MCEI	110 €	(Rev.	01/2011

Mea	asure Argument fo	County Voters' Pamphlet			
Primary Election 2014	General Election 20_	Special Election (date)			
Measure No.: 26-156	Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:			
Original Argument		Robert Clark			
☐ Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:  Taxpayers Association of Oregon			
Name and Address of Contact P authorize changes to argument) Robert Clark 3207 SE Brooklyn Street, Portian	-				
Telephone (home)	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)  Taxpayers Association of Oregon			
503-233-2073	na	PO Box 23573, Tigard, Oregon 97281			
Measure Argument	ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:  Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)  Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters of pumplant.				
By signing this document, I here Signatu Signature of parson furnishing a	ehy state I am responsible for the	content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)  Moldand School 14  Date signed			
Note: If this argument is not being fil	ed by a registered Oregon Political Co	ommittee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a se. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.			
	FOR OFF	ICE USE ONLY			
Staff Initials	Argument of	order number ———			
Cash or Check Number <u>04</u> .	37 Statement(s	s) of Endorsement? TO YES #			
Receipt Number 2329	Word/Nun	aber Count Total <u>309</u>			

## The Oregon Taxpayers Association Recommends Voting Yes On Measure 26-156

Measure 26-156 transfers Portland's water and sewer bureaus away from Portland City Hall and into an independent, Portland-citizen-owned public utility district.

## 1. Will help prevent new taxes from being added to our water and sewer bills.

Portland City Hall politicians will no longer be able to easily add new taxes and fees to water and sewer bills for items not related to water and sewer service. Portland City Hall has proposed charging water and sewer customers a street "maintenance" fee in recent years, attempting to expand the Portland Transportation Bureau budget with water and sewer monies. Portland City Hall has in the past charged water customers higher franchise fees than it charges natural gas and electric utility customers.

Passing Measure 26-156 will shield water and sewer bills from new taxes levied by City Hall politicians.

### 2. Will Add Important Checks and Balances to Portland's Governance.

For too long Portland's water and sewer bureaus have been managed by just one politician at City Hall, who frequently acts on ambitions which conflict with the interests of water and sewer customers. This Measure would put seven unpaid elected citizens in charge of selecting and overseeing an experienced administrator to run the water and sewer bureaus, with the paramount goal of stabilizing water and sewer rates while preserving water quality.

### 3. It Can Be Done Successfully.

The Multnomah County Library system was recently transferred to a citizen owned, independently operated District; transferring it from Multnomah County Commission control. Likewise, the leaders of Measure 26-156 are citizen volunteers who have experience in reviewing Portland's water and sewer operations, and their experience should help ensure a smooth transition of water and sewer services.

For The Taxpayers Association of Oregon, Bob Clark Economist and longtime Portlander

		1/2011

Mea	asure Argument for	County Voters' Pamp	hlet
Primary Election 2014	General Election 20_	Special Election	(date)
Measure No.: 26-156	<ul><li>Argument in Favor</li><li>Argument in Opposition</li></ul>	This Information Furnished By (as voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:  Scott Fernandez M:SerBiole	
Original Argument			
Amended Argument		Name of organization person is au applicable:	thorized to represent, if
Name and Address of Contact P authorize changes to argument) 1821 NE 65th Portland C			DIRE _
Telephone (home) 503-282-1894	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and ad Scott Fernandez	
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLO  Measure Argument	OWING INFORMATION:		ECEIVED  R 24 AM 10: 00  TIM SCOTT OR OF ELECTIONS
_	nt, if applicable (number filed:		<i>≅</i> <b>□</b>
Note: Language that	violates any provision of ORS 25.	1.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from	n the voters' pamphlet.
		content of this argument. ORS 251.415	5 (2)
Signature of person furnishing a		Date	3-24-/4 sigued
Note: If this argument is not being fi	led by a registered Oregon Political Co	ommittee, you may be required to register as te. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual f	s a political committee or submit a or further information.
	FOR OFF	ICE USE ONLY	
Staff Initials 7	Argument o	order number <u>F2</u>	
Cash or Check Number 465		s) of Endorsement? TO YE	SS #
Receipt Number 2325	Word/Nun	nber Count Total 32/	
<u> </u>		<del>_</del> ,	

I served on the Portland Utility Review Board and Water Quality Advisory Committee for over a decade and have seen how Portland Water Bureau (PWB) prioritizes and manages basic services. Change is needed.

