



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

ELECTIONS DIVISION

Directive of the Secretary of State	Subject: Official Ballot Statements, General Election November 4, 2014	Directive Issued at the Request of: Secretary of State	Date: September 8, 2014	Number: Amended 2014-3
--	---	--	-----------------------------------	--

The Secretary of State in carrying out the duties of the office shall issue detailed directives necessary to maintain uniformity in the application, operation and interpretation of Oregon election laws. (ORS 246.110 & 120). The information provided here is an official directive of the Secretary of State.

This directive incorporates the attached instructions for processing the official Ballot Statements issued for the 2014 General Election.

The instructions provide information regarding:

- Ballot Label Style
- Color of Ballots
- Ballot Arrangement
- Numbering System for Candidates and Measures
- Random Ordering of Candidate Names
- Printing Candidate Names
- Multiple Party Nominations
- Judicial Candidates "Incumbent" Designation
- County Nonpartisan Candidates
- Lines for Candidate Write-In Votes
- Ballot Measure Formatting

The provisions of ORS 254.108, 254.125, 254.135, 254.145, 254.155 and ORS 260.675 should be reviewed prior to preparing the official ballot.

Kate Brown
Secretary of State

By:
Jim Williams
Elections Director

KATE BROWN
SECRETARY OF STATE



JIM WILLIAMS
DIRECTOR
255 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 501
SALEM, OREGON 97310-0722
(503) 986-1518

DATE: September 4, 2014
TO: ALL COUNTY ELECTION OFFICIALS
FROM: Jim Williams, Elections Director
SUBJECT: Official Ballot Statements for the General Election on November 4, 2014,
Directive 2014-3

Enclosed you will find the following Official Ballot Statements. We have also enclosed a list of open offices for the 2014 General Election. Study this list to ensure you have every office on your ballot that applies to your county. These Statements will serve as a guide in preparing your county's ballot. The state Official Ballot Statements consist of:

1. Partisan Candidates
2. Nonpartisan Candidates
3. Measures

The Secretary of State directs the following:

BALLOT LABEL STYLE

The official General Election Ballot shall be styled as:

“Official Ballot” and state the name of your county and the date of the election.

See ORS 254.135 for further requirements.

COLOR OF BALLOTS

All Official General Election ballots shall be printed in black ink on good quality material, pursuant to ORS 254.195(1). Ballots shall be printed on white paper.

BALLOT ARRANGEMENT

The offices shall be arranged as follows:

1. US Senator
2. US Representative
3. Governor
4. State Senator
5. State Representative
6. County - if partisan
7. City - if partisan
8. Nonpartisan - the sequences for all nonpartisan offices shall be as follows:
 - a. State judicial office of Circuit Court, in the sequence shown on the enclosed Ballot Statement. These offices are listed in the following order:
 - i. Contested Circuit Court positions; and
 - ii. Uncontested Circuit Court positions.
See ORS 254.125(2)(a).
 - b. District Attorney
 - c. Nonpartisan County Candidates (*such as County Clerk, County Assessor, County Surveyor, County Treasurer, County Commissioner, Sheriff and Justice of the Peace*)
 - d. Nonpartisan City Candidates
 - e. Special District Candidates - if any
9. Measures
 - a. State
 - b. County
 - c. City
 - d. Multi-County Special District
 - e. Single County Special District

If for any reason you feel that the ballot arrangement as set forth will cause you undue administrative or printing problems, please contact this office for further advice.

NUMBERING SYSTEM

1. Candidates - Automated Tally Systems: Unique candidate numbers are not required.
2. Measures: The number assigned to each local (county, city and district) measure must be preceded by a unique county prefix number (*i.e.*, Baker County is number 1, Benton is number 2, and so forth, numbered consecutively in alphabetical order until Yamhill County, which is number 36).

If a district or city is located in more than one county, the county elections officer who is the filing officer shall immediately certify the district or city measure and number to the county clerk of any other county in which the district or city is located. The same measure number shall be used in all counties in which the election is conducted for that measure.

