	Democrat, Independent, Republican
State Senator, 21st District	Vote for one
Josh Howard	Libertarian
Kathleen Taylor	Democrat, Working Families, Independent
James Ofsink	Progressive, Pacific Green
State Senator, 22nd District	Vote for one
Lew Frederick	Democrat, Working Families, Independent
Eugene A Newell Jr	Libertarian
State Senator, 23rd District	Vote for one
Michael Dembrow	Democrat, Working Families
State Senator, 25th District	Vote for one
Jeffrey Ricks	Libertarian
Tamie Tlustos-Arnold	Republican
Laurie Monnes Anderson	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Senator, 27th District	Vote for one
Greg Delgado	Democrat, Working Families
Tim Knopp	Republican, Independent
State Senator, 28th District	Vote for one
Todd Kepple	Democrat
Dennis Linthicum	Republican, Independent

2016 General Election

State Senator, 29th District	Vote for one
Barbara E Dickerson	Independent
Bill Hansell	Republican, Democra
State Senator, 30th District	Vote for one
Ted Ferrioli	Republican, Independent
W Mark Stringer	Democra
State Representative, 1st District	Vote for one
Terry Brayer	Democrat, Working Families
Tamie Kaufman	Libertarian
David Brock Smith	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 2nd District	Vote for one
Dallas Heard	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 3rd District	Vote for one
Carl Wilson	Republican, Independent
Tom Johnson	Democrat
State Representative, 4th District	Vote for one
Duane A Stark	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 5th District	Vote for one
Steven Richie	Republican
Pam Marsh	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 6th District	Vote for one
Sal Esquivel	Republican, Independent
Mike Moran	Democrat

2016 General Election

State Representative, 7th District	Vote for one
Cedric Hayden	Republican
Fergus Mclean	Independent, Pacific Green, Progressive
Vincent T Portulano	Democrat
State Representative, 8th District	Vote for one
Paul R Holvey	Democrat, Working Families
Mary M Tucker	Republican
Martha Sherwood	Libertarian
State Representative, 9th District	Vote for one
Guy Rosinbaum	Libertarian
Teri Grier	Republican
Caddy McKeown	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 10th District	Vote for one
Thomas M Donohue	Republican
David Gomberg	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 11th District	Vote for one
Phil Barnhart	Democrat, Working Families
Joe Potwora	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 12th District	Vote for one
Robert Schwartz	Republican
John Lively	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 13th District	Vote for one
Christopher Tsekouras	Libertarian
Laura D Cooper	Republican
Nancy Nathanson	Democrat, Independent
	Page 6

2016 General Election

State Representative, 14th District	Vote for one
Julie Fahey	Democrat, Working Families
Kathy Lamberg	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 15th District	Vote for one
Cynthia Hyatt	Progressive
Andy Olson	Republican, Independent, Democrat
State Representative, 16th District	Vote for one
Dan Rayfield	Democrat, Independent
Andrew Freborg	Libertarian
Sami Al-AbdRabbuh	Progressive
Judson McClure	Republican
State Representative, 17th District	Vote for one
Jeffrey D Goodwin	Independent, Libertarian
Sherrie Sprenger	Republican, Democrat
State Representative, 18th District	Vote for one
Victor S Gilliam	Republican, Independent
Гот Kane	Democrat, Working Families
Patrick Marnell	Libertarian
State Representative, 19th District	Vote for one
Jodi Hack	Republican, Independent
Larry Trott	Democrat
State Representative, 20th District	Vote for one
Paul Evans	Democrat, Independent, Working Families

2016 General Election

Sheri Malstrom	Democrat, Independent, Republican
State Representative, 27th District	Vote for one
A Richard Vial	Republican
Ray M Lister	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 26th District	Vote for one
Bill Post	Republican, Independent
Sharon P Freeman	Democrat
State Representative, 25th District	Vote for one
Ken Moore	Democrat, Working Families
Ron Noble	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 24th District	Vote for one
Alex Polikoff	Pacific Green
Garrett Leeds	Libertarian
Mike Nearman	Republican
Jim Thompson	Independent
State Representative, 23rd District	Vote for one
Patti Milne	Republican, Independent
Teresa Alonso Leon	Democrat, Working Families
State Representative, 22nd District	Vote for one
Brian Clem	Democrat
Alvin M Klausen Jr	Independent
Doug Rodgers	Republican
State Representative, 21st District	Vote for one