- ✓ PWB debt continued increasing to over \$610 million in 2013. 3 bond offerings of \$150 million each over of the next 4 years are planned, pushing ratepayers close to unsustainable \$1 billion debt, increasing our rates. (City of Portland Budget Office 2012-2013)
- ✓ Instead of budgeting to maintain distribution system infrastructure, PWB has wasted hundreds of millions of dollars to create reservoir water capacity that is not needed. \$140 million was budgeted on Powell Butte 2, a reservoir that is not necessary. It had severe engineering and construction problems that allowed it to leak hundreds of thousands of gallons of water a day. Seattle built 4 reservoirs 2 of which were equal or larger in size for total of \$130 million. Less money than we spent for one, by the same people working on both cities' projects.
- ✓ Maintenance of the PWB water distribution system
  infrastructure has been disregarded for years. Office of the
  City Auditor reports from 2004, 2011, and 2012 indicate
  severely neglected infrastructure maintenance levels falling
  short of industry standards. Replacement of aging water
  mains and routine flushing of pipes are dismissed by PWB

as low priority, resulting in an insurmountable backlog demand of tens of thousands of hours of needed repairs. "Although water quality and reliability had not been affected yet, continued decline in the maintenance of the water system assets could negatively affect water service performance in the future." (Office of the Auditor 2004) Summer 2013 another warning came true. Water sampling showed extensive fecal contamination throughout the system reported by KOIN 6 journalist Carla Castaño.

My interests are public health and ratepayer benefits. Placing public health at risk and continued wasteful spending is unacceptable.

Please vote Yes.

Scott Fernandez M.Sc. Biology

MCE	Dο	Πī	Res	aπ	'n H

Mea	asure Argument for	County Voters' Pamphlet		
Primary Election 20 19	General Election 20_	Special Election(date)		
Measure No.: 26 - 156	Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:		
Original Argument		Floy Fonts and Kent Craford		
☐ Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:  Portlemeless for Water Reform		
	Person (person who could 2015 N. JAWTZEN AVE. PETCAND OIR 97717			
Telephone (home)	Telephone (work)  503-961-4191	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)  Por Alauralers for Water Reform RECEIVER		
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:  Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)				
Note: Language that	violates any provision of ORS 251	.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters' pamphlet.		
By signing this document, I here	eby state I am responsible for the c	content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)		
Signature of person furnishing a		3-24-14 Date signed		
(must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box above)  Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further Information.				
Staff Initials		CE USE ONLY		
Cash or Check Number <u>/00</u>	Statement(s	of Endorsement? NO VES #		
Receipt Number 2356	Word/Num	ber Count Total <u>248</u>		

### **END CITY HALL PET PROJECTS**

### **Lower Water Rates**

### **VOTE YES on MEASURE 26-156**

### FACTS about your water and sewer bill:

- Portland has 3<sup>rd</sup> highest sewer rates in the nation among large cities
- Higher water rates than Phoenix, Arizona
- Sewer rates rose 164% since 2000
- Water rates have risen 161%

(Sources: American Water Intelligence 2012 Rate Tariff, Portland Water Bureau)

- Commissioner Nick Fish proposes water rate increase of 55% over next five years
   (Water Bureau requested budget, 2-3-14)
- Lawsuit has identified \$127 million in questionable water and sewer spending,
   including City Hall pet projects

The Portland Public Water District Measure 26-156 will stop the spending of water and sewer money on City Hall pet projects.

Measure 26-156 converts Portland's utilities into a public water district with an independent elected board.

