



**MULTNOMAH COUNTY
JUSTICE REINVESTMENT
PROGRAM**

HB3194 DATA WORKGROUP

PRELIMINARY MONTHLY REPORT

SEPTEMBER 2014

Introduction



What is Justice Reinvestment?

Justice Reinvestment seeks to improve public safety by reducing spending on incarceration in order to reinvest savings in evidence-based strategies that decrease crime.

What Does the Program Do?

Our program is a collaborative effort to improve the assessment of criminal offenders in Multnomah County and provide them a continuum of community-based services and sanctions. This combination of early assessment and intervention is provided to reduce recidivism while protecting public safety.

Who Runs the Program?

Our program is distinctive in the number of agencies that worked together to design and implement this approach to justice reinvestment. Our partners include: Portland Police Bureau, Gresham Police, Fairview Police, Troutdale Police, Sheriff's Office, Department of Community Justice, Oregon Judicial Department, District Attorney's Office, Citizens Crime Commission, Defense Bar, CODA, and the Multnomah County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC).

How Do I Use This Report?


This report is a monthly compilation of process and outcome measures designed to monitor the implementation and effectiveness of our program. This offers readers a snapshot of the program as well as a cumulative summary of all activity to date. The units of analysis vary so that some information is reflected in number of court cases, custody bookings, or people. Readers are encouraged to closely pay attention to the descriptive labels that appear throughout the report.

OUR GOALS

- *Safer Communities*
- *Smarter Spending*
- *Data Informed Decision-making*
- *Sustainable Use of Prison Beds*
- *Enhanced funding for Community Services*

MCJRP Case Flow

Date of Last Count:
September 30, 2014



MCJRP Program Demographics

Date of Last Count:
September 30, 2014

N= 255 Unique Defendants	<u>Eligible</u>		<u>Opted Out</u>		<u>All Defendants</u>	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Gender						
Female	48	(21.0%)	8	(30.8%)	56	(22.0%)
Male	181	(79.0%)	18	(69.2%)	199	(78.0%)
Race						
African American	56	(24.5%)	9	(34.6%)	65	(25.5%)
Asian	3	(1.3%)	0	(0.0%)	3	(1.2%)
Hispanic	36	(15.7%)	0	(0.0%)	36	(14.1%)
Native American	1	(0.4%)	1	(3.8%)	2	(0.8%)
White	133	(58.1%)	16	(61.5%)	149	(58.4%)
Age						
Younger than 18 yoa	5	(2.2%)	0	(0.0%)	5	(2.0%)
18 – 25 yoa	51	(22.3%)	8	(30.8%)	59	(23.1%)
26 – 35 yoa	74	(32.3%)	10	(38.5%)	84	(32.9%)
36 – 45 yoa	50	(21.8%)	3	(11.5%)	53	(20.8%)
46 – 55 yoa	41	(17.9%)	4	(15.4%)	45	(17.6%)
56 – 65 yoa	7	(3.1%)	1	(3.8%)	8	(3.1%)
Over 65 yoa	1	(0.4%)	0	(0.0%)	1	(0.4%)
RRI						
Measures Pending						
Total	229	(100%)	26	(100%)	255	(100%)

Case Eligibility and Charges: Part 1

ALL MCJRP IDENTIFIED CASES BY PRIMARY CHARGE CATEGORY

Primary Charge Category	# Identified in September	# to Date	
		Eligible	Opted Out
BM11 Cases	8	23	1
BM57 –Drug Cases	3	11	1
Other Drug Cases	28	54	5
BM57 – Property Cases	27	74	10
Other Property Cases	18	42	2
Behavioral Cases	5	19	4
Person Cases	2	9	3
Vehicle Cases	1	5	1
Grand Total	92	237	27