RANDOM ORDERING OF CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of the candidates shall be ordered as provided for in ORS 254.155. On August 27, 2014, this office sent a memo to all County Election Officials giving the random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for candidates on the ballot for the November 4, 2014, General Election. The Ballot Statement for state candidates has been prepared by the Secretary of State using the random sequence.

The random ordering of the letters of the alphabet for which candidate's names will be placed on the ballot for the November 4, 2014, General Election is as follows:

1. O	6. R	11. P	16. I	21. S	26. X
2. Q	7. D	12. J	17. K	22. F	
3. C	8. Z	13. A	18. B	23. L	
4. N	9. T	14. Y	19. U	24. E	
5. M	10. H	15. V	20. G	25. W	

For candidates whose last names begin with the same letter(s) of the alphabet, or whose names are identical etc., follow the procedure contained in OAR 165-010-0090.

ORS 254.115(3) requires if two or more candidates for the same office have the same or similar surnames, the location of their places of residence shall be printed opposite their names to distinguish one from another.

PRINTING CANDIDATE NAMES

The names of candidates shall be printed on the official ballot as indicated in the Ballot Statement. Office titles, candidate names and political parties must be printed in **bold face, mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of these names shall be upper case with the rest lower case (unless within the name an upper case letter is specified by the candidate, such as McVan).

We also advise that **candidate names must not contain any periods**, even after a Jr or Sr. For example, a candidate name should be formatted as Jonathan J Smith, Jr – with **no periods** (commas are allowable).

ORS 249.031 allows for a candidate to use a nickname in parentheses. ORS 254.145(1) provides that no title or designation may be included in the candidate's name (such as Dr, Mr, PhD, Senior Vice President, etc.). Designations such as Jr, Sr, III, IV, etc. are allowable if it is actually part of the name by which the candidate is commonly known.

Note: If no candidate has filed for an office, the ballot must include "No Candidate Filed."

MULTIPLE PARTY NOMINATIONS:

Candidates may be nominated by multiple political parties and have up to three parties listed after their name as indicated in the Ballot Statement ORS 254.135. If the candidate was nominated by the party they are a member of, that party will be listed first, followed by all other parties as specified by the candidate. If the candidate fails to specify which parties and in which order those parties should be listed on the ballot, the first three parties the candidate filed a certificate of nomination for should be listed on the ballot utilizing the random alpha order.

JUDICIAL CANDIDATES "INCUMBENT" DESIGNATION

The information for state candidates for Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Tax Court and Circuit Court positions shall include a designation of "Incumbent" for each candidate who is the regularly elected or appointed judge of the court to which the candidate seeks election ORS 254.135(c). The Ballot Statement provides this designation.

Please note that justice of the peace, county judges and municipal court candidates do not have the "incumbent" designation.

COUNTY NONPARTISAN CANDIDATES

Review ORS 249.091 to determine if county nonpartisan candidates (sheriff, county treasurer or county clerk, or candidates to fill a vacancy in a nonpartisan office) are required to be listed on the general election ballot.

LINES FOR CANDIDATE WRITE-IN VOTES

Provide for the write-in of names in the appropriate place for the ballot style, in accordance with ORS 254.145. Allow one blank line for each position to be filled. Example: "Vote for Three." In this case, you will provide three blank lines following the list of candidates for that office. Blank lines shall not be numbered.

Offices that have been certified as "No Candidate Filed" must still appear on the ballot with the appropriate number of write-in lines. "No Candidate Filed" may be shown on what would have been the candidate name line.

BALLOT MEASURE FORMATTING

State ballot measures must be formatted as shown on the attached Ballot Measure Statement. The state ballot measure headers and the ballot title captions must be printed in **bold face, mixed case type** rather than in all upper case, for the purpose of better readability. Mixed case means the first letters of a phrase or sentence and only certain words within a sentence may be upper case with the rest lower case.

This directive applies to state ballot measures and does not require you to use the same formatting for local measures. However, we encourage consistent formatting, as this would ensure better readability for the voters.