A Public Water District is not a new idea. The City of Eugene has managed its water system with an independent elected board since 1911. Eugene's water rates are 36% lower than Portland's. (http://www.eweb.org/waterrates)

### Measure 26-156 will:

- End pet projects, by only allowing water money to be spent on water projects
- Require annual financial audits by an independent accountant
- Establish conflict-of-interest provisions where currently none exist
- All City residents still own and control all water and sewer assets
- Covers the whole City, including East Portland

Send a Message to City Hall

Please Vote YES on 26-156

Floy Jones and Kent Craford
Chief Petitioners, Portland Public Water District
www.waterreform.org

Measure Argument I	or County Voters' Pamphiet
Primary Election 2014 General Election 2	0_ Special Election(date)
Measure No.: 26-156 Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition  Original Argument	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could authorize changes to argument)  R. Leonetti,1414 3rd Ave, #2802 Portland 9720	Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:  Citizens for Water Accountability, Trust and Reform
Telephone (home) Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)
503-219-9445	Citizens for Water Accountability, Trust and Reform P.O. Box 8252, Pornhol OR 97207-8252
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:  Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:  Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 2  By signing this document, I hereby state I am responsible for the Signature redacted  Signature of person furnishing argument	251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the Wers' pamphlen
(must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box a	tbove)  Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a
Staff Initials Argumen  Cash or Check Number 2225 (02) Statemen	FICE USE ONLY  It order number FU  It(s) of Endorsement?  YES #

### Ratepayer Watchdogs Urge YES on 26-156

## A Public Water District will end the practice of spending water and sewer funds on City Hall pet projects.

Two years ago, ratepayer watchdogs filed a lawsuit against Portland City Hall over restricted water and sewer funds spent on pet projects with little or nothing to do with the water and sewer systems.

Questionable water and sewer expenditures identified in the lawsuit total \$127 million. (Aaron Mesh, Willamette Week, 12-23-13)

Examples of City Hall pet projects funded by water and sewer dollars include:

- \$1.2 million to fund political elections campaigns (Carla Castano, KOIN 3-11-14)
- \$1.6 million to remodel a building for the Portland Rose Festival Association, a decision Commissioner Nick Fish called "the deal of the century for the Water Bureau." (Brad Schmidt, Oregonian 10-7-12)
- \$70,000 to acquire police bureau office space. According to the Oregonian, "The \$70,000 diversion from water and sewer funds is an apparent violation of the city's charter, which prohibits spending utility money on unrelated projects." (Brad Schmidt, *Oregonian 11-12-13*)
- The "Water House," a \$940,000 project approved by City Council in 2009. Water Bureau Commissioner Nick Fish voted for the project (Brad Schmidt, *Oregonian 8-13-13*), and then sold it in 2014 for \$394,950, a \$545,050 loss to ratepayers (Andrew Theen, *Oregonian 1-9-14*). Commissioner Fish claimed the sale would "stabilize rates," a statement ruled "False" by Politifact Oregon (*Oregonian 8-13-13*).

Measure 26-156 will prevent these abuses. Specifically, provisions in the measure require:

- Stricter limitations against spending funds on projects not connected to the water and sewer systems
- Annual financial audits by an independent outside accountant
- Benchmarking of Portland water and sewer rates against similarly-sized U.S. cities

It's time to reform Portland's mismanaged water and sewer utilities. Vote YES on 26-156.

Richard Leonetti Treasurer Citizens for Water Accountability, Trust and Reform

MULTNO	MAH COUNTY
Measure Argument for	County Voters' Pamphlet
Primary Election 20 14 General Election 20_	
Measure No.: 26-156 Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:
Original Argument	Hoy Jones
Amended Argument	Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could authorize changes to argument)	Francis of the Vescorries
Telephone (home) Telephone (work) 503 238 - 46 49	Argument Paid For By: (name and address) 22045.E. 59th Floy Janes Portland, OK97215
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:	
Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:	DIRECT DI
Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 25.	1.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters' pamphlet.
By signing this document, I hereby state I am responsible for the Signature redacted	マーフィング
Signature of person furnishing argument (must be person listed in "This infibrination furnished by" box abo	ONE)
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Co Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State	ommittee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a e. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.
FOR OFF	ICE USE ONLY
Staff Initials Argument of	order number <u>F5</u>
Cash or Check Number 3233 Statement(s	of Endorsement? NO YES #
Receipt Number 2325 Word/Num	ther Count Total 3/0

## Grassroots environmental activists support Portland Public Water District

Measure 26-156 is good for Portland ratepayers and protection of Bull Run

Since 2002, the all-volunteer Friends of the Reservoirs has worked to protect Portland's Bull Run water system and ratepayer pocketbooks. We have engaged on all issues impacting Portland's Bull Run watershed, water system and utility budget.

