

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Federal Offices

Federal

United States President and Vice
President

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Donald J Trump / Mike Pence
Hillary Clinton / Tim Kaine
Jill Stein / Ajamu BarakaRepublican
Democrat
Pacific Green,
Progressive
Libertarian

Gary Johnson / Bill Weld

Number Candidates Filed: 4

US Senator

Vote for 1 6 Year Term

Steven C Reynolds
Ron Wyden
Mark Callahan
Eric NavickasIndependent
Democrat
Republican
Pacific Green,
Progressive
Libertarian
Working FamiliesJim Lindsay
Shanti S Lewallen

Number Candidates Filed: 6

Contest Total: 2

US Congressional District 1

US Representative, District 1

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Brian J Heinrich
Suzanne Bonamici
Kyle SheahanRepublican
Democrat, Independent
Libertarian

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

US Congressional District 3

US Representative, District 3

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

David Delk
David W WalkerProgressive
Independent,
Republican
Democrat

Earl Blumenauer

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

US Congressional District 5

US Representative, District 5

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Colm Willis
Kurt Schrader
Marvin SandnesRepublican
Democrat, Independent
Pacific Green

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

Partisan State Offices

Statewide Partisan

Governor

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

James Foster
Kate Brown

Libertarian
Democrat, Working
Families
Independent
Constitution
Republican

Cliff Thomason
Aaron Donald Auer
Bud Pierce

Number Candidates Filed: 5

Secretary of State

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Sharon L Durbin
Dennis Richardson
Paul Damian Wells
Brad Avakian

Libertarian
Republican
Independent
Democrat, Working
Families, Progressive
Pacific Green
Constitution

Alan Zundel
Michael Marsh

Number Candidates Filed: 6

State Treasurer

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Chris Henry

Tobias Read
Jeff Gudman
Chris Telfer

Progressive, Pacific
Green
Democrat
Republican
Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 4

Attorney General

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Lars D H Hedbor
Ellen Rosenblum

Libertarian
Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families
Republican

Daniel Zene Crowe

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 4

Senate District 14

State Senator, 14th District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Mark Hass

Democrat,
Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

Senate District 18

State Senator, 18th District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Ginny Burdick

Democrat,
Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Partisan State Offices

Senate District 21

State Senator, 21st District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Josh Howard
Kathleen TaylorLibertarian
Democrat, Working
Families, Independent
Progressive, Pacific
Green

James Ofsink

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

Senate District 22

State Senator, 22nd District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Lew Frederick

Democrat, Working
Families, Independent,
Libertarian

Eugene A Newell Jr

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Senate District 23

State Senator, 23rd District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Michael Dembrow

Democrat, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

Senate District 25

State Senator, 25th District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Jeffrey Ricks
Tamie Tlustos-Arnold
Laurie Monnes AndersonLibertarian
Republican
Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

House District 27

State Representative, 27th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Sheri Malstrom

Democrat,
Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

House District 31

State Representative, 31st District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Brad Witt

Democrat,
Independent,
Republican
Libertarian

Robert Miller

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Partisan State Offices

House District 33

State Representative, 33rd District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Mitch Greenlick
John VerbeekDemocrat, Independent
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 35

State Representative, 35th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Margaret Doherty
Jessica L CousineauDemocrat, Working
Families
Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 36

State Representative, 36th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Jennifer Williamson
Amanda BurnhamDemocrat,
Independent, Working
Families
Libertarian

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 38

State Representative, 38th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Patrick De Klotz
Ann LiningerRepublican
Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 41

State Representative, 41st District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Tim McMenamin
Karin PowerRepublican
Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 42

State Representative, 42nd District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Jeremy Wilson
Rob Nosse
James E StubbsLibertarian
Democrat, Working
Families
Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Partisan State Offices

House District 43

State Representative, 43rd District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Tawna Sanchez

Democrat, Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

House District 44

State Representative, 44th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Joe Rowe

Pacific Green,
Progressive

Tina Kotek

Democrat, Working
Families, Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 45

State Representative, 45th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Barbara Smith Warner

Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

House District 46

State Representative, 46th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Alissa Keny-Guyer

Democrat, Working
Families, Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

House District 47

State Representative, 47th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Diego Hernandez

Democrat, Working
Families

Michael P Langley

Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 48

State Representative, 48th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Gary Dye

Libertarian

Jeff Reardon

Democrat, Independent

George (Sonny) Yellott

Republican

Timothy Crawley

Nonaffiliated

Number Candidates Filed: 4

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Partisan State Offices

House District 49

State Representative, 49th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Chris Gorsek

Democrat, Republican,
Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

House District 50

State Representative, 50th District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Michael Calcagno

Stella Armstrong

Carla Piluso

Independent

Republican

Democrat, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

House District 51

State Representative, 51st District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Janelle S Bynum