2016 General Election

State Representative, 28th District	Vote for one
Jeff Barker	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Gary M Carlson	Republicar
State Representative, 29th District	Vote for one
Juanita Lint	Republican, Libertarian
Susan McLain	Democrat, Working Families, Independent
State Representative, 30th District	Vote for one
Janeen Sollman	Democrat, Working Families
Kyle Markley	Libertarian
Dan Mason	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 31st District	Vote for one
Brad Witt	Democrat, Independent, Republican
Robert Miller	Libertarian
State Representative, 32nd District	Vote for one
Bruce L Bobek	Republican
Deborah Boone	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 33rd District	Vote for one
Mitch Greenlick	Democrat, Independent
John Verbeek	Republican
State Representative, 34th District	Vote for one
Donald E Hershiser	Independent, Republican
Ken Helm	Democrat, Working Families

2016 General Election

State Representative, 35th District	Vote for one
Margaret Doherty	Democrat, Working Families
Jessica L Cousineau	Independent, Republican
State Representative, 36th District	Vote for one
Jennifer Williamson	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Amanda Burnham	Libertarian
State Representative, 37th District	Vote for one
Ryan R Haffner	Libertarian
Paul Southwick	Democrat, Working Families
Julie Parrish	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 38th District	Vote for one
Patrick De Klotz	Republican
Ann Lininger	Democrat, Independent, Working Families
State Representative, 39th District	Vote for one
Charles Gallia	Democrat
Bill Kennemer	Republican, Independent
Kenny Sernach	Libertarian
State Representative, 40th District	Vote for one
Evon Tekorius	Republican
Jeffrey J Langan	Libertarian
Christine VanOrder	Nonaffiliated
Mark Meek	Democrat, Independent
State Representative, 41st District	Vote for one
Tim McMenamin	Republican
Karin Power	Democrat, Independent, Working Families

2016 General Election

State Representative, 42nd District	Vote for one	
Jeremy Wilson	Libertarian	
Rob Nosse	Democrat, Working Families	
James E Stubbs	Independent	
State Representative, 43rd District	Vote for one	
Tawna Sanchez	Democrat, Independent	
State Representative, 44th District	Vote for one	
Joe Rowe	Pacific Green, Progressive	
Tina Kotek	Democrat, Working Families, Independent	
State Representative, 45th District	Vote for one	
Barbara Smith Warner	Democrat, Independent, Working Families	
State Representative, 46th District	Vote for one	
Alissa Keny-Guyer	Democrat, Working Families, Independent	
State Representative, 47th District	Vote for one	
Diego Hernandez	Democrat, Working Families	
Michael P Langley	Independent, Republican	
State Representative, 48th District	Vote for one	
Gary Dye	Libertarian	
Jeff Reardon	Democrat, Independent	
George (Sonny) Yellott	Republican	
Timothy Crawley	Nonaffiliated	
State Representative, 49th District	Vote for one	
Chris Gorsek	Democrat, Republican, Independent	

2016 General Election

Al Switzer	Democrat, Independent
E Werner Reschke	Republican
Jonah Hakanson	Nonaffiliated
State Representative, 56th District	Vote for one
Brie S Malarkey	Democrat
Mike McLane	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 55th District	Vote for one
Gena Goodman-Campbell	Republican, Independent Democrat, Working Families
State Representative, 54th District Knute Buehler	Vote for one
Michael Graham	Democrat
Gene Whisnant	Republican, Independent
State Representative, 53rd District	Vote for one
Mark Johnson	Republican, Independent
Mark Reynolds	Democrat, Working Families
State Representative, 52nd District	Vote for one
Lori Chavez-DeRemer	Republican, Independent
Janelle S Bynum	Democrat, Working Families
State Representative, 51st District	Vote for one
Carla Piluso	Democrat, Working Families
Stella Armstrong	Republican
Michael Calcagno	Independent
State Representative, 50th District	Vote for one

2016 General Election

State Representative, 57t	h District	Vote for one
Greg Smith		Republican, Independent, Democrat
State Representative, 58th District Greg Barreto		Vote for one Republican, Democrat, Independent
John E Huffman		Republican, Independent
Tyler J Gabriel		Democrat
State Representative, 60t	h District	Vote for one
Cliff Bentz		Republican, Democrat, Independent
Judge of the Supreme Co	urt, Position 6	Vote for one
Lynn R Nakamoto	Incumbent	
Judge of the Court of App	peals, Position 5	Vote for one
Scott Shorr	Incumbent	
Judge of the Court of App	peals, Position 8	Vote for one
Roger J DeHoog	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Cour	t, 9th District, Position 1	Vote for one
David R Carlson		
Erin K Landis	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Cour	t, 16th District, Position 3	Vote for one
Dan G McKinney		
Kathleen Johnson		

2016 General Election

Judge of the Circuit Court	, 2nd District, Position 13	Vote for one
Karrie McIntyre	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court	, 3rd District, Position 1	Vote for one
Channing Bennett	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court	, 3rd District, Position 7	Vote for one
Sean E Armstrong	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court	4th District, Position 6	Vote for one
Leslie G Bottomly	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	4th District, Position 24	Vote for one
Eric L Dahlin	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	4th District, Position 35	Vote for one
Patrick W Henry	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court,	11th District, Position 3	Vote for one
Bethany P Flint	Incumbent	
Judge of the Circuit Court, 13th District, Position 1		Vote for one
Andrea M Janney		
Judge of the Circuit Court,	20th District, Position 14	Vote for one
Ramón Pagán	Incumbent	