If you have any questions, please contact our office.

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

US Senator Vote for one

Mike Montchalin Libertarian
Jeff Merkley Democrat, Independent, Working Families
Christina Jean Lugo Pacific Green
James E Leuenberger Constitution
Monica Wehby Republican

US Representative, 1st District Vote for one

Steven C Reynolds Pacific Green, Progressive
Jason Yates Republican, Constitution
Suzanne Bonamici Democrat, Working Families
James Foster Libertarian

US Representative, 2nd District Vote for one

Aelea Christofferson Democrat
Sharon L Durbin Libertarian
Greg Walden Republican

US Representative, 3rd District Vote for one

Michael Meo Pacific Green
James Buchal Independent, Republican, Constitution
Earl Blumenauer Democrat
Jeffrey J Langan Libertarian
David Walker Nonaffiliated

US Representative, 4th District Vote for one

David L Chester Libertarian
Art Robinson Republican, Constitution
Peter A DeFazio Democrat, Progressive, Working Families
Mike Beilstein Pacific Green

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

US Representative, 5th District Vote for one

Raymond Baldwin	Constitution
Daniel K Souza	Libertarian
Kurt Schrader	Democrat
Tootie Smith	Republican
Marvin Sannes	Independent

Governor Vote for one

Dennis Richardson	Republican, Independent
Chris Henry	Progressive
Aaron Auer	Constitution
John Kitzhaber	Democrat, Working Families
Paul Grad	Libertarian
Jason Levin	Pacific Green

State Senator, 3rd District Vote for one

Dave Dotterer	Republican, Independent, Libertarian
Art H Krueger	Pacific Green
Alan Bates	Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 4th District Vote for one

Cheryl Mueller	Republican
Floyd Prozanski	Democrat, Working Families
William Bollinger	Libertarian

State Senator, 6th District Vote for one

Lee Beyer	Democrat, Working Families
Michael P Spasaro	Republican, Libertarian

State Senator, 7th District Vote for one

Chris Edwards	Democrat
----------------------	----------

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Senator, 8th District Vote for one

Betsy L Close Republican, Libertarian
Sara A Gelser Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 10th District Vote for one

Glen E Ewert Libertarian
Jackie Winters Republican, Democrat, Independent

State Senator, 11th District Vote for one

Peter Courtney Democrat, Working Families
Patricia Milne Republican

State Senator, 13th District Vote for one

Kim Thatcher Republican, Independent, Libertarian
Ryan Howard Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 15th District Vote for one

Caitlin Mitchel-Markley Libertarian
Chuck Riley Democrat, Working Families
Bruce Starr Republican

State Senator, 16th District Vote for one

Perry R Roll Libertarian
Betsy Johnson Democrat, Republican
Andrew (Drew) Kaza Independent, Working Families
Bob Ekstrom Constitution

State Senator, 17th District Vote for one

John Verbeek Republican, Libertarian
Elizabeth Steiner Hayward Democrat, Independent, Working Families

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Senator, 19th District Vote for one

Richard Devlin Democrat, Republican, Working Families

State Senator, 20th District Vote for one

Alan R Olsen Republican, Independent
Jamie Damon Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 23rd District Vote for one

Michael Marvin Libertarian
Michael Dembrow Democrat, Working Families

State Senator, 24th District Vote for one

Rod Monroe Democrat, Republican, Working Families

State Senator, 26th District Vote for one

Chuck Thomsen Republican, Independent
Robert R Bruce Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 1st District Vote for one

Wayne Krieger Republican
Jim Klahr Democrat

State Representative, 2nd District Vote for one

Dallas Heard Republican
Jeff Adams Libertarian
Kerry Atherton Democrat

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 3rd District Vote for one

Tom Johnson	Democrat, Working Families
Barbara L Gonzalez	Constitution
Mark J Seligman	Libertarian
Carl Wilson	Republican

State Representative, 4th District Vote for one

Darlene V Taylor	Democrat, Working Families
Duane A Stark	Republican

State Representative, 5th District Vote for one

Nick Card	Republican
Peter Buckley	Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 6th District Vote for one