Lori Chavez-DeRemer

Democrat, Working
FamiliesRepublican,
Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

House District 52

State Representative, 52nd District

Vote for 1

2 Year Term

Mark Reynolds

Mark Johnson

Democrat, Working
FamiliesRepublican,
Independent

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Nonpartisan State Offices

Statewide Nonpartisan

Judge of the Supreme Court,
Position 6

Vote for 1

6 Year Term

Lynn R Nakamoto - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Judge of the Court of Appeals,
Position 5

Vote for 1

6 Year Term

Scott Shorr - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Judge of the Court of Appeals,
Position 8

Vote for 1

6 Year Term

Roger J DeHoog - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

Nonpartisan State Offices

Circuit Court District 04

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th
 District, Position 6

Vote for 1 6 Year Term

Leslie G Bottomly - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th
 District, Position 24

Vote for 1 6 Year Term

Eric L Dahlin - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Judge of the Circuit Court, 4th
 District, Position 35

Vote for 1 6 Year Term

Patrick W Henry - Incumbent

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 094

Caption: Amends Constitution: Eliminates mandatory retirement age for state judges

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote amends constitution, state judges not required to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old. Statutes cannot establish mandatory retirement age.

Result of No: "No" vote retains constitutional provisions requiring state judges to retire from judicial office after turning 75 years old, authorizing statutes establishing lesser mandatory retirement age.

Summary: Article VII (Amended), section 1a, of the Oregon Constitution, requires state judges to "retire from judicial office at the end of the calendar year" in which they turn 75 years old. Section 1a(1) authorizes laws that establish a lesser age--not younger than 70 years--for mandatory retirement. Measure amends constitution to remove provision requiring mandatory retirement at age 75, as well as provision authorizing statutes requiring mandatory retirement at age 70 or older. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes statutes permitting retired judges to be recalled to temporary active service. Measure retains constitutional provision that authorizes laws permitting or requiring judges to retire due to a physical or mental disability or any other cause that renders them incapable of performing their judicial duties.

Financial Impact: There is no financial impact to state revenue or expenditures. There is no financial impact on local government revenue or expenditures.

Measure No: 095

Caption: Amends Constitution: Allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students.

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote allows public universities to invest in equities to reduce financial risk and increase funds available to help students.

Result of No: "No" vote prevents public universities from investing in equities.

Summary: This measure allows investments in equities by public universities to reduce financial risk and increase investments to benefit students. Additional investment income could benefit students by minimizing tuition increases and enhancing student programs.

Financial Impact:

This measure amends Article XI, section 6 of the Oregon Constitution to exempt public universities from a constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.

There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues required by the measure. The revenue and expenditure impact on public universities is dependent upon decisions by each university on the type and amount of private equity in which they choose (or choose not) to invest, and on the return on these investments.

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 096

Caption: Amends Constitution: Dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to funding support services for Oregon veterans

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote dedicates 1.5% of state lottery net proceeds to fund veterans' services, including assistance with employment, education, housing, and physical/mental health care.

Result of No: "No" vote retains current list of authorized purposes for spending state lottery net proceeds; 1.5% dedication to fund veterans' services not required.

Summary: Amends Constitution, dedicates lottery funding for veterans' support services. Currently, constitution requires that state lottery proceeds be used to create jobs, further economic development, and finance public education; dedicates some net lottery proceeds as follows: 18% to finance education stability fund, 15% to finance state parks, restoration and protection of native fish and wildlife, watersheds, water quality and wildlife habitats, 15% to finance school capital matching fund. Measure dedicates 1.5% of lottery net proceeds to fund services for Oregon veterans. Veterans' services include assistance with employment, education, housing, physical/mental health care, addiction treatment, reintegration, access to government benefits, and other services for veterans, spouses and dependents. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: This referral amends the Oregon Constitution to dedicate 1.5% of net proceeds from the State Lottery to be deposited in a veteran's services fund, to be created by the Legislature. The money in the veteran's services fund is to be expended on veterans' services, which may include: (1) reintegration, employment, education benefits and tuition, housing, physical and mental health care and addiction treatment programs; (2) assistance for veterans or their dependents to access state and federal benefits; or (3) funding for services provided by county veterans' services officers, campus veterans' service officer or nonprofit or tribal veterans' services officers. The referral defines a veteran as a resident of the State of Oregon who served in the Armed Forces of the United States.

Based on the June 2016 forecast from the Office of Economic Analysis 1.5% of net lottery proceeds for veterans' services would be approximately \$9.3 million annually for the 2017-19 biennium. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$9.3 million annually, during the 2017-19 biennium, to the Veterans' Services Fund from economic development and public education expenditures.

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 097

Caption: Increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; funds education, healthcare, senior services

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed \$25 million; removes tax limit; exempts "benefit companies"; increased revenue funds education, healthcare, senior services.

Result of No: "No" vote retains existing corporate minimum tax rates based on Oregon sales; tax limited to \$100,000; revenue not dedicated to education, healthcare, senior services.

Summary: Current law requires each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a federal tax return to pay annual minimum tax; amount of tax is determined by tax bracket corresponding to amount of corporation's Oregon sales; corporations with sales of \$100 million or more pay \$100,000. Measure increases annual minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than \$25 million; imposes minimum tax of \$30,001 plus 2.5% of amount of sales above \$25 million; eliminates tax cap; benefit companies (business entities that create public benefit) taxed under current law. Applies to tax years beginning on/after January 1, 2017. Revenue from tax increase goes to: public education (early childhood through grade 12); healthcare; services for senior citizens.

Financial Impact: The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by \$548 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2017, and approximately \$3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.

The financial impact on state expenditures by program is indeterminate. The increased revenue will require increased expenditures by the state in the areas of public early childhood and kindergarten through grade 12 education, health care, and senior services, but the exact amount and the specific uses within the three identified programs cannot be determined.

Although there is no direct financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues, there is likely to be an indirect and indeterminate effect on the state economy and local government revenues and expenditures.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 098

Caption: Requires state funding for dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs in Oregon high schools

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote requires state legislature to fund dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs through grants to Oregon high schools; state monitors programs.

Result of No: "No" vote retains current law: legislature not required to commit funds to career-technical/college-level education/dropout-prevention programs, retains discretion to allocate funds.

Summary: Currently, the Oregon legislature provides General Fund revenues to the State School Fund based on constitutionally required quality goals; those funds are distributed directly to school districts under a specified formula. Measure requires legislature to separately provide at least \$800 per high school student --adjusted upward annually for inflation/population--to a Department of Education (ODE) administered account. ODE distributes those funds to school districts to establish or expand high school programs providing career-technical education, college-level courses, and dropout-prevention strategies. School districts must apply for grants, meet specified requirements. Districts may use limited portion of fund for administration costs but not unrelated activities. ODE monitors school district performance, ensures compliance, facilitates programs; Secretary of State audits biannually. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: The measure does not affect the aggregate amount of funds collected or expended by state or local government.
The measure does, however, commit a minimum increase of \$147 million annually to expenditures on career and technical education, accelerated learning and high school graduation improvement programs. This number could be lower if state revenues do not grow by \$1.5 billion in the 2017-2019 biennium.

Because the measure does not raise additional revenue, the measure specifically provides that the Legislature determine how these program expansions will be funded.

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 099

Caption: Creates "Outdoor School Education Fund," continuously funded through Lottery, to provide outdoor school programs statewide

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote creates separate fund, financed through Oregon Lottery Economic Development Fund and administered by Oregon State University (OSU), to provide outdoor school programs statewide.

Result of No: "No" vote rejects creation of fund to provide outdoor school programs statewide; retains current law under which OSU administers outdoor school grants if funding available.

Summary: Presently, Oregon does not fund outdoor school programs statewide, but, under current law, OSU assists school districts by awarding grants according to specified criteria and providing program maintenance, conditioned on funding. Measure creates separate "Outdoor School Education Fund" (Fund) that is financed by Oregon State Lottery money distributed for economic development. Caps annual distributions of Lottery revenues to Fund. Specifies Fund's purpose to provide every Oregon fifth- or sixth-grade student week-long outdoor school program or equivalent. Continuously appropriates Fund to OSU to administer and fund outdoor school programs statewide consistent with current law's grant program criteria; may require Fund dispersal outside of grant program. Allocations to Fund shall not reduce lottery proceeds dedicated under Oregon Constitution to education, parks, beaches, watersheds, fish, wildlife.

Financial Impact: This measure amends Oregon Revised Statutes to dedicate a portion of lottery proceeds for a statewide outdoor school program. In 2015, the Oregon State Legislature established an Outdoor Education Account for the purpose of funding a six day, residential, hands-on outdoor school program or equivalent for fifth and sixth grade students across the state. The Legislature did not provide funding at that time. This measure would affect distributions from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. It dedicates the lesser of the following two amounts to the Outdoor Education account: either four percent of the quarterly transfers to this fund or \$5.5 million quarterly, with a maximum of \$22 million each year.

The measure would result in an expenditure shift of \$22 million annually to the Outdoor Education Account from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected for or expended by state government. This measure would not have an impact on the constitutionally dedicated amounts for the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 100

Caption: Prohibits purchase or sale of parts or products from certain wildlife species; exceptions; civil penalties

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote prohibits purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritances, and certain antiques/musical instruments; civil penalties.

Result of No: Maintains current Oregon law which does not prohibit purchase or sale of parts or products from species not native to Oregon, except for shark fins.

Summary: Existing Oregon law does not prohibit sale of wildlife parts/products for non native species, except shark fins. Existing federal law does not prohibit intrastate sales of wildlife parts, with exceptions. Measure amends ORS 498.022 to prohibit purchase, sale, or possession with intent to sell of parts/products from elephant, rhinoceros, whale, tiger, lion, leopard, cheetah, jaguar, pangolin, sea turtle, shark, ray. Imposes civil penalties. Creates exceptions: law enforcement activities; activities authorized by federal law; fish managed under federal plan; certain antiques (over 100 years old) and musical instruments with less than 200 grams of parts; noncommercial transfers through estates, trusts, gifts; possession by tribal members. Other exceptions. Fish and Wildlife Commission may adopt rules, including prohibiting purchase/sale of parts "closely" resembling listed species parts.

Financial Impact: There is less than a \$100,000 financial effect on state government expenditures or revenues. There is no financial effect on local government expenditures or revenues.

Contest Total: 7

County Offices

Multnomah

Multnomah County Sheriff

Vote for 1 2 Year Unexpired Term

Mike Reese

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

Multnomah Co Commission District #1

Multnomah Co Commissioner Dist #1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Eric Zimmerman

Sharon Meieran

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Multnomah Co Commission District #4

Multnomah Co Commissioner Dist #4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Lori Stegmann

Amanda Schroeder

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

City Offices

CITY OF FAIRVIEW

City of Fairview Council, Pos 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Cathi Forsythe

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City of Fairview Council, Pos 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Mike Weatherby

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City of Fairview Council, Pos 3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Russell M Williams

Keith A Kudrna

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Fairview Council, Pos 4

Vote for 1 2 Year
Unexpired
Term

Renaye Delano

Lisa Barton Mullins

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 4

CITY OF GRESHAM

City of Gresham Council, Pos 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Jerry W Hinton

Thomas Stanley

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Gresham Council, Pos 3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Karylenn Echols

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City of Gresham Council, Pos 5

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

David Widmark

Christopher Bentley

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 3

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

City Offices

City of Lake Oswego (JT)

Mayor

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Dave Berg

Jon Gustafson

Kent Studebaker

Number Candidates Filed: 3

City Councilor

Vote for 3 4 Year Term

Theresa Kohlhoff

Charles Collins

Skip O'Neill

John LaMotte

Number Candidates Filed: 4

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF MAYWOOD PARK

City of Maywood Park Council

Vote for 3 4 Year Term

Arthur J Winslow

Matthew P Castor

James S Akers

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 1

City of Milwaukie (JT)

City Councilor Position 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Angel Falconer

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City Councilor Position 3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Robert (Elvis) Clark

Wilda A Parks

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF PORTLAND

City of Portland Commissioner, Pos
4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Chloe Eudaly

Steve Novick

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

City Offices

CITY OF TROUTDALE

City of Troutdale Mayor

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Casey Ryan

Rich Allen

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Troutdale Council, Pos 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Corey Brooks

Randy Lauer

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Troutdale Council, Pos 4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Glenn White

Robert Canfield

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Troutdale Council, Pos 6

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Zach Hudson

John L Wilson

John P Sunseri

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 4

CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE

City of Wood Village Council, Pos 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Patricia Smith

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City of Wood Village Council, Pos 3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

No Candidate Filed

Number Candidates Filed: 0

City of Wood Village Council, Pos 5

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Timothy Clark

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

District Offices

SOIL AND WATER, EAST DISTRICT

East Soil & Water, Director, At
Large 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Rick Till

Number Candidates Filed: 1

East Soil & Water, Director, Zone 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Nellie McAdams

Number Candidates Filed: 1

East Soil & Water, Director, Zone 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Laura Masterson

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

District Offices

SOIL AND WATER, WEST DISTRICT

West Soil & Water, Director, At
Large 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

No Candidate Filed

Number Candidates Filed: 0

West Soil & Water, Director, Zone 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Kimberly Peterson

Number Candidates Filed: 1

West Soil & Water, Director, Zone 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Jane Hartline

Number Candidates Filed: 1

West Soil & Water, Director, Zone 3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

George Sowder

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 4

Columbia River PUD, Sub 1

Director, Subdivision 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Debbie Reed

Nancy Ward

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

INTERLACHEN WATER PUD

Interlachen Water PUD Dir,
Sub-Dist 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Mel Rieff

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

INTERLACHEN WATER PUD

Interlachen Water PUD Dir,
Sub-Dist 4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Thomas E Caufield

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

INTERLACHEN WATER PUD

Interlachen Water PUD Dir,
Sub-Dist 5

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

No Candidate Filed

Number Candidates Filed: 0

Contest Total: 1

District Offices

ROCKWOOD WATER PUD

Rockwood Water PUD Dir, Sub-Dist
1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Tom Lewis

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

ROCKWOOD WATER PUD

Rockwood Water PUD Dir, Sub-Dist
3

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Steve Yousten

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

ROCKWOOD WATER PUD

Rockwood Water PUD Dir, Sub-Dist
4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Steve R Okazaki

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 1

Multnomah

Measure No: 26-181

Caption: Amends charter, extends term limits to three consecutive terms

Question: Should charter be amended to extend term limits to three consecutive four-year terms in any one office in 16 years?

Summary: The current Charter limits elected officers to two full consecutive four-year terms in any one elective county office in a 12 year period. The proposed amendment would allow elected officers to serve up to three full consecutive four-year terms in any one elective county office within a period of 16 years. The Charter would retain the provision stating that if an officer is elected or appointed to an elective county office for a term of less than four years, the time served does not count against the limitation on terms within any 16-year period.

Measure No: 26-182

Caption: Amends charter, commissioners may run for Chair midterm without resigning.

Question: Should charter be amended to allow commissioners to run for Chair mid-term without resigning their current elected office?

Summary: The current Charter provides that if an elected official files to run for another elective office midterm they effectively resign their office on the date they file for another office. The only exception occurs in the last year of an elective term. Filing for another office in the last year of an elective term does not constitute resignation. The proposed amendment allows a county commissioner to run for county chair midterm without resigning their current elected office, and clearly specifies that no other elected official may run for another elective office midterm without resigning.

A county commissioner running for an elected office midterm other than the chair's office will remain subject to the resignation provision. Similarly, the chair, auditor, and sheriff - the three other elected officials in the county - must resign their office if they run for another elective office midterm, unless they do so in the last year of their elective term.

Measure No: 26-183

Caption: Amends Charter, changes elected sheriff position to appointed department head.

Question: Shall the Charter be amended to change from an elected to an appointed sheriff, effective January 1, 2019?

Summary: The office of sheriff is currently an elected position. This measure proposes to change the office to an appointed position effective January 1, 2019. The sheriff would be appointed in the same manner as other county department heads, and serve as the head of the sheriff's department. Currently the charter provides that the county chair has sole authority to appoint, order, direct and discharge administrative officers of the county, including department heads. Appointment of department heads is subject to consent of a majority of the board of county commissioners. The sheriff would continue to perform all functions of a county sheriff as prescribed by state law and continue to have sole administration of all county jails and correctional institutions. Because the sheriff would no longer be an elected official, the sheriff would not: (1) be required to be an elector of Multnomah County; (2) be subject to term limits or other requirements unique to elected officials; (3) have a salary set by the salary commission.

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Multnomah

Measure No: 26-184

Caption: Limits contributions, expenditures, requires disclosure in Multnomah County candidate elections.

Question: Should charter require limit contributions, certain funding disclosures, expenditures by individuals and certain entities to support/oppose candidates for county offices?

Summary: Creates charter provision, implemented by county ordinance, operative September 2017:

1. Limits Contributions, Expenditures to support or oppose Candidates for Multnomah County elected offices:

•Limits Contributions received by Candidate, Candidate Committee per Election Cycle to:

-from any Individual: \$500

-from any Political Committee: \$500

•Allows formation of Small Donor Committees, limits Contributions they may accept to \$100 or less per Individual person per year. No limits on a Small Donor Committee's Contributions to Candidates or Independent Expenditures.

•Requires Entity that spends more than \$750 per election cycle on Independent Expenditures register as a Political Committee, requires reporting of the sources of its funding.

•Limits Independent Expenditures in any County Candidate race to:

-\$5,000 per Individual

-\$10,000 per Political Committee, but only from contributions by Individuals of \$500 or less per year

2. Requires each Communication (defined) to voters related to County Candidate Election prominently disclose five largest true original sources of funding (in excess of \$500) for the Communication.

Provides civil fine for violations. Includes definitions.

Measure No: 26-185

Caption: Amends charter review committee appointment process, sets appointment, convening timelines.

Question: Shall Office of Citizen Involvement create charter review committee candidate pool, sets timelines for application, appointment, convening committee?

Summary: The Office of Citizen Involvement (OCI) will be responsible to inform residents of the county of the purpose of the charter review committee and the opportunity to serve on the committee, and will endeavor to produce a diverse pool of applicants to serve on the committee. The OCI will forward all applications to the appropriate State senator or representative. The current process for appointment to the committee by State senators and representatives representing senate districts located in Multnomah County will remain the same. The proposed amendment: 1) changes the date by which appointments to the committee must be made from August 30 to August 15; 2) adds a requirement that the committee convene its first meeting in September 2021, and every six years thereafter; 3) specifies OCI shall convene the meetings of the committee; 4) requires the board of county commissioners appropriate sufficient funds for OCI to carry out these duties; 5) removes requirement that vacancies on the committee be filled by the senator and representative who had the authority to make the original appointment.

Contest Total:

5

CITY OF FAIRVIEW

Measure No: 26-176

Caption: Prohibiting certain marijuana facilities in Fairview

Question: Shall Fairview prohibit marijuana researchers; medical marijuana processors, dispensaries, and grow sites; and recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers?

Summary: State law allows operation of: (1) registered medical marijuana processors, dispensaries, and grow sites; and (2) licensed recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers. The Fairview City Council has temporarily prohibited the listed marijuana facilities but is submitting this measure to the voters for their approval.

In addition, state law also allows certification of individuals who perform public and private marijuana research. The Fairview City Council would also like to present to the voters the prohibition of public and private marijuana research occurring in the City.

Approval of this measure would prohibit the establishment of medical marijuana processors, medical marijuana dispensaries, medical marijuana grow sites and recreational marijuana producers, recreational marijuana processors, recreational marijuana wholesalers, recreational marijuana retailers, and marijuana research facilities within the area subject to the jurisdiction of the city.

If approved, the city will be ineligible to receive distributions of state marijuana tax revenues and will be unable to impose a local tax or fee on the production, processing, or sale of marijuana or any product into which marijuana has been incorporated.

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

CITY OF GRESHAM

Measure No: 26-186

Caption: Gresham Tax on the Sale or Transfer of Recreational Marijuana

Question: Shall Gresham impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana by a recreational marijuana retailer?

Summary: The Gresham City Council enacted an ordinance imposing a tax of three percent on the sale of marijuana in the city by a licensed recreational marijuana retailer. The ordinance must be referred to Gresham voters as required by State law.

Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana in the City of Gresham by a licensed recreational marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the recreational marijuana retailer to the City of Gresham.

If approved by the voters, Gresham Revised Code 9.62.030, Levy of Tax, would be amended to add a new subsection 3 as follows:

(3) As provided by Oregon Laws 2015, chapter 614, section 34a (ORS 475B.345), the amount of tax levied for recreational marijuana shall be 3% of the gross taxable sales of a marijuana retailer with a retail license issued under Oregon Laws 2015, chapter 1, section 22 (ORS 475B.110).

Measure No: 26-188

Caption: City of Gresham Community Center, Recreation, Swimming/Aquatic Facilities Bonds

Question: Shall Gresham provide Community Center, Recreation, Swimming/Aquatic Facilities, including pools, event/exercise/educational programming space, gymnasium; issue \$48,000,000 bonds?

Summary: Uses general obligation bonds to provide Community Center, Recreation, Swimming/Aquatic Facilities ("Facilities"). Amenities expected to include pools for lap swimming, swimming lessons, aquatic exercise classes; recreational/leisure pool with large curvy waterslide, water features for family entertainment; senior center providing activities for older adult residents; classroom meeting space for community education courses and children's programming; gymnasium, workout/exercise facilities and equipment; community meeting space for birthday parties, meetings, community groups, events and celebrations; community kitchen, other amenities. Facilities would be centrally located in Gresham.

The Community Center concept emerged from Gresham's multi-year Rise, Advance, Dream (RAD) community visioning process and 2016 Gresham Commission on Children and Families.

Bond proceeds could be used for purchase of property, demolition, design, site preparation and improvements, construction, renovation, furnishings, equipment and bond issuance costs. Bonds may be issued in separate series and each series will mature in 21 years or less. Estimated bond tax rate is thirty-five cents per \$1,000 assessed property value. Bond revenues and project expenditures would be monitored by Gresham's citizen volunteer Finance Committee.

Contest Total: 2

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO (JT)

Measure No: 3-489

Caption: Lake Oswego Charter Amendment Relating to Notices of City Elections

Question: Shall the Lake Oswego Charter be amended to update language and eliminate requirements to post election notices at certain locations?

Summary: Section 24 of the City Charter requires that notices of regular city elections be posted at City Hall and one public place in each voting precinct at least ten days before regular city elections. Section 24 also states that regular city elections will be held at the same "times and places" as biennial primary and general state elections, and requires that notices specify the "time and place" of elections.

State law now requires that elections be conducted by mail, with ballots mailed to voters at least 14 days before the election date. Consequently, voters receive mailed notice of elections. Because votes are cast by mail or drop-off, there are no polling places in the City.

Approval of this measure amends Section 24 of the Charter to eliminate the ten-day posting requirement for election notices. The measure also replaces references to the "times and places" of elections with references to the "dates" of elections. Section 24 will continue to require that election notices be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the city.

Measure No: 3-490

Caption: Prohibits Certain Marijuana Production and Sales Facilities in Lake Oswego

Question: Shall Lake Oswego prohibit medical marijuana processors and dispensaries, and recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers and retailers, within the city?

Summary: State law allows operation of registered medical marijuana processing sites and dispensaries, and licensed recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers. State law also authorizes cities to adopt ordinances, to be referred to city voters, prohibiting establishment of any of these activities. The Lake Oswego City Council has adopted Ordinance 2689 banning each of these activities within the city.

Approval of this measure approves Ordinance 2689 and prohibits medical marijuana processing sites and dispensaries, and recreational marijuana producers, processors, wholesalers and retailers, in Lake Oswego. The city would be ineligible for distributions of state marijuana tax revenues.

Disapproval of this measure disapproves Ordinance 2689 and allows these marijuana facilities to be established in the city subject to state regulations on location and operation, and subject to the city's zoning and licensing regulations. As of the date of the referral of this measure, the city was considering adopting additional location and operation regulations that could be applied to marijuana facilities if this measure does not pass.

Measure No: 3-491

Caption: Advisory Vote on a Municipal Broadband Network

Question: Should the City of Lake Oswego provide a municipal fiber optic broadband network for residents and businesses?

Summary: The Lake Oswego City Council has considered entering into a partnership with a private company to finance, construct, and operate a high speed (gigabit per second) fiber broadband network. It would be operated on a break-even basis, with the goal to keep high speed Internet cost as low as possible for Lake Oswego residents and businesses.

Current estimates are that gigabit (1,000 megabits per second) residential Internet service could be provided for \$59.95/month. This estimate is subject to actual costs of construction and operation. There would be some financial risk to the City if an insufficient number of households use the service. To minimize this risk, the project would not proceed until enough residents sign up for service to ensure the system can be self-supporting.

This advisory vote is intended to gauge voter interest in the issue, and does not preclude any future action by the City.

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Contest Total: 3

CITY OF MILWAUKIE (JT)

Measure No: 3-495

Caption: Imposes city tax on marijuana retailer's sale of marijuana items.

Question: Shall the City impose a three percent tax on the sale of recreational marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

Summary: Under state law, a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of recreational marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer.

If this measure is adopted, it would approve a Milwaukie Ordinance imposing a three percent tax on the sale of recreational marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer. The measure also includes provisions regarding collection, administration and enforcement of the tax

Contest Total: 1

CITY OF PORTLAND

Measure No: 26-179

Caption: Bonds to fund affordable housing.

Question: Shall Portland issue bonds, fund affordable housing for low income families, seniors, veterans, people with disabilities; require public oversight?

If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: Measure would authorize \$258,400,000 in general obligation bonds for affordable housing for low income households.

Bonds will be used to build new housing, purchase, rehabilitate existing housing to maintain affordability, prevent displacement, allow residents to remain in their homes.

Housing will contain a mix of unit sizes. Some units will be accessible for low-income people with disabilities, seniors. Housing may include space to provide products and services for residents.

Affordable means rents restricted by designated household size and income level for the dwelling. Low income means a household making 60% or less of median family income; lower income thresholds for some units; flexibility for existing residents and hardship. In 2016, 60% of median family income for a family of four is \$43,980 per year.

A five-member independent oversight committee will review bond expenditures; provide annual reports.

Tax rate for this measure is estimated to be \$0.4208 per \$1,000 of assessed value. Bonds may be issued in multiple series. Annual audits required. Administrative costs cannot exceed seven percent.

Measure No: 26-180

Caption: Establish tax on recreational marijuana sales; dedicate purposes for funds

Question: Shall Portland establish 3% tax on recreational marijuana sales; fund drug, alcohol treatment; public safety; support neighborhood small businesses?

Summary: Measure establishes a tax of three percent on recreational marijuana sales within the City of Portland. Measure is expected to raise \$3 million per year. Sales of marijuana to medical marijuana cardholders shall not be taxed. The 2015 Legislature reduced the state tax on recreational marijuana sales from 25% to 17% effective January 1, 2017, and allowed local jurisdictions to ask for voter approval of a 3% local tax. Net proceeds from the tax will be dedicated to drug and alcohol education and treatment programs, services that increase access to these programs, and rehabilitation services; public safety investments, such as police DUII training and enforcement, firefighter paramedics, street infrastructure that improves safety; support for neighborhood small businesses, especially women-owned and minority-owned businesses; and providing economic opportunity and education to communities disproportionately impacted by cannabis prohibition. Requires independent City Budget Office oversight, annual public reporting, annual City Council vote on allocations, periodic audits on use of funds.