The failure of Portland City Council leadership, the lack of comprehensive, independent financial audits and the prevalence of political cronies in utility leadership positions has resulted in unnecessary spending, skyrocketing rate increases and massive debt.

The status quo benefits the political elite, not Portland's ratepayers. It's time for an elected citizen-controlled utility board to protect our resources and interests.

There are significant **Bull Run protection provisions included in measure 26-156,** including:

- A prohibition against water system privatization (page 1 of measure)
- A prohibition against co-mingling our Bull Run drinking water with inferior water sources such as the Willamette River.
- A prohibition against "regionalizing" our water system, or in effect turning control of it over to Portland's suburbs, as the Water Bureau Commissioner proposed in 2002.
- The measure memorializes in the City Charter current City codes protecting the Bull Run watershed from entry and logging. The measure reads, "The district may not adopt regulations for the Bull Run Watershed that are less protective or enhancing of water quality than the regulations in place on July 1, 2013." This refers to Bull Run watershed protection city code chapter 21.36.010- 21.36.050, which can currently be overturned by a simple 3/5 vote of the City Council. After measure 26-156 passes, those protections will be enshrined in the City Charter, and can only be changed by a vote of the people.

Floy Jones, Retired Parole Officer, Past President Federation Parole and Probation Officers Co-founder Friends of the Reservoirs Co-Chief Petitioner Portland Public Water District www.waterreform.org

Me	asure Argument for	r County Voters' Pamphlet
Primary Election 20 / 4	General Election 20_	Special Election(date)
Measure No.: 26-156	Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:
Original Argument		TRACY MARKS FORMER CHATRE PURTUANT UTILITY PRINCE PLANS
Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:
Name and Address of Contact P authorize changes to argument)  KENT (RAFOR)	2015 N. JANTZEN	
Telephone (home)	PORTLAND, DR 97717 Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)
503-961-4191	503-961-419/	PORTLANDERS FOR WATER REFORM PO BOX 42307 PORTLAND, OR 97242
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLO	WING INFORMATION:	
Measure Argument		<b>D</b>
Statement(s) of Endorsemen	t, if applicable (number filed:	A05 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters from photos
Note: Language that v	iolates any provision of ORS 251.	405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters mumphles:
Signature red	acted	ontent of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)
Signature of person furnishing are (must be person listed in "This in	gument formation furnished by" box abov	Date signed
Note: If this argument is not being file	d by a registered Oregon Political Com	nmiltee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY
Staff Initials <u>TC</u>	Argument ord	ler number <u>FC</u>
Cash or Check Number /00	Statement(s)	of Endorsement? NO PES #
Receipt Number <u>23256</u>	Word/Number	er Count Total

Former Portland Utility Review Board Chair:

Vote Yes to get politics out of our water

I served for five years on the Portland Utility Review Board which advises the City Council on water, sewer and solid waste budgets and rates.

Over those years we dug deeply into Portland's water and sewer budgets, and often found expenditures that were too expensive, not related to the water or sewer systems, or just entirely unnecessary. And yet, time and again our concerns were heard but ignored.

Portland's utility governance is fundamentally broken. Our water and sewer operations are not run like professional utilities, but instead managed as political organizations due to the old-fashioned Commission form of government. Let me offer a practical example of how this works.

The top Water Bureau managers have no prior utility experience—the heads of construction and resource management, and even the Administrator (CEO) himself. What else do they have in common? They were all City Hall political staffers appointed to higher-paying jobs at the Water Bureau.

And how do people with no utility experience run a \$150 million utility? Not very well.

They've spent millions in water money on City Hall pet projects. The \$137 million Powell Butte reservoir is four months behind schedule, and is leaking enough water to fill an Olympic sized swimming pool-every 48 hours.

