



Office of Community Involvement



Multnomah County Charter Review Committee

July 20, 2022 5:30-7:30pm

COMMITTEE MEETING 15

Purpose: Hear public comment; review and vote on Charter amendment text; review and vote to approve final report. This is the last meeting for the Committee.

Attendees

Committee Members

- Ana del Rocío (she/her)
- Ana González Muñoz (she/ella)
- Annie Kallen (she/her)
- Danica Leung (she/her)
- Georgina Miltenberger (she/her)
- J'reyesha Brannon (she/her)
- Jude Perez (they/them)
- Marc Gonzales (he/him)
- Nina Khanjan (she/her)
- Salma Sheikh (she/her)
- Samantha Gladu (she/they)
- Theresa Mai (she/her)
- Timur Ender (he/him)

Absent:

- Donovan Scribes (he/him)
- Maja Harris (she/her)

Staff:

- Dani Bernstein (they/them), Director of the Office of Community Involvement
- Kali Odell (she/her), Charter Review Committee Program Coordinator
- Katherine Thomas (she/her), Assistant County Attorney
- Brandy Steffen (she/her), JLA Public Involvement
- Jen Winslow (she/her), JLA Public Involvement

In addition, members of the public were welcome to observe the meeting as non-participatory attendees. There were 12 public attendees during the course of the meeting.

Welcome

Brandy Steffen, JLA Public Involvement, began the meeting with an overview of Zoom logistics and etiquette. The Zoom chat can be found in the [Appendix](#). Marc Gonzales gave an outline of the agenda, which included hearing from Chair Deborah Kafoury and fabiola casas from Espousal Strategies, public comment, discussion and voting on Charter amendment texts, and approval of the final report.

- **Chair Deborah Kafoury:** Thank you for all of the work that you've done. I am so thankful that you signed up for this and want to congratulate you. The work you have done and are going to propose is a meaningful and tangible step towards moving Multnomah County toward being more inclusive, transparent, accountable, effective, and more equitable. This is important work to improve our organization and represent our community. Please reach out if there is anything my staff or I can do to help you.
- **fabiola casas, *Espousal Strategies*:** I wanted to highlight a few major takeaways from the work we did with the focus groups and community input survey. There were 268 survey responses, and the two issues that respondents prioritized were increasing opportunities for people in the community to learn about county government and services, and safety in the community. The process of the Charter Review is something that people are just starting to learn about. Many of our goals were about building awareness and education, to allow people to have more capacity to be more engaged in future processes. We had a huge response for the focus groups, culminating with 48 people, which exceeded our goal of at least 10 in each focus group. We asked all of the survey questions in real time, and the two that were identified as the most important were oversight of criminal justice and representation in government. We wanted to provide accessible and inclusive engagement, including providing closed captioning, American Sign Language, and Spanish interpretation. *Espousal Strategies* initial summary of topline themes and findings is available [here](#).

Public Comment

Brandy introduced the public comment portion of the meeting and overviewed the public comment process. There were 5 people who signed up in advance for public comment, but only 3 were in attendance and spoke.

- **Auditor Jennifer McGuirk:** I'm giving comment to respond to letters submitted to this committee by Chair Kafoury and Commissioner Vega Pederson asking you not to give voters the opportunity to decide if the hotline and ombudsperson should be in the Charter. Chair Kafoury's letter included a look at the county's "past ombuds efforts and Good Government Hotline program... flexibility was required to assure efficiency and avoid overlap of services." Please be assured there are no overlap of services in the proposed Charter amendments. My office already runs the hotline, and there is no other hotline in the county for people to report suspected inefficiency, waste, or abuse of position. It is a key way for the county to learn about government waste and fraud, and safeguard the dollars we all contribute to our government. It is typical for auditors to oversee their jurisdiction's hotlines on the west coast.