Candidate Ballot Statement

2016 General Election

District Attorney, Lake County	Vote for one
Sharon Forster	
County Judge, Wheeler County	Vote for one
Candace Humphreys	
Lynn Morley	

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 94

Amends Constitution: Eliminates mandatory retirement age for state judges

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote amends constitution, state judges not required to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old. Statutes cannot establish mandatory retirement age.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains constitutional provisions requiring state judges to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old, authorizing statutes establishing lesser mandatory retirement age.

No

Summary: Article VII (Amended), section 1a, of the Oregon Constitution, requires state judges to "retire from judicial office at the end of the calendar year" in which they turn 75 years old. Section 1a(1) authorizes laws that establish a lesser age—not younger than 70 years—for mandatory retirement. Measure amends constitution to remove provision requiring mandatory retirement at age 75, as well as provision authorizing statutes requiring mandatory retirement at age 70 or older. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes statutes permitting retired judges to be recalled to temporary active service. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes laws permitting or requiring judges to retire due to a physical or mental disability or any other cause that renders them incapable of performing their judicial duties.

Estimate of Financial Impact: There is no financial impact to state revenue or expenditures. There is no financial impact on local government revenue or expenditures.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 95

Amends Constitution: Allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students.

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote allows public universities to invest in equities to reduce financial risk and increase funds available to help students.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote prevents public universities from investing in equities.

No

Summary: This measure allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students. Additional investment income could benefit students by minimizing tuition increases and enhancing student programs.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends Article XI, section 6 of the Oregon Constitution to exempt public universities from a constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.

There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues required by the measure. The revenue and expenditure impact on public universities is dependent upon decisions by each university on the type and amount of private equity in which they choose (or choose not) to invest, and on the return on these investments.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

Measure 96

Amends Constitution: Dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to funding support services for Oregon veterans

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to fund veterans' services, including assistance with employment, education, housing, and physical/mental health care.

Yes

No

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current list of authorized purposes for spending state lottery net proceeds; 1.5% dedication to fund veterans' services not required.

Summary: Amends Constitution, dedicates lottery funding for veterans' support services. Currently, constitution requires that state lottery proceeds be used to create jobs, further economic development, and finance public education; dedicates some net lottery proceeds as follows: 18% to finance education stability fund, 15% to finance state parks, restoration and protection of native fish and wildlife, watersheds, water quality and wildlife habitats, 15% to finance school capital matching fund. Measure dedicates 1.5% of lottery net proceeds to fund services for Oregon veterans. Veterans' services include assistance with employment, education, housing, physical/mental health care, addiction treatment, reintegration, access to government benefits, and other services for veterans, spouses and dependents. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This referral amends the Oregon Constitution to dedicate 1.5% of net proceeds from the State Lottery to be deposited in a veteran's services fund, to be created by the Legislature. The money in the veteran's services fund is to be expended on veterans' services, which may include: (1) reintegration, employment, education benefits and tuition, housing, physical and mental health care and addiction treatment programs; (2) assistance for veterans or their dependents to access state and federal benefits; or (3) funding for services provided by county veterans' services officers, campus veterans' service officer or nonprofit or tribal veterans' services officers. The referral defines a veteran as a resident of the State of Oregon who served in the Armed Forces of the United States.

Based on the June 2016 forecast from the Office of Economic Analysis 1.5% of net lottery proceeds for veterans' services would be approximately \$9.3 million annually for the 2017-19 biennium. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$9.3 million annually, during the 2017-19 biennium, to the Veterans' Services Fund from economic development and public education expenditures.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 97

Increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; funds education, healthcare, senior services

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; removes tax limit; exempts "benefit companies"; increased revenue funds education, healthcare, senior services.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains existing corporate minimum tax rates based on Oregon sales; tax limited to \$100,000; revenue not dedicated to education, healthcare, senior services.

Summary: Current law requires each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a federal tax return to pay annual minimum tax; amount of tax is determined by tax bracket corresponding to amount of corporation's Oregon sales; corporations with sales of \$100 million or more pay \$100,000. Measure increases annual minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than \$25 million; imposes minimum tax of \$30,001 plus 2.5% of amount of sales above \$25 million; eliminates tax cap; benefit companies (business entities that create public benefit) taxed under current law. Applies to tax years beginning on/after January 1, 2017. Revenue from tax increase goes to: public education (early childhood through grade 12); healthcare; services for senior citizens.

Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by \$548 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2017, and approximately \$3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.