Sal Esquivel	Republican, Democrat, Libertarian
---------------------	-----------------------------------

State Representative, 7th District Vote for one

Cedric Hayden	Republican, Democrat
Brandon M Boertje	Libertarian

State Representative, 8th District Vote for one

Paul R Holvey	Democrat, Working Families
----------------------	----------------------------

State Representative, 9th District Vote for one

Caddy McKeown	Democrat
Guy S Rosinbaum	Libertarian
Casey Runyan	Republican

State Representative, 10th District Vote for one

David Gomberg	Democrat, Independent, Republican
----------------------	-----------------------------------

Secretary of State Elections Division
255 Capitol St NE, Ste 501, Salem, Oregon 97310

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 11th District Vote for one

Andy Petersen Republican
Phil Barnhart Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 12th District Vote for one

Christopher P Gergen Republican
John Lively Democrat

State Representative, 13th District Vote for one

Laura D Cooper Republican, Libertarian
Nancy Nathanson Democrat

State Representative, 14th District Vote for one

Val Hoyle Democrat
Kathy Lamberg Republican

State Representative, 15th District Vote for one

Andy Olson Republican, Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 16th District Vote for one

Dan Rayfield Democrat
Jacob D Vandever Republican

State Representative, 17th District Vote for one

Rich Harisay Democrat
Sherrie Sprenger Republican, Libertarian

State Representative, 18th District Vote for one

Scott A Mills Democrat, Working Families
Vic Gilliam Republican

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 19th District

Vote for one

Bill Dalton

Democrat, Working Families

Jodi L Hack

Republican

State Representative, 20th District

Vote for one

Kathy B Goss

Republican

Paul Evans

Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 21st District

Vote for one

Brian Clem

Democrat, Working Families

Beverly J Wright

Republican

State Representative, 22nd District

Vote for one

Thad Marney

Libertarian

Michael P Marsh

Constitution

Betty Komp

Democrat

Matt Geiger

Republican, Independent

State Representative, 23rd District

Vote for one

Mike Nearman

Republican

Wanda Davis

Democrat, Working Families

Alex Polikoff

Pacific Green

Mark Karnowski

Libertarian

State Representative, 24th District

Vote for one

Ken Moore

Democrat, Independent, Working Families

Kohler Johnson

Libertarian

Jim Weidner

Republican

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 25th District Vote for one

Bill Post Republican, Libertarian
Josh Smith Pacific Green
Chuck Lee Independent, Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 26th District Vote for one

John Davis Republican, Independent
Chuck Huntting Libertarian
Eric D Squires Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 27th District Vote for one

Robert D Martin Libertarian
Tobias Read Democrat, Republican

State Representative, 28th District Vote for one

Lars D H Hedbor Libertarian
Jeff Barker Democrat, Republican, Working Families

State Representative, 29th District Vote for one

Susan McLain Democrat, Working Families
Mark Richman Republican, Libertarian

State Representative, 30th District Vote for one

Kyle Markley Libertarian
Dan Mason Republican
Joe Gallegos Democrat, Independent

State Representative, 31st District Vote for one

Robert Miller Libertarian
Larry C Ericksen Republican
Brad Witt Democrat, Working Families

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 32nd District Vote for one

Rick Rose Republican
Deborah Boone Democrat

State Representative, 33rd District Vote for one

Mark W Vetanen Libertarian
Mitch Greenlick Democrat, Republican

State Representative, 34th District Vote for one

Ken Helm Democrat, Working Families
Brenden King Republican, Libertarian

State Representative, 35th District Vote for one

Margaret Doherty Democrat, Republican
John S Gerboth Libertarian

State Representative, 36th District Vote for one

Amanda Burnham Libertarian
Jennifer Williamson Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 37th District Vote for one

Gerritt Rosenthal Democrat
Julie Parrish Republican

State Representative, 38th District Vote for one

Ann Lininger Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 39th District Vote for one