It's little wonder Portland has higher water rates than Phoenix, Arizona.

So how do we get out of this mess? Get the politics out of our water and sewer bills. Establish a Public Water District.

Measure 26-156 will remove professional politicians from the equation and substitute them with an independent elected board. It requires financial audits and annual benchmarking. The City of Eugene has an independent water board, it works well, and they have lower water rates than Portland.

Please vote Yes.

Tracy Marks

Past Chair

Portland Utility Review Board

## **Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet**

Primary Election 2014	General Election 20_	Special Election(date)			
Measure No.: 26-156	Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:			
Original Argument		Stephanie Stewart			
☐ Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:			
Name and Address of Contact P authorize changes to argument) Stephanie Stewart, 1121	<del>-</del>				
Telephone (home) 503-230-9364	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address) Stephanie Stewart, 1121 SE 50th Ave; Portland, OR 97215			
	nt, if applicable (number filed:	RECTION OF ELECTION OF ELECTIO			
By signing this document, I here	eby state I am responsible for the	content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)			
Signature r	redacted	3.20.14			
Signature of person furnishing a		Date signed			
Note: If this argument is not being fil	Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.				
	FOR OFFI	ICE USE ONLY			
Staff Initials 76	Argument o	order number: <u>F Z</u>			
Cash or Check Number #	29년 Statement(s	) of Endorsement?  NO  YES #			
Receipt Number 23250	- Word/Num	nber Count Total 307			

# YES on Measure 26-156 From the Personal Perspective of a Neighborhood Advocate

I used to assume our greatest public asset, our incredible drinking water and the uniquely "green" system providing for it, was looked after by all the minds on City Council. I was wrong. My experiences as a board member of a Portland neighborhood association convinced me that current governance leaves our publicly-owned utilities unacceptably vulnerable and without the board-level oversight that is a widely accepted best-practice for public utilities.

What seems a sensible division of labor -- each Commissioner takes charge of a few city bureaus -- in practice removes the balances that keep bad decisions in check. With each Commissioner becoming lord of his own fiefdom, individual bureaus no longer receive thorough board oversight. Functioning in separate silos, the Commissioners are rewarded for ignoring a colleague's sloppy work and nonsensical decisions.

We are the last major city in the US still using this style of Commissioner government. This means we are the last to leave our public utilities in the hands of a single, distracted, non-technical, politically influenced City Commissioner. Measure 26-156 establishes one board where all members are clearly accountable to one job = managing our water and sewer utilities. The proposed water board structure is not risky, it is widely used for all types of public utilities around the country and it specifically mimics that structure successfully used in Eugene since 1911.

Measure 26-156 doesn't create an additional layer of government; it substitutes the people sitting at the board-level. City Council steps aside and a dedicated elected board steps in. Utility assets, bureau employees, everything stays within the City structure, only, under active oversight from a dedicated, multimember, single-mission board.

#### Stephanie Stewart

For my complete support statement, search for my blogspot.com blog called "From the Co-Chair"

Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet				
Primary Election 20 ( 4 General Election 20_	_ Special Election(date)			
Measure No.: 26-156 Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:			
Öriginal Argument	Christophe S. Johnson			
Amended Argument	Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:			
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could authorize changes to argument)  Chity See Signature Pt/10RSignature Pt/10	2/5			
Telephone (home)  5032328663  Telephone (work)  5032328663  Telephone (work)  5072949628	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)  Christopher S. John Soh  1319 SE 5314 Ave  PHEOR 97215			
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:	Ptle 0R97215			
Measure Argument	ם בו			
Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)				
Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251	Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters' pamping 2			
By signing this document, I hereby state am responsible for the	content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)			
Signature redacted	3/21/2024 =			
Signature of person furnishing argument (must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box abo	Date signed			
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.				
[1985] [1985] 그런 그런 그렇게 손 살이 먹는 모양으로만	CE USE ONLY			
Staff Initials 7 — Argument order number FB				
Cash or Check Number 4536 Statement(s) of Endorsement? NO YES #				
Receipt Number 23257 Word/Num	ber Count Total 324			

### JOIN THE FREE WORLD

### Vote YES for representative government!!!!!

### Portland has no representative government!

Portland is the last major city to retain an at-large form of government outlawed in the South with the Voting Rights Act and subsequently abandoned everywhere else.

### We deserve representation!

This leaves our "representation ratio" (an official measurement of voters' power) <u>exponentially</u> worse than any other city's.

### Water activists know it!

I've worked with this Measure's sponsors as they've fought to protect our pristine Bull Run open-water system from logging, regionalization, and commingling with Superfund-polluted water. Under our current system I've seen activists labelled "terrorists," whistleblowers harassed, court orders flouted, and city contractors allowed to lobby at our expense for pro-industry regulations that degrade our water and waste hundreds of millions of dollars. Measure 26-156 was drafted to address these problems at their antidemocratic source.

### Voting rights activists know it1

"Rugged individualists?" Asks Paul Gronke, a political science professor at Reed College....'Quite the opposite....our system is stacked against individuals and in favor of incumbents and the entrenched powers that be.'....Researchers say district-based elections address those criticisms...."There's greater access to elected office, and more importantly less monied special interests," says Jason Malinowski, who researched district elections...at the University of Washington....[A]nother crucial advantage of district races: They each cost about \$76,000 less to run. "There are very few downsides," says Eric Lindgren, a political science professor at Whittler College...."People get better representation. It increases participation all across the board, and it costs a lot less money...."

-- "Odd City Out," Portland Mercury, 11/13/2013

### **Our Time Has Come!**

Vested interests are fighting to preserve the status quo, telling us tales of how horrible representative government "might" be. Portland voters are smarter than that. We know that our most precious resource deserves to be placed in the care of an <u>independently audited</u>, representatively elected body.

### **VOTE YES! ON 26-156!**

Christopher S. Johnson

	MULTNO	MAH COUNTY	MCED 011 (Rev. 01/2011
Mea	asure Argument for	County Voters' Pamphlet	
Primary Election 20 17	General Election 20_	Special Election(date	)
Measure No.: 26 - 156	Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appropriate pamphlet):  Name of person:	·
Örìgìnal Ärgument		Katherin Kirkpetrick	-
Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to a applicable:	represent, if
Name and Address of Contact Pour Pour Contact Pour Pour Pour Pour Pour Pour Pour Pour	erson (person who could hick we. FDX 97215		
'elephone (home) 503 · 132 · 8663	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address) 1319 SE 53rd Avenue Portand OR 97215	
Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement  Note: Language that v	WING INFORMATION:  at, if applicable (number filed:  violates any provision of ORS 251		I 4 MAR 24 TIM SQ DIRECTOR OF
sy signing this document, I here	by state I am responsible for the o	content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)	PHI2: 18
must be person listed in "This ii lote: If this argument is not being file	าโอรทาสโเอก	Date signed ove)  mmittee, you may be required to register as a political core. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further inform	nmittee or submit a
	FOR OFFI	CE USE ONLY	

Argument order number <u></u>

Word/Number Count Total 322

Statement(s) of Endorsement? NO YES #\_

Staff Initials 7

Receipt Number 23256

Cash or Check Number 4535

### Measure 26-156 Fixes a Toxic Failure of Leadership

I'm an activist who's worked with this measure's sponsors for over a decade, protecting our pristine Bull Run system and reservoirs from degradation by corporate interests and corrupt city officials.

When I first saw water bureau reports showing high radon levels in the Columbia Well Field (Portland's backup drinking water source), I paid attention. After radon levels climbed steadily for two years, I noticed that our water bureau abruptly removed radon from its yearly public reports.

Radon is a radioactive carcinogen with <u>no safe exposure level</u>. It's released from rock into groundwater by the seismic activity common in our area.

Because I have a medical condition putting me at high risk for stomach cancer, I contacted the country's top researchers, and studied the peer-reviewed radon publications they sent me. I learned much. When groundwater is stored in the open, radon dissipates safely. But in closed storage, radon remains trapped until poured into a glass, out of a shower head, or onto laundry--where it then contacts human respiratory and digestive tissues.

With further research, I learned that while the nation's scientists were warning that open aeration is the best mitigation for radon-contaminated water, our City leaders and their industrial tank-building campaign donors used our rate dollars to lobby EPA to push the nation's drinking water into closed storage.

And when other cities' leaders fought to change the EPA's mind, our City leaders ensured that local and state regulations would continue to <u>put their industrial cronies' interests before our health</u>.

Such corruption is the inevitable result of Portland's outmoded commission style of government, which the civil rights movement eradicated from virtually every other city. Until Portland wises up, experience tells me that our resources will continue to be mismanaged, and our public health risks will continue to be swept under the carpet.

We deserve better.

Vote YESI on Measure 26-1561

Katherin Kirkpatrick

- 1	l .	
	-	
ĺ	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$	
	Πī	
ı	$\overline{}$	

	MULTNON	JAH COUNTY	MCED 011 (Rev. 01-2011		
Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet					
Primary Election 20/4	General Election 20_	Special Election(date)			
Measure No 26-156	Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should approters' pamphlet):  Name of person: Dee White	ear in the county		
Öriginal Argument					
Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to rapplicable:			
Name and Address of Contact Pe authorize changes to argument)	erson (person who could DeeWhite we Portland OR	Argument Paid For By: (name and address) Dee White (Dehorah) 3836 SE 49m Ave Portland OR 97206			
Telephone (home)	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)			
503.7752909	503.7752909	3836 SE 49 12 Ave	14 DIRE		
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:		Portland OR 97206	MAR 21 TIM CTOR 0		
Measure Argument		2	SCO FEI		
Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)  Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251 405 or 251 415 may be evaluated from the voters' named to					
Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters' pamphta.					

By signing this document, I hereby state I am responsible for the content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)

## Signature redacted

Signature of person furnishing argument

(must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box above)

Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.

기급은 살아 하셨다면서 하는 것 같습니다.	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Staff Initials <u>T</u>	Argument order number <i>E/o</i>
1020	
Cash or Check Number <u>6180</u>	Statement(s) of Endorsement? NO VES #
22250	712
Receipt Number 2325C	Word/Number Count Total 3/9

## Support Measure 26-156 THE OPPOSITION HAS IT WRONG

We've long served alongside Measure 26-156's sponsors as part of a bipartisan coalition to protect Bull Run and reform City Hall. We are thousands of people from all walks of life-hardcore environmental activists, commercial water users, and ordinary folks. Ironically, just about the only people absent from our ranks are the few organizational leaders who now oppose this measure. While we respect the work their organizations do, their claims about Measure 26-156 don't hold water.

The opposition slanders the legal work done by the measure's corporate backers. But we've worked alongside the measure's backers as they've asked for lawful apportionment of water and sewer funds; provided City leaders with legal strategies for saving our open-water system and hundreds of millions of dollars; and succeeded in getting our pristine Bull Run open-water system a variance from building a costly treatment plant at Bull Run. Where were these organizations during this fight?

The opposition slanders corporate backers. These environmental organizations complain loudly while receiving corporate sponsorships as well.

These organizations owe their donors, both corporate and private, a thoughtful discussion about what is probably the most important issue to come before Portland voters in recent history.

Instead, they dismissed this measure before it was drafted.

They outright oppose dedicated, representatively-elected citizen oversight of our most precious environmental resource, Bull Run. They claim YOU can't be trusted to vote responsibly for a Public Water District, so YOU should trust our current regime to police itself with a nonbinding oversight committee.

We deserve better. We trust that, despite their protests, these groups will have to join the rest of the environmental community in cooperating with the newly elected Water District, and we'll all be better for it.

### Vote YES! on Measure 26-156!

Dee White Laura Orr

Jeff Boly Past President Arlington Heights Neighborhood Association 2000-2013

Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet				
Primary Election 20	General Election 20_	_ Special Election(date)		
Measure No.: <u>26 - 156</u>	Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:		
Original Argument		KENT (RAFORD		
☐ Amended Argument		Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:		
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could authorize changes to argument)  KENT CKAFOKD &  ZOIS N. TANTZEN AVE. POXTIAND, 572 97217		- - !		
Telephone (home)	Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)		
receptione (nome)	503-961-419/	KENT CRAFORD		
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:    Measure Argument				
By signing this document, I hereby state I am responsible for the content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)				
Signature redacted  Signature of person furnishing argument (must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box above)  Date signed				
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
Staff Initials Argument order number				
Cash or Check Number 1766 Statement(s) of Endorsement? Two YES #				
Receipt Number 23256 Word/Number Count Total 150				

## "Plan's critics have ties to city

Most of the 11 environmental leaders who signed the statement against the proposed ballot measure belong to organizations that have received money from the Bureau of Environmental Services or partnered with the city on projects.

According to city records of BES grants and contracts during the past five years:

- Friends of Trees is paid more than \$7.9 million to plant thousands of trees to enhance watershed health in Portland.
- The Audubon Society is receiving more than \$43,290 to conduct a bird count on Mt.
  Tabor as part of a restoration project.
- Depave received \$10,000 to remove asphalt in a church parking lot.
- The Urban Greenspaces Institute received more than \$4,417 for a mural project.
- Friends of Gateway Green received \$4,200 for events related to the east Portland project.
- Jeri Williams, who identifies herself as an equity activist, is a city employee.
- The Trust for Public Lands partnered with the bureau to buy the Riverview Cemetery.
- The Oregon Environmental Council is partnering with Mayor Charlie Hales on a public poll about whether Portlanders will support a carbon tax."

Jim Redden, Portland Tribune, August 22, 2013

MULTNOMAH COUNTY  MCED 011 (Rev. 01/2011					
Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet					
Primary Election 20_14 General Election 20_	Special Election(date)				
Measure No.: 26-156 Argument in Favor  Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet):  Name of person:				
Original Argument	Joe Meyer				
☐ Amended Argument	Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:				
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could anthorize changes to argument)  be Meyer 115 SE All DX 97114  Telephone (home) Telephone (work)	Argument Paid For By: (name and address)				
Telephone (home) Telephone (work)	bottond CB BIN				
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:  Measure Argument  Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)					
Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:)					
Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the voters' pamphlet.					
By signing this document, Thereby state I am responsible for the content of this argument. ORS 251.415 (2)  Gnature redacted  24 March 2014					
Mignature of person furnishing argument  (must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box above)  Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a					
Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  Staff Initials \( \sum_{\text{text2}} \)  Argument order number					
Cash or Check Number Coll Statement(s) of Endorsement? [] NO [] YES #					
Receipt Number 23158 Word/Number Count Total 310					

Portland City Hall had responsibility for the best and safest drinking water in the world.

The cities of Rochester, New York and Portland, Oregon have very similar open reservoirs for their drinking water. These nature-based systems have been delivering safe, healthful, and delicious water to their communities for a hundred years.

Then, in 2006 Portland hired a multinational corporation to represent their interests in writing the Federal EPA regulation known as LT2.

LT2 was originally motivated by a horrible mixing of sewage and drinking water in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Milwaukee gets their drinking water from Lake Michigan and heavy rains dumped raw sewage into the drink.

No matter how inappropriate to our pristine Bull Run Water supply, Portland City Hall argued, the law is the law.

As part of this law, Portland City Hall incumbents were responsible for setting their own compliance timeline and they seems to be in a big hurry. They are fast tracking a billion dollars worth of burial projects to, guess who, the same multinational corporation that helped write the law. What's the hurry? Well, LT2 is known to be flawed for its 'one-size-fits-none' approach and is up for major revision in 2016.

Meanwhile, Rochester wrote a simple letter asking for a reprieve from the law. This simple request was simply met and Rochester has not spent a dime on covering their open reservoirs. Since LT2 is know to be flawed and up for revision in two years, this is a no-brainer.

The current director of the Water Bureau has been the point person and spin-doctor for all of Portland's water shenanigans. That he is still running the show is clear evidence that City Hall incumbents are not interested in reform, only in protecting their honey-pot politics.

Join us in voting YES and taking responsibility for our water, for or pocketbooks, and for our democracy.

Joe Meyer and Sharon Maxwell