The county has no ombudsperson, and the auditor's office is the appropriate place for it. The county's past efforts to establish one underscore why an independent ombudsperson is needed. Portland ombudsperson Solinger wrote about this in her May 6 letter to the Government Accountability Subcommittee, which supported the establishment of an ombudsperson in the county auditor's office: "I was a part of the hiring process when the county's Department of Community Services created an office in the wake of scandals coming out of the animal services division. I knew then that it was unlikely to succeed as it was too narrowly scoped and lacked independence among other foundational aspects. All county residents need an ombuds office that can field complaints about all services." Chair Kafoury and Commissioner Vega Pederson expressed that the ombudsperson role and hotline may

need to look different in the future, but there is nothing in the proposed Charter language that would prevent change from happening. The proposed language will ensure appropriate operation of the hotline and ombudsperson, but is not overly restrictive or vague. I was worried the proposed language wasn't descriptive enough, but after a conversation with Attorney Thomas, I learned that the language is brief because it assumes the Charter sits within the existing state and federal framework. My team and I are committed to standing up the office appropriately. People should be able to make reports about government concerns to an impartial office that is independent of management. Giving people the opportunity to decide if they want that in their county Charter is a good thing.

- **Terry Harris:** Based on your draft, I am in opposition to the changes you're proposing to the Charter Commission appointments process. My concern is the line of accountability to voters regarding such appointments. I don't have a problem with the Office of Citizen Involvement (OCI), but delegating appointments to an agency rather than elected officials makes the appointments less accountable. Because the Charter Commission has such responsibility and power, appointments to the commission should be the responsibility of someone directly accountable to voters. Appointments to the Charter Commission are a political act, not a ministerial or administrative one. I understand that you are trying to solve issues with district lines, but the delegation of authority to OCI seems inappropriate and subject to a conflict of interest that could go unchecked and unbalanced. Who would voters hold responsible for a runaway Charter Commission? I looked at the code and the OCI reports to the Board Chair, which implies that appointments would go solely to the Board Chair. Is this the line of political accountability that the Charter Commission intends in your reform? If so, shouldn't the list of appointments at least be approved by the full County Board? What prevents OCI from stacking the Charter Commission or rigging the process for a particular outcome? Someone elected by voters needs to be the responsible person making these appointments.
- **Carol Chesarek:** Congratulations! You have worked hard to propose several checks and balances for the county. As Terry just described, one proposal would remove all existing checks and balances. I thought OCI had embedded oversight process, but I found that they do not. I support a suggestion to add a few words to the Charter Review Amendment to require that the Board of Commissioners review and approve the Charter Review Committee (CRC) members appointment process, adding the words "with the review and approval of the Board of Commissioners" so that it would read "the Office of Citizen Involvement shall administer the Charter Review Committee application and evaluation process with review and approval by the Board of Commissioners." OCI only has two permanent staff, one of whom is also the director, and could assign the CRC selection process to themselves. The county Community Involvement Committee, which is also recruited and selected by OCI, does not review committee selection and application processes or the appointments. OCI is the only county body that reviews community involvement activities, so they would be reviewing their own work. If you give OCI the sole authority to recruit and appoint CRC members, you're giving the director full personal control of that process with no oversight, checks and balances, or recourse if they do something bad. The director could appoint people to achieve a desired outcome, and the County Chair is unlikely to see that the director is manipulating the selection process. The other problem is the County Chair could fire the director from OCI, so if they want to shape a CRC, they could fire the director and hire one who would help them, potentially offering "benefits" for the help. This lack of oversight means OCI and the Chair could easily and secretly manipulate the selection of future CRC members. Adding those words would ensure the CRC has some oversight.

There were no additional verbal public commenters.

Kali Odell, Charter Review Committee Program Coordinator, summarized the written comments received prior to the meeting. Comments were in support of jail oversight, opposition to expansion of voting rights, interest in adding a statement to the committee's final report that rural residents be represented on the CRC to show alignment with committee values, concerns about costs if Portland doesn't pass Ranked Choice Voting (RCV), concerns about OCI being tasked with selection of CRC members, support for RCV and candidates being able to have the same ranking on the ballot, clarification of language to include auditor's ability to access county facilities, and support of the hotline and Ombudsperson being passed in the county's code rather than as Charter amendment.

Brandy closed the public comment portion of the meeting.