Bill Kennemer Republican, Democrat, Independent

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 40th District Vote for one

Steve Newgard Republican
Brent Barton Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 41st District Vote for one

Timothy E McMenamin Republican, Libertarian
Kathleen Taylor Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 42nd District Vote for one

Rob Nosse Democrat, Working Families
Bruce Alexander Knight Libertarian

State Representative, 43rd District Vote for one

Lew Frederick Democrat, Republican, Working Families

State Representative, 44th District Vote for one

Michael H Harrington Republican
Tina Kotek Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 45th District Vote for one

Barbara Smith Warner Democrat, Working Families, Republican

State Representative, 46th District Vote for one

Alissa Keny-Guyer Democrat, Independent, Working Families

State Representative, 47th District Vote for one

Jessica Vega Pederson Democrat, Working Families

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 48th District Vote for one

Jeff Reardon Democrat, Working Families
Geo (Sonny) W Yellott Republican, Libertarian

State Representative, 49th District Vote for one

Bill Beckers Republican
Chris Gorsek Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 50th District Vote for one

Dan Christenson Republican
Carla C Piluso Democrat

State Representative, 51st District Vote for one

Jodi Bailey Republican, Independent, Libertarian
Shemia Fagan Democrat, Working Families

State Representative, 52nd District Vote for one

Stephanie Nystrom Democrat, Working Families
Mark Johnson Republican, Independent

State Representative, 53rd District Vote for one

Gene Whisnant Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 54th District Vote for one

Knute C Buehler Republican, Independent, Libertarian
Craig Wilhelm Democrat

State Representative, 55th District Vote for one

Mike McLane Republican
Richard V Phay Democrat
Frank W Brannen Libertarian

Secretary of State Elections Division
255 Capitol St NE, Ste 501, Salem, Oregon 97310

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

State Representative, 56th District Vote for one

Gail D Whitsett Republican, Libertarian, Democrat

State Representative, 57th District Vote for one

Greg Smith Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 58th District Vote for one

Heidi Van Schoonhoven Democrat
Greg Barreto Republican

State Representative, 59th District Vote for one

John E Huffman Republican, Democrat

State Representative, 60th District Vote for one

Peter W Hall Democrat
Cliff Bentz Republican

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 2 Vote for one

David Hoppe Nonpartisan
Adam Peterson **Incumbent** Nonpartisan

Judge of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 3

Kelly W Ravassipour **Incumbent** Nonpartisan

Judge of the Circuit Court, 3rd District, Position 3

Cheryl Pellegrini **Incumbent** Nonpartisan

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th District, Position 12 Vote for one

Gregory F Silver **Incumbent** Nonpartisan

Candidate Ballot Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

Judge Of the Circuit Court, 5th District, Position 8		Vote for one
Heather L Karabeika	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

Judge of the Circuit Court, 7th District, Position 3		Vote for one
Karen Ostrye	Incumbent	Nonpartisan
Timothy MB Farrell		Nonpartisan

Judge of the Circuit Court, 19th District, Position 1		Vote for one
Cathleen B Callahan		Nonpartisan
Jean Marie Martwick	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

Judge Of the Circuit Court, 20th District, Position 8		Vote for one
Ricardo J Menchaca	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

Judge Of the Circuit Court, 20th District, Position 12		Vote for one
Beth L Roberts	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

Judge Of the Circuit Court, 21st District, Position 2		Vote for one
Matthew Donohue	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

Judge Of the Circuit Court, 23rd District, Position 2		Vote for one
David E Delsman	Incumbent	Nonpartisan

District Attorney, Lincoln County		Vote for one
Michelle Branam		Nonpartisan

District Attorney, Union County		Vote for one
Kelsie McDaniel		Nonpartisan

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

**Referred to the People by the
Legislative Assembly**

Measure 86

Amends Constitution: Requires creation of fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, authorizes state indebtedness to finance fund

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote amends constitution and requires legislature to establish fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, career training; authorizes state to incur debt to finance fund. **Yes**