Contest Total: 2

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE

Measure No: 26-177

Caption: Imposes city tax on marijuana retailer's sale of marijuana items

Question: Shall City of Wood Village impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by city marijuana retailers?

Summary: Under state law, a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the City by a licensed marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer.

Pursuant to ORS 250.296, an elector may file a petition for review of the ballot title not later than the seventh business day after the title has been filed with the City Elections Officer. The Ballot Title was filed with the Elections Officer on May 24, 2016.

Contest Total: 1

METRO DISTRICT

Measure No: 26-178

Caption: Renews local option levy; protects natural areas, water quality, fish

Question: Shall Metro protect water quality, fish, natural areas, parks; renew 5-year operating levy, 9.6¢ per \$1,000 assessed value, beginning 2018?

This measure renews current local option taxes.

Summary: This levy does not increase taxes. It continues the same rate previously approved by voters.

This levy protects water quality, restores fish and wildlife habitat and connects people with nature across 17,000 acres of parks, trails and natural areas. About half the levy funding goes toward restoring natural areas acquired over two decades and two voter-approved bond measures. The other half of the money helps connect people with nature by improving Metro parks and natural areas, providing nature education programming and grants for community nature projects.

Result of a "yes" vote

- Maintain and improve water quality in local rivers and streams
- Restore habitat for salmon and other native fish on the Willamette, Clackamas, Sandy, Tualatin rivers; Johnson creek
- Restore wetlands, forests and floodplains that provide habitat for birds, wildlife
- Provide more parks and trails; construct or replace restrooms, picnic shelters, playgrounds
- Increase opportunities for children from low-income families and communities of color to connect with nature.

A home assessed at \$200,000 would pay \$20 per year for five years.

The proposed rate (9.6¢ per \$1,000 assessed value) will raise approximately \$14.8 million in 2018-19, \$15.5 million in 2019-20, \$16.1 million in 2020-21, \$16.7 million in 2021-22 and \$17.4 million in 2022-23.

Contest Total: 1

GRESHAM-BARLOW SCHOOL

Measure No: 26-187

Caption: Bond Projects Increasing Safety, Technology, Expanding Educational and Vocational Opportunities

Question: Shall Gresham-Barlow School District increase security and technology, relieve over-crowding, update/replace schools, by issuing \$291,170,000 in Bonds? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, bonds are expected to fund projects to relieve over-crowding, increase safety and access to technology, and repair aging buildings. The District has been awarded \$8 million in state matching funds if measure is approved; reducing the amount financed by taxpayers.

Independent audits and citizen oversight would be required.

For all schools, bonds and grants expected to fund:

- Improved Learning Spaces
 - Expanded classrooms to relieve over-crowding
 - Increased access to technology
 - Modernized areas for science, engineering & vocational education
- Safety Projects
 - Secured entries
 - Emergency communications equipment
 - Classroom door locks
- Significant Projects:
 - Improve water and air quality
 - Major Renovations to Sam Barlow and Gresham High
 - Replace North Gresham and East Gresham Elementaries
 - Improvements to Deep Creek Damascus K-8
- Additional Costs:
 - Refinancing capital costs
 - Land acquisition, site and building improvements, demolition
 - Furnishing, equipping projects and bond issuance costs.

Bonds maturity will not exceed 21 years, may be issued in series. The estimated incremental tax rate is approximately \$1.89 per \$1,000 of assessed property.

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

CLACKAMAS CO FIRE PROTECTION

Measure No: 3-494

Caption: Annexation of Boring RFPD#59 boundaries into Clackamas Fire District#1.

Question: Shall all properties located within the Boring RFPD #59 boundaries be annexed into Clackamas Fire District #1?

Summary: Clackamas Fire District #1 has been providing fire and emergency services to Boring RFPD #59 since 2014, by intergovernmental agreement. The Districts have discussed annexing the Boring RFPD #59 properties into Clackamas Fire District #1. Districts have adopted a plan of dissolution where all assets and properties of Boring RFPD #59 shall be transferred to Clackamas Fire District #1. There are two companion measures on the ballot for approval by the residents of Boring RFPD #59. Those include an approval of dissolution of the Boring RFPD #59 and the approval by the voters in that area to be annexed into Clackamas Fire District #1. All three measures must pass or all are considered null and void as they are contingent upon the passage of each other.

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/08/2016 - November General Election 2016

Number of Contest : 101

Number of Filed Candidates: 144