Final Discussion and Votes on Recommended Amendments

Theresa Mai introduced the portion of the meeting devoted to reviewing and voting on text that would amend the Charter. Two amendments had previously been voted on and passed. The committee would be reviewing texts on the remaining six proposed amendments to see if they reflect the changes the committee wanted, and they would then vote on whether to refer each amendment to voters. Some texts may not pass. Theresa added that she would also be relaying comments from Maja, who was unable to attend the meeting.

Brandy asked if the committee had questions

Samantha asked if committee members were reconsidering the hotline or ombudsperson. Brandy asked if the group wanted to perform a temperature check to see if they wanted to discuss the topics or move on to voting.

Brandy initiated a fist of five, and most people felt they wanted to discuss the topics before voting.

Katherine Thomas, Assistant County Attorney, overviewed the drafting process of the texts:

- Review of the existing Charter to determine what needed to be changed or best places to add provisions.
 - Also ensured new language was consistent with previous language and conventions to ensure a cohesive, durable document.
- Focused on the subcommittee recommendation forms. These guided the committee's intent.
- Did not change things that were not within the recommendations.
- Took lots of time and consideration; had additional help from other attorneys.
 - Put a lot of thought into the verbiage used to represent the committee's intent.

Marc thanked Katherine for all of her hard work.

Instant Runoff Ranked Choice Voting Amendment

Kali shared the Instant Runoff Ranked Choice Voting Charter Amendment text. The committee had previously recommended using the City of Portland's draft text as a model. The full amendment is available [here](#).

- **Annie:** My concern with this language is that it doesn't specify what to do with ballots if the rankings are exhausted. I propose this language: "The candidate having the fewest votes in each round is eliminated and ballots that had been counted as votes for the eliminated candidate instead are counted

as votes for the candidate who is ranked next on those ballots ***if additional rankings are available. If no additional rankings are available, the ballot will not be counted in subsequent rounds.*** It's important to note what happens when you run out of rankings.

- **Samantha:** I appreciate your persistence but still feel good about where the text is.

Vote

Brandy initiated a temperature check to see where the group felt about voting on the amendment as is. Most committee members were comfortable with the existing draft language.

Brandy initiated a formal vote to move forward with the recommendation for **Instant Runoff Ranked Choice Voting.**

Ten Committee members voted yes, one voted no, and there were two abstentions. The amendment moved forward to voters.

Charter Review Committee Amendment

Kali shared the amendment text and Katherine noted that while the committee initially voted on two sets of changes to the Charter review process separately, those changes would be incorporated as a single measure. The initial draft of the full amendment is available [here](#).

- Appointments would be made by county commissioner district.
 - Four people per district, with a total of 16 members.
- Removes specific dates from the timeline.
- Changes appointment authority from state legislatures to OCI.
- Removes requirement that appointees from the same district be in differing political parties.
- The committee chooses their own leadership structure.
- OCI can fill vacancies.
- Changes committee timeline, extending from 11 months to 18 months.
- Makes one of OCI's duties public education and engagement.

Brandy asked if there were any questions. Several members felt the public comments regarding OCI's appointment authority were compelling and agreed that the Board of Commissioners should provide final approval of appointments.

If the committee wanted to change the language in the recommendation, they needed to first submit a formal vote on whether to do so. Katherine would change the language during the meeting with a final vote at the end.

Vote on Changing Language in Recommendation

Katherine summarized what she felt the language might say that would reflect the committee's intent.

- **Samantha:** The language I'm thinking is that OCI will recommend the appointments, but I want to ensure that the process leads to the Chair or the Board voting on it.
 - **Katherine:** The language as it currently stands would still have OCI running the application and evaluation process, so their role would still be captured in the wording.
 - **Dani:** When we support other committees with approval by the Board, our office still brings candidates for them to appoint, so this would be consistent with our current practice.
- **Timur:** Maybe we could use "staff appoints" for filling vacancies, as they can happen quickly.

Georgina asked if the group was cherry picking checks and balances and Katherine clarified that she meant there is existing wording in the Charter that could be borrowed to capture the intent of the group.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote for Katherine to amend the text, which would be voted on later in the meeting. This amendment stated that appointments are made by the Chair, with the approval of the Board, rather than directly by OCI.

The Committee unanimously voted yes.

Inspections of Jail Facilities

Katherine noted that this would be in section 3.8, a new section of the Charter. It discusses the governing body, boards and commissions, and Citizen Involvement Committee, which encompasses the idea of collaboration between the board and members of the public. The full amendment is [here](#).

- Requires Board to conduct at least one additional jail inspection per year.
- Requires at least one member of the public per commissioner to participate.
- Uses an application process and will give preference to people who live or work in the county, or have a demonstrated connection to the county.
 - Volunteers will be independent of the auditor, jail, or correctional institution.
 - Will be provided administrative support and stipends.
- Within Sheriff safety standards, will have access to all parts of jail and will be able to have confidential interviews with those who consent to be interviewed.
- Will be able to review records.
- Volunteers will issue public report.

The committee had no questions. Marc remarked that the finalized amendment had vastly improved from its initial state.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote to move forward with the recommendation for **Inspections of Jail Facilities**.

Twelve Committee members voted yes and one voted no. The recommendation moved forward to voters.

- **Ana dR:** My yes vote is not meant to legitimize jails or jailing, but to recognize the need to reduce unjust harm currently experienced by those caught in our unjust system.
- **Theresa:** I feel this may be more suited for code, which is why I voted no.

Waste, Inefficiency, and Abuse Hotline Amendment

Katherine overviewed the hotline amendment. The full amendment is available [here](#).

- Would not be named to keep flexibility.
- Established within the office of the Auditor.
 - Run under the Auditor's direction.
- Used to report waste, inefficiency, or abuse.
 - Reports are investigated and findings issued.
 - Auditor will pass reports to proper government entities.

- **Maja wrote to the committee in advance of the meeting:** I would be happy to enshrine the hotline in ordinance instead of the charter as suggested by the Chair. With respect to the ombuds office, I think it makes sense to establish it in the charter. That's what Portland has done, and there's plenty of precedent for enshrining an ombuds office in city/county charters. However, with respect to the hotline, I think the Chair has a point that it may be necessary to make adjustments in the future, and choosing the ordinance route makes sense to me for that reason.
- **Timur:** I suggest 6.b state "the auditor shall notify in a timely manner the responsible government entity."
 - **Annie:** That might be something to address in a future charter.
- **Jude:** Can someone expand on the comments regarding the rigidity of putting this in the Charter?
 - **Katherine:** The Charter needs to be amended by voters, and it can come to them in a few different ways. It's intended to be the constitution that only voters can change.
- **Samantha:** Have you seen an example in county history, where a change has been made to a program that was hard to change later because it was in the Charter?
 - **Katherine:** Campaign finance has created challenges. We don't typically see programmatic things in the Charter for that reason. Part of it was struck down, and details of it within the Charter have created some challenges for implementation.
 - **Samantha:** It sounds like they're rare, or there just aren't that many programs in the Charter.

Brandy noted that the group sounded a bit hesitant. She asked if the group wanted to move to vote on the amendment as written. Several in the group mentioned they were leaning toward not moving the amendment forward.

- **Annie:** I'm hearing a proposal to remove the hotline but keep the ombudsman piece.

Members were informed that these were two separate measures, so the Committee would be voting on them separately. Brandy initiated a fist of five and most of the group was ready to vote on the hotline.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote on **the Waste, Inefficiency, and Abuse Hotline Amendment**.

Ten Committee members voted no, and there were three abstentions. The amendment would not move forward to voters.

- **The Committee noted that they voted no with the intent that the Board of Commissioners would move forward with putting this in the code.**

Ombudsperson Amendment

Katherine overviewed the ombudsperson amendment. The full amendment text is available [here](#).

- Placed within the Office of the Auditor.
- Serves as an impartial resource to investigate complaints and make related reports about administrative actions of the county.
- Would not investigate current elected officials, their staff, matters in litigation, matters subject to collective bargaining agreement grievance procedures, violations of county personnel rules, or discrimination complaints from employees or employment applicants.

- Makes reports and responsible elected officials are required to respond to reports.

Brandy asked if there were any comments or questions.

- **Annie:** The board could put this into the code.
- **Samantha:** This would require additional planning and scoping which could look different in the years ahead.
- **Timur:** I see the ombuds as a public advocate.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote to advance the text for **the Ombudsperson Amendment**.

