




**MULTNOMAH COUNTY  
JUSTICE REINVESTMENT  
PROGRAM**

**HB3194 DATA WORKGROUP**


**PROCESS MEASURES FROM  
JULY 2014 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021**



**Data for the last Quarter (Apr. 1 – Jun. 30, 2021)  
were significantly influenced by external factors:**

- Covid19 restrictions and closures beginning on March 16, 2020 through the present time.
- Downtown street demonstrations beginning on May 29, 2020 through November 2020.
  
- Interrupted case processing timelines in order to meet social distancing guidelines:
  - Arraignment hearings for out-of-custody defendants are being postponed, which is increasing failure to appear rates and delaying court data tracking systems.
  - County Jail has restricted non-MCSO personnel from entering for face-to-face meetings.
  - Risk assessment interviews are occurring virtually, via telephone or video.
  - JSCs are not being scheduled at arraignment on indictment.
  - The offices of public defenders have been closed to the public, including defendants.
  - Many trials have been postponed while physical distance accommodations are put in place and courtroom spaces are reconfigured.
  - Time to adjudication has increased: Level 3 court restrictions allowed only in-custody cases to be resolved. Current Level 2 court restrictions (beginning June 22, 2020) allow resolution for out-of-custody cases.
  
- Reduced volume of MCJRP cases filed:
  - Law Enforcement is investigating fewer criminal incidents both to decrease the spread of covid19 and to maintain order at demonstrations; therefore, fewer cases are being referred to MCDA.
  - MCDA is issuing fewer court cases.
  - Cases proceeding to indictment have been affected, although for a multitude of reasons beyond the community environment.
  - Simultaneously, a growing backlog of undisposed cases associated with mounting apprehension of practitioners about the impending lake of cases that will flood the system once the pandemic restrictions are lifted.
  
- Changes in Community Supervision:
  - POs are restricted from having in-person office visits with probationers; fewer potential violations may be observed and addressed.
  - POs have increased discretion to use administrative sanctions rather than request a Probation Violation (PV) hearing in front of the sentencing judge.
  - There are fewer PV hearings resulting in fewer revocations.

## MCJRP Case Flow



1. The majority of MCJRP Eligible cases commence following an arrest (80%); other MCJRP Eligible cases are initiated at arraignment on indictment warrants (20%).

2. MCJRP cases in process include cases involved in pre-adjudication hearings as well as 569 cases with Active Warrants (Either for FTAs or on Warrants on Indictments) and 45 cases in "Pending Fitness to Proceed" status.

3. One assessment per individual; individuals may have multiple MCJRP cases. Does not include individuals whose cases were dismissed post-arraignment.

▲ This symbol denotes numbers that represent people, not cases.

4. Includes all cases dismissed post-arraignment. The majority of cases in the "Dismissed" category are the result of cases that were dismissed as part of a plea agreement in the global resolution of multiple cases. Other dismissed cases were initially diverted and later dismissed, were cases with juvenile defendants that were dismissed in favor of a juvenile case resolution, or were cases that were dismissed without prejudice and will likely be reinstated in the future. All subsequent numbers in this report include only those cases that have been convicted and sentenced.

5. Sentenced cases are grouped into categories according to the judicial order at initial sentence when the case is convicted. These numbers represent **outcomes for the 1st sentence only**.

6. Future reports will include further distribution breakdowns for these Non-MCJRP sentence categories.

7. The use of Historical Reports as a substitute for Assessments was discontinued on 7/24/2020. The practice was changed to Status Reports.

SOURCES: Multnomah County Department of Community Justice, District Attorney's Office, and the Multnomah Circuit Court of the Oregon Judicial Department.



# MCJRP Program Population Demographics

Date of Last Count:  
Jul. 5, 2021

	Cases		* People *		Cases	
	Assessment Group #	%	Assessment Group #	%	Opted Out #	%
<b>N = 6,228 Defendants<sup>1</sup></b>						<b>2013 AC Survey<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>GENDER</b>						
Female	1,436	18.94%	1,223	19.99%	25	16.78%
Male	6,144	81.06%	4,894	80.01%	124	83.22%
<b>RACE</b>						
Black/African American	1,760	23.22%	1,365	22.31%	41	27.52%
Asian	225	2.97%	175	2.86%	2	1.34%
Native American	119	1.57%	93	1.52%	1	0.67%
White	4,533	59.80%	3,676	60.09%	95	63.76%
Other		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
<b>HISPANIC ETHNICITY</b>						
Hispanic/Latino	943	12.44%	808	13.21%	10	6.71%
Not Hispanic	6,637	87.56%	5,309	86.79%	139	93.29%
<b>AGE<sup>3</sup></b>						
Younger than 18 yoa	85	1.12%	80	1.31%	1	0.67%
18 - 24 yoa	1,185	15.63%	940	15.37%	26	17.45%
25 - 34 yoa	2,802	36.97%	2,209	36.11%	51	34.23%
35 - 44 yoa	1,984	26.17%	1,613	26.37%	40	26.85%
45 - 54 yoa	1,069	14.10%	900	14.71%	22	14.77%
55 - 64 yoa	398	5.25%	326	5.33%	6	4.03%
Over 65 yoa	57	0.75%	49	0.80%	3	2.01%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,580</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>6,117</b>	<b>97.6%</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
						<b>757,371</b>
						<b>100.0%</b>

Person/Case Connection	Number of People	Number of Cases	Cumulative Total of Cases
Number of People with 1 case	5,013	1	5,013
Number of People with 2 or more cases	1,104	2	6,701
Total Number of People in MCJRP	6,117	3	7,259
		4	7,475
		5	7,550
		6	7,580

1. Some defendants may have multiple cases. The 6,228 defendants includes those who opted out of MCJRP. There are 6,117 unique individuals in the Assessment Group and 111 unique Opt-Outs.

2. Multnomah County Demographics (2013 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates)




3. Age At MCJRP Eligibility. Coincides with DA case issuance and Court case filed date.

SOURCE: Multnomah Circuit Court of the Oregon Judicial Department.


# MCJRP Case Summary

as of Jul. 5, 2021




Total MCJRP Eligible Cases	Gender Ratio	% People of Color	Cases Convicted	Intensive Supervision	MCJRP Revocations <sup>1</sup>
<b>7,580</b>	81.1% Male 18.9% Female	23.2% Black/African Amer. 12.4% Hispanic/Latino	<b>5,455</b>	<b>2,205</b>	<b>585 (26.5%)</b>



## Race & Ethnicity Distribution by Gender of MCJRP Eligible Cases



## Age Category Distribution by Gender of MCJRP Eligible Cases




# MCJRP Case Summary


as of Jul. 5, 2021

## Distributions of Race by Age for each Gender Category for MCJRP Eligible Cases

### Distribution of Race and Age for Cases with Male Defendants (n=6,144)




### Distribution of Race and Age for Cases with Female Defendants (n=1,436)



## Distribution of MCJRP Eligible Cases Initiated by DA Crime Type Categories

### Percent of Cases Initiated by DA Crime Type Category (n=2,301)




# MCJRP Case Summary


as of Jul. 5, 2021

## Number of Cases Revoked from MCJRP Intensive Supervision Probation in that Quarter (2nd Sentences). N=157

Revoked Cases by Race & Ethnicity for Cases with Male Defendants (n=125)




Revoked Cases by Race & Ethnicity for Cases with Female Defendants (n=32)



## Sentencing Outcomes by Gender and Race & Ethnicity for the Latest Quarter


Case Outcomes by Gender and Race & Ethnicity (n=62) on the Initial Sentence (First Sentence) During the Last Quarter




# MCJRP Case Summary

as of Jul. 5, 2021

**Percent of Cases with a Prison Sentence of MCJRP Cases Sentenced in that Quarter (1st or Initial Sentence only)**  
**Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison by Race & Ethnicity (n=560)**



**Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison by Gender (n=560)**







# MCJRP Case Summary

## Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison with a Prison Sentence of MCJRP Cases Sentenced - By First or By Subsequent MCJRP Case (The graphs on this page break out the sentencing graph at the top of the previous page.)

### Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison in that Quarter on the 1st MCJRP Case (by Race & Ethnicity) n=360



### Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison in that Quarter on the 2nd+ MCJRP Case (by Race & Ethnicity) n=200




# MCJRP Case Summary

as of Jul. 5, 2021


## Distribution of Cases by DA Crime Type Categories Sentenced to Prison in that Quarter (1st or Initial Sentence only)

### Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison by DA Crime Type Category (n=560)



## Distribution of Cases by DA Crime Type Categories Sentenced to Community Supervision in that Quarter (1st or Initial Sentence only)

### Percent of Cases Sentenced to Community Supervision by DA Crime Type Category (n=932)




# MCJRP Case Summary

as of Jul. 5, 2021

Distribution of Cases based on numerical order of Filed Date and Sentenced to Prison in that Quarter (1st or Initial Sentence only)

Percent of Cases Sentenced to Prison by # of Case in MCJRP (n=560)



Distribution of Cases based on numerical order of Filed Date and Sentenced to Community Supervision in that Quarter (1st or Initial Sentence only)

Percent of Cases Sentenced to Community Supervision by # of Case in MCJRP (n=932)

