MCLDuffel	Rev. 01	² 0[]
-----------	---------	------------------

MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet		
Primary Election 20 General Election 20	Special Election(date)	
Measure No.: 26-158 Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition	This Information Furnished By (as it should appear in the county voters' pamphlet): Name of person:	
Original Argument	Lynette Keislake	
Amended Argument	Name of organization person is authorized to represent, if applicable:	
Name and Address of Contact Person (person who could authorize changes to argument) Karna Lande 38210 E. Knieriam Curbat OR 97019 503 695 3488	5.0.S. Save Our Schools PAC 16451	
Telephone (home) Telephone (work) 503.695.3488	Argument Paid For By: (name and address) 505 Save OW SCHOOLS PACIO457 Lynette KersCake PO BOX 3716 Converty OR \$101\$	
ATTACHED IS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION: MAR 24 AM Measure Argument To State of the Scott of th		
Statement(s) of Endorsement, if applicable (number filed:) Note: Language that violates any provision of ORS 251.405 or 251.415 may be excluded from the votebor pamphtet.		
By signing this document, I hereby state I am responsible for the content of this argument, ORS 251.415 (2)		
Signature redacted 3-24-14 Date signed		
(must be person listed in "This information furnished by" box above) Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee or submit a Statement of Independent Expenditures (PC 10) with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further information.		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
Staff Initials 7 C Argument order number 4		
Cash or Check Number 123 Statement(s) of Endorsement? NO YES #		
Receipt Number 23254 Word/Number Count Total 328 324		

What has changed?

The dollar amount is slightly less but the proposed new building is the same. This building is 10,000 sf larger than the size currently in use.

We Don't "Intend" to Grow the District?

We have heard that statement over the past 6 years and our district population has more than doubled during that time.

Charter Removal?

Instead of agreeing to an amicable plan to phase out the charter school, our school district chose to gamble a lawsuit and the reality is the Charter could remain, this is still currently in mediation. The district created 476 new open enrollment spots. That means whether the charter stays or goes the district intends to keep the students, over 1,300 students with only about 650 being Corbett residents

127

Student Numbers?

We are allowed up to 1,730 students/staff, those numbers were approved last year. The extra 10,000 square feet gives them ample room to reach that number and continue to add more each year. There is no true "need" for a building this size, other than expansion.

STEM School? (77

The district is applying for a STEM school to open next year. This is another school within a school, like the Charter. This allows us to continue receiving small school funding, even though Corbett will be 4A.

Vague Language

There is nothing that states where the new school building will actually go. It's possible we could end up with the old building and a new one. There is language giving the district permission to tear down, move, or build new buildings as needed, and it looks like this could include purchasing property. There is no list of what projects will be completed at this point, nor do they have any price comparisons as to looking into saving the historic building compared to the cost of a new building.

All these decisions will be made after they have your money.

Please Vote NO!

Find out more here: www.corbettsos.com

324