The financial impact on state expenditures by program is indeterminate. The increased revenue will require increased expenditures by the state in the areas of public early childhood and kindergarten through grade 12 education, health care, and senior services, but the exact amount and the specific uses within the three identified programs cannot be determined.

Although there is no direct financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues, there is likely to be an indirect and indeterminate effect on the state economy and local government revenues and expenditures.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 98

Requires state funding for dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs in Oregon high schools

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote requires state legislature to fund dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs through grants to Oregon high schools; state monitors programs.

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote retains current law: legislature not required to commit funds to career-technical/college-level education/dropout-prevention programs, retains discretion to allocate funds.

Summary: Currently, the Oregon legislature provides General Fund revenues to the State School Fund based on constitutionally required quality goals; those funds are distributed directly to school districts under a specified formula. Measure requires legislature to separately provide at least \$800 per high school student—adjusted upward annually for inflation/population—to a Department of Education (ODE) administered account. ODE distributes those funds to school districts to establish or expand high school programs providing career-technical education, college-level courses, and dropout-prevention strategies. School districts must apply for grants, meet specified requirements. Districts may use limited portion of fund for administration costs but not unrelated activities. ODE monitors school district performance, ensures compliance, facilitates programs; Secretary of State audits biannually. Other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure does not affect the aggregate amount of funds collected or expended by state or local government.

The measure does, however, commit a minimum increase of \$147 million annually to expenditures on career and technical education, accelerated learning and high school graduation improvement programs. This number could be lower if state revenues do not grow by \$1.5 billion in the 2017-2019 biennium.

Because the measure does not raise additional revenue, the measure specifically provides that the Legislature determine how these program expansions will be funded.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 99

Creates "Outdoor School Education Fund," continuously funded through Lottery, to provide outdoor school programs statewide

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote creates separate fund, financed through Oregon Lottery Economic Development Fund and administered by Oregon State University (OSU), to provide outdoor school programs statewide.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: "No" vote rejects creation of fund to provide outdoor school programs statewide; retains current law under which OSU administers outdoor school grants if funding available.

No

Summary: Presently, Oregon does not fund outdoor school programs statewide, but, under current law, OSU assists school districts by awarding grants according to specified criteria and providing program maintenance, conditioned on funding. Measure creates separate "Outdoor School Education Fund" (Fund) that is financed by Oregon State Lottery money distributed for economic development. Caps annual distributions of Lottery revenues to Fund. Specifies Fund's purpose to provide every Oregon fifth- or sixth-grade student week-long outdoor school program or equivalent. Continuously appropriates Fund to OSU to administer and fund outdoor school programs statewide consistent with current law's grant program criteria; may require Fund dispersal outside of grant program. Allocations to Fund shall not reduce lottery proceeds dedicated under Oregon Constitution to education, parks, beaches, watersheds, fish, wildlife.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends Oregon Revised Statutes to dedicate a portion of lottery proceeds for a statewide outdoor school program. In 2015, the Oregon State Legislature established an Outdoor Education Account for the purpose of funding a six day, residential, hands-on outdoor school program or equivalent for fifth and sixth grade students across the state. The Legislature did not provide funding at that time. This measure would affect distributions from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. It dedicates the lesser of the following two amounts to the Outdoor Education account: either four percent of the quarterly transfers to this fund or \$5.5 million quarterly, with a maximum of \$22 million each year.

The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$22 million annually to the Outdoor Education Account from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund.

Ballot Measure Statement

2016 General Election

September 08, 2016

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 100

Prohibits purchase or sale of parts or products from certain wildlife species; exceptions; civil penalties

Result of "Yes" Vote: "Yes" vote prohibits purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritances, and certain antiques/musical instruments; civil penalties.

Yes

Result of "No" Vote: Maintains current Oregon law which does not prohibit purchase or sale of parts or products from species not native to Oregon, except for shark fins.

No

Summary: Existing Oregon law does not prohibit sale of wildlife parts/products for non native species, except shark fins. Existing federal law does not prohibit intrastate sales of wildlife parts, with exceptions. Measure amends ORS 498.022 to prohibit purchase, sale, or possession with intent to sell of parts/products from elephant, rhinoceros, whale, tiger, lion, leopard, cheetah, jaguar, pangolin, sea turtle, shark, ray. Imposes civil penalties. Creates exceptions: law enforcement activities; activities authorized by federal law; fish managed under federal plan; certain antiques (over I00 years old) and musical instruments with less than 200 grams of parts; noncommercial transfers through estates, trusts, gifts; possession by tribal members. Other exceptions. Fish and Wildlife Commission may adopt rules, including prohibiting purchase/sale of parts "closely" resembling listed species parts.

Estimate of Financial Impact: There is less than a \$100,000 financial effect on state government expenditures or revenues. There is no financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues.