



**MULTNOMAH COUNTY
JUSTICE REINVESTMENT
PROGRAM**

HB3194 DATA WORKGROUP

QUARTERLY REPORT:

FY15 Q3

JULY 2014 - MARCH 2015

Introduction



What is Justice Reinvestment?

Justice Reinvestment seeks to improve public safety by reducing spending on incarceration in order to reinvest savings in evidence-based strategies that decrease crime.

What Does the Program Do?

Our program is a collaborative effort to improve the assessment of criminal offenders in Multnomah County and provide them a continuum of community-based services and sanctions. This combination of early assessment and intervention is provided to reduce recidivism while protecting public safety.

Who Runs the Program?

Our program is distinctive in the number of agencies that worked together to design and implement this approach to justice reinvestment. Our partners include: Portland Police Bureau, Gresham Police, Fairview Police, Troutdale Police, Sheriff's Office, Department of Community Justice, Oregon Judicial Department, District Attorney's Office, Citizens Crime Commission, Defense Bar, CODA, and the Multnomah County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC).

How Do I Use This Report?


This report is a quarterly compilation of process and outcome measures designed to monitor the implementation and effectiveness of our program. This offers readers a snapshot of the program as well as a cumulative summary of all activity to date. The units of analysis vary so that some information is reflected in number of court cases, custody bookings, or people. Readers are encouraged to closely pay attention to the descriptive labels that appear throughout the report.

OUR GOALS

- *Safer Communities*
- *Smarter Spending*
- *Data Informed Decision-making*
- *Sustainable Use of Prison Beds*
- *Enhanced funding for Community Services*

MCJRP Case Flow

Date of Last Count:
March 31, 2015



MCJRP Program Demographics

Date of Last Count:
March 31, 2015

N= 772 Unique Defendants	Assessment Group		Opted Out		2013 ACS*	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Gender						
Female	154	(22.1%)	20	(27.0%)	387,488	(50.6%)
Male	544	(77.9%)	54	(73.0%)	378,647	(49.4%)
Race						
African American	157	(22.5%)	19	(25.7%)	42,214	(5.5%)
Asian	20	(2.9%)	1	(1.4%)	51,093	(6.7%)
Native American	5	(0.7%)	1	(1.4%)	6,674	(0.9%)
White	432	(61.9%)	46	(62.2%)	596,984	(77.9%)
Other	0	(0.0%)	0	(0.0%)	69,170	(9.0%)
Hispanic						
Not Hispanic	614	(88.0%)	67	(90.5%)	681,094	(88.9%)
Hispanic	84	(12.0%)	7	(9.5%)	85,041	(11.1%)
Age						
Younger than 18 yoa	12	(1.7%)	1	(1.7%)	152,686	(19.9%)
18 – 24 yoa	111	(15.9%)	16	(15.9%)	67,145	(8.8%)
25 – 34 yoa	242	(34.7%)	30	(34.7%)	141,873	(18.5%)
35 – 44 yoa	181	(25.9%)	14	(25.9%)	122,688	(16.0%)
45 – 54 yoa	115	(16.5%)	10	(13.5%)	98,819	(12.9%)
55 – 64 yoa	32	(4.6%)	2	(2.7%)	93,697	(12.2%)
Over 65 yoa	5	(0.7%)	1	(1.4%)	89,227	(11.6%)
RRI						
Measures Pending						
Total	698	(100%)	74	(100%)	766,135	(100%)


*Multnomah County Demographics (2013 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates)

Case Eligibility and Opt Outs

All MCJRP Identified Cases by Primary Charge Category

Primary Charge Category	# to Date*	
	Assessment Group	Opted Out
BM11 Cases	66	3
BM57 – Property Cases	272	32
Other Property Cases	111	5
BM57 –Drug Cases	38	1
Other Drug Cases	156	18
Behavioral Cases	54	15
Person Cases	29	3
Vehicle Cases	15	2
Grand Total	741	79

*Unless otherwise noted in this report, 'to date' represents the time period of July 1 – March 31, 2015



*Case eligibility date coincides with case arraignment on indictment date. 'Opt out' cases excludes cases that have opted back in.