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote rejects authorization for state to extend credit and incur debt to create dedicated fund for Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education and career training. **No**

Summary: Amends Constitution. Oregon constitution generally prohibits the state from extending credit or incurring debt. Measure requires the legislature to create dedicated fund for exclusive benefit of Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education, including technical, professional and career training. Measure authorizes state to lend credit and incur debt to finance fund. Indebtedness incurred may not exceed one percent of real market value of all property in state. Moneys in fund not subject to constitutional limitations on investment. Generated earnings must be retained by fund, unless used to provide financial assistance to Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education. If governor declares an emergency, legislature may pass a bill to use the fund’s money for any lawful purpose, provided the legislature also has approved a plan to repay the fund.

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

**Referred to the People by the
Legislative Assembly**

Measure 87

Amends Constitution: Permits employment of state judges by National Guard (military service) and state public universities (teaching)

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote amends constitution to permit state judges to be employed by Oregon National Guard for military service purposes, state public universities for teaching purposes. **Yes**

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains existing constitutional restrictions on employment of Oregon state court judges by the Oregon National Guard and by the state public university system. **No**

Summary: Article III, section 1, of Oregon Constitution (“separation of powers” clause) prohibits person from serving in more than one branch of government at the same time; Oregon Supreme Court has ruled that provision prohibits state court judges from teaching at institutions of public education. Article II, section 10, prohibits state court judges from being compensated for military service in National Guard. Measure amends constitution to authorize any public university as defined by law to employ state court judges for purpose of teaching at Oregon public universities. Measure also authorizes employment of state court judges by Oregon National Guard for purpose of military service. Measure provides that such educational or military employment shall not preclude person from serving as state judge at same time. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

**Referendum Order by
Petition of the People**

Measure 88

Provides Oregon resident "driver card" without requiring proof of legal presence in the United States

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote directs Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to Oregon resident meeting specified eligibility, without requiring proof of legal presence in United States. **Yes**

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote rejects law directing Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to eligible Oregon resident without requiring proof of legal presence in United States. **No**

Summary: Current law requires any applicant for an Oregon driver license or permit to provide proof of legal presence in the United States. Measure directs the Department of Transportation to issue a "driver card" to an applicant who does not provide proof of legal presence in the United States, but who has otherwise complied with all Oregon requirements for the type of driving privileges sought, has provided proof of residence in Oregon for more than one year, and has provided proof of identity and date of birth. The driver card may not be used as identification for air travel, to enter a federal building, to register to vote or to obtain any government benefit requiring proof of citizenship or lawful presence in United States.
Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure will require the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to issue an Oregon Driver Card to an applicant without requiring the applicant to provide proof of legal presence in the United States, if that individual complies with all the requirements for the driving privileges to be sought; provides proof of identity and date of birth; (3) provides proof of residing in Oregon in excess of one year as of the date of the application; (4) provides a Social Security number (SSN) assigned to that individual by the United States Social Security Administration (SSA) or provides a written statement that the individual has not been assigned a SSN; and (5) pays any fees associated with the type of driver card being sought. The cost to provide these cards is estimated at \$2,794,802 in the 2013-15 biennium and \$2,677,144 in the 2015-17 biennium, but revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs to ODOT. The revenue in excess of the costs will be deposited within the State Highway Fund.

The referendum establishes the following fees: (1) \$64 for issuance of a Class C driver card; (2) \$5 for the knowledge test for a Class C driver card; (3) \$9 for the skills test for a Class C driver

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

card; (4) \$64 for issuance of a restricted Class C driver card; (5) \$44 for renewal of a Class C driver card; (6) \$30 for replacement of a Class C driver card; (7) \$6 for the Student Driver Training Fund; (8) \$75 for reinstatement of revoked driving privilege; (9) \$75 for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges; and (10) fee for reinstatement of the right to apply for driving privileges after a delay under ORS 809.280 (10) (1997 Edition), which is the same as the fee for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges.