Eleven Committee members voted yes, and two voted no. The amendment moved forward to voters.

Discussion of the Committee's Final Report

Kali shared the latest version of the draft of the committee's final report and overviewed current changes. The draft report is available [here](#). She asked if there were any concerns or additions that the group wanted to add. The recommendation to the Board to add the hotline to county code could be added to the report.

Theresa supported adding an additional appendix at the end of the report about the Charter review application and evaluation process to inform future processes. There were no additional suggested edits.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote to **advance the final report to the Board**, with the changes Kali had discussed.

The Committee unanimously voted yes, which advanced the report to the Board.

The group then moved on to vote on the final amendments.

Charter Review Process

Katherine shared the amended text for the Charter Review Process.

- Electors for each district will be appointed by the Chair of the Board of Commissioners with the approval of the board. OCI will continue to recommend applicants.
- OCI will fill vacancies.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote to advance the amended text for **the Charter Review Process** to voters.

The Committee unanimously voted yes. The amendment moved forward to voters.

Most of the group agreed to stay longer to maintain quorum and vote on the final auditor's recommendation.

County Auditor's Access to Timely Information; Adding Right-to Audit Clause in Contracts and Subcontracts

Katherine shared the auditor's access to information amendment. The full amendment is [here](#).

- Auditor would have timely access to county employees, information and records required to perform duties of the auditor.
 - Auditor and county will work together to provide access to confidential records.

- A right-to-audit clause would be included in contracts with third parties.
- Ombudsperson is within the office of the auditor, so this would extend to the ombuds by referring to duties of the auditor.
- Does not include a change of wording from the auditor because that was not included in the committee's recommendation form.

Vote

Brandy initiated a formal vote to advance the amended text for **the County Auditor's Access to Timely Information, Adding Right-to Audit Clause in Contracts and Subcontracts** to voters.

The Committee unanimously voted yes. The amendment moved forward to voters.

Next Steps and Closing of Final Meeting

Theresa congratulated everyone for their work on proposals and voting.

- Members of the Committee will present the report on August 2. This will conclude the Committee's work.

The tri-chairs thanked everyone for all of their hard work. Kali also thanked the committee and mentioned that there would be follow up and they could potentially have an in-person social gathering to celebrate the committee's work. She asked for feedback on the group's experience to benefit the future Charter Committee.

The meeting adjourned.

Zoom chat: Appendix

Nina Khanjan: Hi everyone!

Timur Ender: I agree with Annie

Theresa Mai (she/her): Same.

Nina Khanjan she/her: Same here

Jude Perez: Me either

Kali Odell (she/her): Should be fixed

Annie Kallen she/her: Thanks Kali, that's fixed now.

Katherine Thomas (she/her): <https://multco-web7-psh-files-usw2.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/MCCRC%20Meeting%20Agenda%2007.20.22.pdf>

Samantha Gladu: Thank you!

Nina Khanjan she/her: Thank you!

Kali Odell (she/her): If everyone could make sure your chat settings are now to "Everyone", that would be great!

Theresa Mai (she/her): Thank you, Chair Kafoury!

Deborah Kafoury (she/her): deborah.kafoury@multco.us is my email if anyone wants to reach out! Thanks again!

Annie Kallen she/her: Thank you Fabiola!

J'reyesha Brannon: Switching to my laptop. Be back in 2 min

fabiola casasa (she/they) | Espousal Strategies: thank you everyone! I need to log off, but please feel free to reach out directly with any questions you have as you read through our reports. We will be providing a report on the entirety of our work and recommendations for future engagement by the end of August. My email is fabiola@espousalstrategies.com

Theresa Mai (she/her): Thank you, Fabiola!

Timur Ender (he/they): agreed; thank you.

Annie Kallen she/her: Thanks Terry and Carol

Marc Gonzales: Thank you Theresa for the very complete introduction of the topics

Jude Perez: 4

Samantha Gladu: 5

Nina Khanjan she/her: 3

Timur Ender (he/they): I don't have a strong opinion about auditor related amendments and will be interested in hearing from ppl from the subcmte who brought it fwd.

Timur Ender (he/they): Thanks Katherine; its been really great working with you!

Samantha Gladu: amazing

Ana del Rocío (she/her): Echo that!

Samantha Gladu: Thank you for describing your process, and thank you for working with your colleagues to be as precise and clear as possible with this text! I appreciate you!

Salma Sheikh: ^

Annie Kallen she/her: yes please

Annie Kallen she/her: helpful to see the text

Marc Gonzales: Text will help

Annie Kallen she/her: Is it possible to zoom in?

Annie Kallen she/her: thanks!

Samantha Gladu: I think the requested changes are all here, and this looks good. I have no questions and feel ready to vote!

Timur Ender (he/they): looks good; no comment & I'm also ready to vote.

Theresa Mai (she/her): No comment.

Annie Kallen she/her: "The candidate having the fewest votes in each round is eliminated and ballots that had been counted as votes for the eliminated candidate instead are counted as votes for the candidate who is ranked next on those ballots, if additional rankings are available. If no additional rankings are available, the ballot will not be counted in subsequent rounds."

Annie Kallen she/her: slightly, yes

Ana del Rocío (she/her): 5

Samantha Gladu: 5

Theresa Mai (she/her): 5

Marc Gonzales (he,him): I feel ready to vote as well.

Jude Perez: 5

Nina Khanjan she/her: 5

Salma Sheikh: 5

Samantha Gladu: correct

Theresa Mai (she/her): Maja: "I'd personally be supportive of requiring county board approval of appointments. I'm fine with our recommendation as it stands, and I would have voted yes on it regardless, but if there's any discussion related to the comments brought by Terry Harris and others, I just wanted to let you know that I'm not against county commissioners being involved in the process."

Annie Kallen she/her: Carol Chesarek's proposed additional verbiage (if I recorded it correctly): "with review and approval by the board of commissioners"

Samantha Gladu: Thank you, Georgina!

Theresa Mai (she/her): +1 Timur and Samantha.

Jude Perez: Yes

Timur Ender (he/they): im ready to vote

Jude Perez: Yes

Annie Kallen she/her: ready

Nina Khanjan she/her: Yes

Samantha Gladu: Agree - I think it turned out well. Thank you subcommittee for advocating to get it here!

Ana del Rocío (she/her): Yes, with the following vote explanation: I hold a long-term vision of abolishing jails and prisons in favor of humane solutions to community safety needs. My "yes" vote is not meant to legitimize jails or jailing, but rather to recognize the need to reduce unjust harm currently experienced by those caught in our broken system. By advancing this recommendation to voters, we can engage voters in conversation about how we treat our fellow community members who are held in detention, recognizing that our current lack of transparency and community-based oversight promotes disconnection and dehumanization among the wider public towards people in detention -- and is therefore anti-thetical to the health-promoting purpose of Multnomah County.

J'reyesha Brannon: Well said Ana

Timur Ender (he/they): thank you for sharing that

Annie Kallen she/her: Thank you Ana!

Nina Khanjan she/her: Thank you Ana!

Jude Perez: Thanks for that, Ana!

Theresa Mai (she/her): I would be happy to enshrine the hotline in ordinance instead of the charter as suggested by the Chair. With respect to the ombuds office, I think it makes sense to establish it in the charter. That's what Portland has done, and there's plenty of precedent for enshrining an ombuds office in city/county charters. However, with respect to the hotline, I think the Chair has a point that it may be necessary to make adjustments in the future, and choosing the ordinance route makes sense to me for that reason.

Annie Kallen she/her: camera's off for a minute but I'm still here

Ana del Rocío (she/her): Could we have the language on screen, please?

Samantha Gladu: Do other subcommittee members agree with Maja's comments about putting this in code?

Timur Ender (he/they): the hotline seems more like a program. The ombudsman seems to be more structural. I'm personally leaning toward not having the hotline in the charter. If anyone from the subcmte who championed either of these wants to speak to why this important, I'd be happy to hear that perspective.

Annie Kallen she/her: In general, I'm in favor of not having things in the charter that belongs in code.

Samantha Gladu: Or for us to vote no or not move it forward

Danica Leung: 5

Theresa Mai (she/her): 5

Samantha Gladu: 1

Ana del Rocío (she/her): 2

Jude Perez: 3

Nina Khanjan she/her: 3

Timur Ender (he/they): 2?

Theresa Mai (she/her): My camera has to be turned off for a bit

Ana del Rocío (she/her): 4

Samantha Gladu: 5

Nina Khanjan she/her: Sorry my internet is glitchy in the mountains

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: 5

Theresa Mai (she/her): 5

Timur Ender (he/they): good point Annie. We can put that in the letter to the chair/ final report

Kali Odell (she/her): We can add the recommendation to adopt the hotline in code in the report

Salma Sheikh: I second those points

Samantha Gladu: Here's the ombuds person recommendation form for anyone else needing a refresh:

https://multco-web7-psh-files-usw2.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/Ombuds%20Office%20Subcommittee%20Recommendation%20Form_0.pdf

Salma Sheikh: Thank you

Samantha Gladu: <https://www.portlandoregon.gov/ombudsman/26845>

Jude Perez: 5

Annie Kallen she/her: I support these additions.

Samantha Gladu: Very valuable!

Theresa Mai (she/her): I support the additions which includes the appendix having the OCI's selection process. I support being transparent about what this process looks like for the future.

Samantha Gladu: 5

Jude Perez: 5

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: 5

Ana del Rocío (she/her): 5

Danica Leung: 5

Samantha Gladu: Thank you for your diligence, Kali!!!

Nina Khanjan she/her: 5

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: yes

Ana del Rocío (she/her): Yes.

Kali Odell (she/her): Add to report: appendix describing OCI application review process, recommend board add hotline to code, addition of final vote count, changes made to Charter review text

Kali Odell (she/her): Do you want to assess if we'll have a quorum passed 7:30 to continue discussion after looking at the Charter review language? Or does the committee need/prefer to finish at 7:30, which means leaving auditor's access to information behind without a vote?

Nina Khanjan she/her: No

Samantha Gladu: yes

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: yes

Nina Khanjan she/her: Yes

Jude Perez: Yes

Ana del Rocío (she/her): 5

Annie Kallen she/her: I can stay past 7:30 if necessary.

Ana del Rocío (she/her): I unfortunately have to jump off at 7:30 but I can come back at 7:45 for vote if needed

Samantha Gladu: Agree - and I feel clarity on the outstanding recommendation and ready to vote on it!

Samantha Gladu: Agree as in can stay - sorry!

Georgina Miltenberger (she/her): I can stay

Nina Khanjan she/her: I cannot

Jude Perez: I can stay

Timur Ender: i can stay

Theresa Mai (she/her): I can stay

Salma Sheikh: can stay

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: I can't

Danica Leung: I can

Marc Gonzales (he,him): I can stay

J'reyesha Brannon: I can stay until 7:35

Nina Khanjan she/her: Thank you so much I appreciate all charter members and greatly respect your input!

Ana del Rocío (she/her): yes

Samantha Gladu: Yes! 5!

Jude Perez: 5

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: 5

Danica Leung: lets do this!

Nina Khanjan she/her: Yes

Theresa Mai (she/her): To those that have to leave on time (Ana, Ana, and Nina), thank you for coming for our last meeting. You all did great work on this committee. Proud of what you accomplished during this time, and look forward to seeing you all in the near future.

Annie Kallen she/her: Thank-you to our fabulous tri-chairs!

Timur Ender: thanks everyone...enjoyed working with all of you! thanks to Kali and staff for a great process.

Nina Khanjan she/her: Here here Ana!

Ana Gonzalez Munoz: Awesome work everyone! It was a pleasure to be part of this effort.

Samantha Gladu: 🙌🙌🙌

Danica Leung: 😊 Thank you all so much!

Samantha Gladu: Thank you KALI!!!

Annie Kallen she/her: Thank you Kali!

Danica Leung: Kali you're the best!!

J'reyesha Brannon: Thank you!!!

J'reyesha Brannon: Facilitation and organizational goals!!!

Jude Perez: thank you so much!!!

Dani Bernstein (they/them): Thank you all so much for your work! Truly inspirational to be witness to your work.