

LPSCC

Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON

LPSCC Executive Meeting

Summary Minutes for January 14, 2019

Attendees

LPSCC Executive Committee Members

Chair Deborah Kafoury, LPSCC Co-Chair

Hon. Cheryl Albrecht, Chief Criminal Court
Judge

Hon. Stephen Bushong Presiding Judge
Carl Macpherson, Metropolitan Public Defenders

Sheriff Mike Reese, Multnomah County

Dr. Brian Renauer, Portland State University

Commissioner Susheela Jayapal, Multnomah County
District #2

Rod Underhill, District Attorney

LPSCC Executive Committee Member Representatives

Michelle Aguilar, Dept of Community Justice

Deputy Chief Bob Day, Portland Police Bureau

Berk Nelson, City of Portland

Jay Scroggin, Dept of Community Justice

Other Attendees

Paul Anthony, Portland Public Schools

Maxine Bernstein, Oregonian

Joe Brookins, County IT

Adam Brown, County Budget Office

Joel Bruhn, Oregon Judicial Department

Michelle Campbell, Federal Public Defenders

Amy Davidson, Partnership for Safety & Justice

Chris Dollar, District Attorney's Office

Angela Donely, Commissioner Jayapal's Office

Lakeesha Dumas, MHASD

Audrey Engle, Metropolitan Public Defenders

Don Gingell, DCA IT

Jillian Girard, Budget Office

Ellen Haines, Oregon Judicial Department

Jon Harms-Mahlandt, Multnomah County Sheriff's Office

Jason Heilbrun, County IT

Wendy Lin-Kelly, Multnomah County Sheriff's Office

Barbara Marcille, Oregon Judicial Department

Ezechiele Mbabu, Lutheran Community Services

Pete Pincetl, Multnomah County Sheriff's Office

Adam Renon, Chair's Office

Kathryn Sofich, Dept of Community Justice

LPSCC Staff

Abbey Stamp, Executive Director

Sarah Mullen, SJC Project Manager

Kyle Schwab, MacArthur SJC Data Analyst

Samuel Taylor, Research Project Manager

Lily Yamamoto, JRI Project Manager

Christina Youssi, LPSCC Executive Assistant

Introductions, Announcements, and Approval of Minutes

Introductions were made.

District Attorney Rod Underhill requested one edit to the minutes. Christina Youssi will make the change.

Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Program (MCJRP) Outcomes Report

Abbey Stamp thanked the data team for their work on the MCJRP Outcomes Report. As the Legislative Session begins, it is critical to understand the key findings.

Samuel Taylor, LPSCC Research Project Manager, introduced the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Program Evaluation with acknowledgments and descriptions of the three bodies of the committee.

Chris Dollar, District Attorney's Office, gave an overview of MCJRP and the implementation timeline.

Barbara Sharp, Oregon Judicial Department, presented the Case Outcomes and included information about the research questions, methodology, sentencing guidelines, and

findings from Sentencing Outcomes, Restitution Imposed and Paid, and Treatment Court Outcomes analysis.

Theresa Marchetti, Dept. of Community Justice, gave an overview of People Outcomes, which focused on prison usage and recidivism. She described the comparison group and touched on the Relative Rate Index.

Jon Harms Mahlandt, Sheriff's Office, stated the Sheriff's Office looked at recidivism through the lens of booking.

For details and findings, see the [presentation](#) and [report](#).

Some of the questions that followed were:

Q: What is the program difference that drives the outcomes for the MCJRP Population?

Answers:

- DCJ: More assessments. All of the charges would have been presumptive prison sentences, but now there are options. There are more contacts and resources dedicated to the high-risk individual.
- OJD: The Judicial Settlement Conferences, where after the individual is assessed, it is reviewed by DA, Judges, etc., and a conference is held to determine the best treatment course. There is also more continuity of judges and other agencies.
- MCDA: Implementing concept of having more information for better sentencing decision-making.

Q: For DCJ, is there a study done on recidivism rates by race?

A: Findings were congruent with MCSO. Findings can be found in the [Outcomes Report](#).

Q: Where does the Victim's 10% fit in?

A: Justice Reinvestment states 10% of total funds should be granted to non-profit agencies to serve victims of crime. The Victims served are not necessarily related to MCJRP offenses, but funds are directed to underserved populations.

There was discussion around the findings that showed no increase in recidivism even though the MCJRP population is in the community rather than in prison. The Mayor's Office requested a look into why people are recidivating. Abbey noted the challenge of having limited research capacity.

There needs to be conversations about being intentional around disparities.

The meeting was adjourned.