Opt Out Reason by Case	Timeline	Total to Date
Opt Out	By Day 28	75
Notice Filed		40
Verbal		26
Refusal		9
Late Opt Out	After Day 28	11
Total Opt Outs Recorded		86
Cases Opting Back In		7
Total Opt Out Cases		79




Notice Filed: Notice of Intent to Opt Out filed with the court, Opt Outs filed after day 28 are considered to be late opt outs

Verbal: Defendant pleas prior to completion of interview or defense team verbally declines MCJRP

Refusal: Defendant refused to be assessed or refused to answer enough questions for a valid LSCMI at interview, no LSCMI completed prior to day 21

Assessments

Completed To Date	
LSCMI Interviews	496
In-custody Interviews Facilitated by MCSO HB3194 Escort Deputies	345
Assessment Reports Completed	430
Assessment Reports in Progress	1




Custody


Booking and Custody Information ¹	# To Date
Individuals booked	702
Bookings²	929
Releases	705
Jail Bed Days	40,698
<i>Detention Center (MCDC)</i>	10,177
<i>Inverness Jail (MCIJ)</i>	30,521

¹ Booking and Custody Information includes both pre-trial and post-sentencing bookings and custodies for individual identified as eligible for MCJRP.

² Individuals may be booked multiple times




Court Events



*Direct Present cases do not have information arraignments

**This represents the number of initial judicial settlement conferences that were scheduled on the court calendar by the court during the listed time period

Warrant Status and Reason	Total to Date
Cases Entered Warrant Status	108
Cases in Warrant Status on 3/31/15	41



*Opt Out cases and cases in warrant status are not included in the timeline calculations


Case Disposition

Case Disposition	To date	
	Assessment Used	Opted Out
Convictions	312	63
<i>Plea</i>	308	62
<i>Trial</i>	4	1
Not Guilty	1	0
<i>Trial</i>	1	0
Dismissed	8	2
Total Cases	321	65

Case count of cases with a disposition date as of March 31, 2015


Cases presented in this chart with the disposition reason of 'Dismissed' were dismissed at sentencing pursuant to plea agreement. Cases dismissed prior to sentencing are considered 'No Longer Eligible' for MCJRP and are not included in the analyses presented in this report.

Note: Cases considered "disposed" does not include cases in "diversion" or "deferred" status.



Sentencing

Sentencing information in these charts and tables is presented at the case level. Individuals may have multiple MCJRP cases that are sentenced. Sentencing information for the case is represented by the single count with highest sentence type & the longest duration.



Initial Sentence Length by Sentence Type (to date)

Initial Sentence Type	Assessment Used			Opted Out		
	# Sentenced	Average (months)	Sum (months)	# Sentenced	Average (months)	Sum (months)
Imprisonment	84	26.2	2202	24	29.8	716
Prison (DOC)	77	27.7	2135	24	29.8	716
Local Control (Jail)	7	9.6	67	0	0	0
Probation	215	37.6	8,082	38	34.3	1,302
Bench Probation	3	26.0	78	2	24.0	48
Standard Probation	43	34.5	1,482	23	33.7	774
Specialty Court	16	34.5	552	13	36.9	480
DISP	1	36.0	36	0	0	0
Mental Health	2	36.0	72	0	0	0
START	13	34.2	444	13	36.9	480
120-Day Intensive Probation	153	39.0	5,970			
Total	299			62		

Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence*

Initial Sentence Type	to Date (months)	
	Assessment Used	Opted Out
Prison Months Avoided	5,825-6,045	702-744
Local Control (Jail)	118-123	
Bench Probation	91-96	18-18
Standard Probation	817-861	404-438
Specialty Court	311-335	280-2888
MCJRP Intensive Probation	4,488-4,630	

Note: Data on prison months avoided was not available for all sentenced cases at the time this report was published.

*Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence is based on the top two convicted charges with the highest crime severity.




Offender Accountability

- Of the 739 assessment group cases, 382 cases (51.7%) had a victim associated.
- Of the 382 cases with a victim associated, 71 (18.6%) had a victims advocate assigned.
- Of the 382 cases with a victim associated 381 (99.7%) were restitution eligible.
- Of the restitution eligible cases 100% had a restitution clerk assigned.

Sentenced Cases with Restitution Ordered to Date

Charge Category	Assessment Group		Opt Out Group		Total	
	Cases Convicted and Sentenced	Sum of Total Restitution Ordered	Cases Convicted and Sentenced	Sum of Total Restitution Ordered	Cases Convicted and Sentenced	Sum of Total Restitution Ordered
BM11	10	\$20,169.15	1	\$2,940.00	11	\$23,109.15
BM57 - Property Offender	60	\$1,249,805.46	11	\$17,022.36	71	\$1,266,827.82
Other Property	16	\$125,714.84	2	\$1,506.00	18	\$127,220.84
BM57 – Drug	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Other Drug	1	\$11.78	0	\$0.00	1	\$11.78
Behavioral	6	\$4,050.47	0	\$0.00	6	\$4,050.47
Person	7	\$91,087.59	0	\$0.00	7	\$91,087.59
Vehicle	7	\$1,164.00	0	\$0.00	1	\$1,164.00
Grand Total	101	\$1,492,003.29	14	\$21,468.36	115	\$1,513,471.65

Intensive Probation Services: Part 1



Intensive Probation Services: Part 2

Jail Usage Post Sentence Date	To Date
Number of Jail Bed Days	2,073
MCJRP Probationers with Post Sentence Custody	103
Count of Post Sentence Custodies	144
Average LOS (per custody)	14.7
Number of clients currently in Jail (as of March 31, 2015)	24

*LOS is calculated post release from custody. The custody days of clients still in jail as of 04/01/2015 are not included in this calculation.

Variables	All Offenders on MCJRP 120 Day Supervision To-Date (N=146)
Risk/Need Level*	83% High / Very High 11% Medium 6% Low / Very Low
Criminal Justice History	3.4 is avg # of previous felony convictions
Transportation	23% with transportation factors
Language	2% with language barriers
Physical Health	10% with physical health factors
Veterans	3% Veterans

Need Areas	Indicators	DCJ Referrals	Provider Admission
Housing**	64 report housing not available	-	68
Alcohol / Drug Issues* - Residential	108 High / Very High	70	38
Alcohol / Drug Issues* - Outpatient		50	11
Mental Health Issues**	40 with mental health factors	0†	0†
Mentor*	104 High/Very High Need Companions	-	54
Parenting Services**	68 with children under 18 yoa	3	6
Employment Services/ Education*	77 High / Very High	8	22
GPS/EM*	71 High/Very High Criminal History	36	36
Criminal Thinking*	69 High/Very High Pro-criminal Attitudes or Anti-Social Patterns	15	-

*Derived from the LS/CMI assessment. This instrument was designed to identify dynamic areas of risk/needs that may be addressed by programming in order to reduce criminal risk behaviors.

**Derived from MCJRP Assessment Report

†Mental health services are also accessed through dual diagnosis AOD treatment programming

Law Enforcement

Justice Reinvestment Detail	# To Date ¹
Days with Dedicated JR Detail Shifts	96
Phone Calls Received from POs	40
MCJRP Arrest Attempts	224
MCJRP Arrests Made	35
Other Arrest Attempts ²	23
Other Arrests Made	20
Conversational or Probable Cause Stop ³	252
Proactive Community Policing Patrols	80
PO Assists	12
Other ⁴	4

¹ For the justice reinvestment detail measures, the 'To Date' totals represent the time period of when the Justice Reinvestment Detail began (November 1, 2014) through the end of the current reporting month (March 31, 2015).

'To Date' values in other sections represent a time period beginning on July 1, 2014 when MCJRP officially started.


² Tracked separately from MCJRP arrest and attempts starting February 1, 2015. Include attempt attempted warrant service and attempted service of PO detainers

³ Usually mere conversations or suspected criminal activity

⁴ For example, building secured, recovered stolen vehicle, etc.


All MCJRP Contacts	# To Date
Individuals Contacted	114
Number of Cases	217
Contact Type⁵ (Categories will be developed based on actual contacts)	
Witness	0
Victim	25
Associate/Mentioned	37
Suspect/Subject/Person of Interest	138
Exclusion area	3
Traffic	15
Charged	171
Other	2

⁵ Multiple contact types may take place within a single case.



MCJRP Outcomes

Revocations



Prison Bed Utilization

MEASURES PENDING

Recidivism

MEASURES PENDING

Acknowledgements

This report was developed by the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Data and Evaluation Sub-Committee. This committee is a collaborative effort of trained data analysts representing the agencies who helped develop and implement this justice reinvestment program.

Committee Leads:

- Kimberly Bernard, Ph.D.; Department of Community Justice
- Lauren Brown; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Committee Members:

- Joel Bruhn; Multnomah County Circuit Court
- Robert Jackson; Portland Police Bureau
- Maegan Kingston; Defense Bar
- Shea Marshman, Ph.D.; Multnomah County Sheriff's Office
- Lily Yamamoto; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
- Carol Young; District Attorney's Office

Committee Consultants:

- Roger Przybylski, USDOJ Bureau of Justice Assistance/NTTAC Consultant
- Sgt. Greg Stewart; Portland Police Bureau
- Katharina Wiest, Ph.D.; CODA