The referendum provides that the fees charged for an Oregon Driver Card would be used for administrative purposes and distributed to the Highway Fund in the same manner as fees charged for an Oregon Driver License. It is anticipated that this measure will generate \$3,510,437 of revenue in 2013-15 and \$4,333,562 in 2015-17.

There are no anticipated effects on local government.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 89

Amends Constitution: State/political subdivision shall not deny or abridge equality of rights on account of sex

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote amends state constitution, prohibits state and any political subdivision from denying or abridging equality of rights under the law on account of sex.

Yes

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains current prohibition on laws granting/denying privileges or immunities on account of sex, unless justified by specific biological differences between men/women.

No

Summary: Under Article I, section 20, of the Oregon Constitution, laws granting privileges or immunities must apply equally to all persons. The Oregon Supreme Court has held that that provision prohibits laws treating people differently based on sex unless justified by specific biological differences. No current provision in constitution expressly states that prohibition. Measure amends Article I by creating new section 46, which provides that equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the state or any political subdivision on account of sex. Measure authorizes legislature to enforce that provision by appropriate legislation. Measure provides that nothing in section 46 “shall diminish a right otherwise available to persons under section 20 of this Article or any other provision of this Constitution.”

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 90

Changes general election nomination processes: provides for single primary ballot listing candidates; top two advance

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote replaces general election nomination processes for most partisan offices; all candidates listed on one single primary ballot; two advance to general election ballot. **Yes**

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains current general election nomination processes, including party primaries for major parties; separate primary ballots; multiple candidates can appear on general election ballot. **No**

Summary: Currently, each major party has a separate primary election ballot. Major party’s registered voters nominate party’s candidates; others’ primary ballots include only nonpartisan candidates; all vote for one candidate per office. General election ballot may include multiple candidates per office: unaffiliated, major, minor party candidates. Measure replaces that system for most partisan offices, including many federal (not Presidential), all state, county, city, district offices. Single primary ballot lists all candidates for each office. Voters may vote for any candidate, regardless of voter’s or candidate’s party affiliation. Only top two candidates per office appear on general election ballot; may be from same party. Primary, general election ballots must contain candidates’ party registration/endorsements. Eligible person, regardless of party, may be selected to fill vacancy. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure changes statutes relating to primary elections. Except for the office of President, it requires that the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes advance to the general election regardless of party affiliation. The measure provides criteria for listing candidates on ballots. It establishes procedures for filling vacant Congressional offices through special elections and allows appointment to vacant state offices regardless of party affiliation. The initiative contains statutory criteria for establishing minority parties and retaining their status. It requires the Legislature to pass implementing statutes.

The Secretary of State Elections Division estimates start-up costs of \$362,640 to modify computer systems. The most likely funding source would be revenues from the General Fund.

Because of the estimated mix of costs and savings, the financial impact to counties is indeterminate.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 91

Allows possession, manufacture, sale of marijuana by/to adults, subject to state licensing, regulation, taxation

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote allows possession, authorizes in-state manufacture, processing, sale of marijuana by/to adults; licensing, regulation, taxation by state; retains current medical marijuana laws. **Yes**

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains laws classifying cannabis as a controlled substance; prohibiting most sale, possession, manufacture of cannabis; permitting production, possession of cannabis for medical use. **No**

Summary: Currently, cultivation, possession, delivery, sale of marijuana are unlawful, excepting regulated production, possession, use of medical marijuana. Measure allows production, processing, delivery, possession, sale of marijuana to adults, licensed, regulated by Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC). Marijuana producer, processor, wholesaler may deliver “marijuana items” (defined) only to/on licensed retail premises. OLCC collects tax imposed on marijuana producer at different rates for marijuana flowers, leaves, immature plant. “Homegrown marijuana” (defined) not regulated, taxed. Tax revenues, fees fund OLCC suspense account, Oregon Marijuana Account distributed: 40% to Common School Fund; 20% for mental health/alcohol/drug services; 15% for state police; 20% for local law enforcement; 5% to Oregon Health Authority. “Marijuana paraphernalia” (defined) excluded from “drug paraphernalia” laws. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure legalizes, regulates and taxes the manufacture, sale and use of marijuana in Oregon. State and local expenditures and revenues will be impacted by passage of this measure.

The measure requires the Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC) to license and regulate the distribution of marijuana. The revenue estimate from taxes when fully implemented may range from \$17 million to \$40 million annually.

The OLCC estimates that the start-up costs are about \$300,000 in state fiscal year 2015, about \$2.5 million in state fiscal year 2016, and \$1.0 million in 2017. OLCC annual operating expenses are estimated to be \$3.2 million per year. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The remaining revenue beyond expenses would be distributed as follows: 40% to the Common

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

School Fund, 20% to the Mental Health Alcoholism and Drug Services Account, 15% to the State Police Account, 10% to cities for law enforcement, 10% to counties for law enforcement, and 5% to the Oregon Health Authority for alcohol and drug abuse prevention, early intervention and treatment services.

The Oregon Health Authority estimates \$200,000 per year in additional expenditures for two positions to license marijuana facilities that test marijuana products. This estimate assumes 20 such facilities. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Department of Agriculture estimates \$100,000 per year in additional expenditures for one position to provide rulemaking related to marijuana-infused food products, engage in outreach to the food industry, and assist members of the food industry to achieve compliance with rules. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

Oregon State Police estimates that passage of the initiative would create a need for three additional Highway Interdiction Team detectives as well as training of all sworn members in Advanced Roadside Impairment Driving Enforcement and training of some members to join the existing pool of Drug Recognition Experts. The associated start-up costs for additional staffing and training are estimated at \$400,000 in state fiscal year 2016 and ongoing expenses of \$400,000 per year beginning in fiscal year 2016. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Judicial Department expects additional court costs to address OLCC rulemaking and licensing authority of between \$21,417 and \$55,902 in the 2015-17 biennium and between \$13,068 and \$47,190 per year in later biennia.

Passage of the initiative may result in the reduction in the number of persons entering the public safety system for marijuana-related violations, thereby reducing state General Fund expenditures on community corrections. Passage of the initiative may result in a reduction in the dollar value of fines collected by state and local governments for convictions of marijuana-related violations. Therefore, the impact for state and local governments, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

New jobs created will generate an indeterminate amount of income tax revenue.

Ballot Measure Statement
2014 General Election

September 04, 2014

Proposed by Initiative Petition

Measure 92

Requires food manufacturers, retailers to label "genetically engineered" foods as such; state, citizens may enforce

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote requires the labeling of raw and packaged foods produced entirely or partially by "genetic engineering," effective January 2016; applies to retailers, suppliers, manufacturers. **Yes**

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing law, which does not require "genetically engineered" food to be labeled as such. **No**

Summary: Current law does not require labeling of "genetically engineered" food. Measure requires retailers of genetically-engineered raw food to include "Genetically Engineered" on packages, display bins, or shelves; suppliers must label shipping containers. Requires manufacturers of packaged food produced entirely or partially by genetic engineering to include "Produced with Genetic Engineering" or "Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering" on packages. Defines "genetically engineered" food as food produced from organisms with genetic material changed through in vitro nucleic acid techniques and certain cell-fusing techniques; exempts traditional plant-breeding techniques like hybridization. Does not apply to animal feed or food served in restaurants. Directs agencies to implement law. Permits state, injured citizen to sue manufacturer, retailer for knowing/intentional violation; attorney fees for prevailing citizen. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: The measure requires the State Department of Agriculture and/or the Oregon Health Authority to prescribe, enact, and enforce rules necessary to ensure that food manufacturers and retailers properly label raw and packaged food that is entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering. The measure is expected to result in direct expenditures by State agencies for initial one time start-up costs estimated at between \$550,000 and \$600,000. Costs associated with ongoing enforcement have variable assumptions about the level of administrative oversight. There are potential indirect economic effects that may be offsetting. Therefore, the direct financial impact and indirect economic impact is indeterminate.

There is no anticipated effect on local government.