CASE INVOLVED A GUN**	3	16	0
-----------------------	---	----	---

**Cases involved a gun = case in CRIMES with the case flag “GUNS – Case involved the use of firearms”

ALL MCJRP IDENTIFIED CASES BY PRIMARY CHARGE TYPE

Primary Charge Type	# Identified in September	# to Date	
		Eligible	Opted Out
BM11 Cases	8	23	1
Assault II	5	10	1
Robbery II	3	13	0
BM57 –Drug Cases	3	11	1
Unlawful Delivery of Cocaine	0	1	0
Unlawful Delivery of Heroin	1	5	0
Unlawful Delivery of Meth	2	5	1
Other Drug Cases	28	54	5
Controlled Substance	1	1	0
Delivery of Cocaine 1,000 Ft School	1	1	0
Delivery of Heroin 1,000 Ft School	1	2	0
Delivery of Meth 1,000 Ft School	0	1	1
<i>Manufacture Marijuana*</i>	0	1	0
Possession of MDM	0	0	1
Unlawful Delivery of Cocaine	2	6	0
Unlawful Delivery of Heroin	10	17	1
<i>Unlawful Delivery of Marijuana*</i>	2	2	0
Unlawful Delivery of Meth	9	20	1
Unlawful Possession of Heroin	1	2	0
<i>Unlawful Possession of Marijuana*</i>	0	0	1
Unlawful Possession of Meth	1	1	0

Chart continues on next page

Case Eligibility and Charges: Part 2

ALL MCJRP IDENTIFIED CASES BY PRIMARY CHARGE TYPE CONT.

Primary Charge Type	# Identified in September	# to Date	
		Eligible	Opted Out
BM57 – Property Cases	27	74	10
Aggravated Identity Theft	1	1	0
Burglary I	0	2	2
Burglary II	4	8	0
Felon Possession of Firearm	1	1	0
<i>Identity Theft*</i>	6	16	1
Mail Theft	1	1	0
Mischief I	1	1	0
Possession of Forged Inst I	0	0	1
Possession of A Stolen MV	1	2	0
<i>Robbery III*</i>	1	3	1
Theft I	6	16	2
Unauthorized Use Of A MV	5	23	3
Other Property Cases	18	42	2
Burglary I	7	20	1
Burglary II	1	2	0
<i>Identity Theft*</i>	5	5	0
<i>Robbery III*</i>	1	4	1
Theft I	2	6	0
Unauthorized Use Of A MV	2	5	0
Behavioral Cases	5	19	4
Aggravated Harassment	1	1	2
Aggravated Animal Abuse	0	1	0
Bribing A Witness	0	0	1
Felon Possession of Firearm	4	16	0
Forgery I	0	1	1
Person Cases	2	9	3
Attempted Assault II	0	2	0
Assault III	0	1	2
Assault Of A Officer	1	3	1
Child Neglect I	0	2	0
DUII	1	1	0
Vehicle Cases	1	5	1
<i>Criminal DWS*</i>	0	2	1
Hit And Run Injury	1	3	0
Grand Total	92	237	27

**Italicized charge descriptions are crimes specifically identified in HB3194*

Opt Outs & Warrant Status

Opt Out Reason	Timeline	Sept 2014	Total to Date
Opt Out Cases	By Day 21	11	26
Notice Filed		5	11
Verbal		6	7
Refusal		0	8
Late Opt Out Cases	After Day 21	1	1
Total Opt Out Cases		12	27

Notice Filed: Notice of Intent to Opt Out filed with the Court

Verbal: Defendant pleas prior to completion of interview or defense team verbally declines MCJRP

Refusal: Defendant refused to be assessed or refused to answer enough questions for a valid LSCMI at interview, no LSCMI completed prior to day 21

Warrant Status and Reason	Sept 2014	Total to Date
Cases Entered Warrant Status	10	20
Cases in Warrant Status on 9/30/14	5	8

Court Event	Timeline	Sept 2014	Total to Date
Sentencing Enhancements Filed	By Day 50	0	0

Assessments

	Completed in Sept	Completed To Date
LSCMI Interviews	69	138
In-custody Interviews Facilitated by MCSO HB3194 Escort Deputies	43	88
Assessment Reports	70	71
Reports Completed by Deadline (Day 61)	79%	79%

Assessment Report Profile

Variables	Clients Assessed To Date	
Risk/Need Level*	71%	High / Very High
	16%	Medium
	13%	Low / Very Low
Housing Status	61%	Need housing
Veterans	5%	Veteran
Parental Status	49%	With children under 18 YOA
CJ History	2.8	Average # of previous felony convictions
Mental Health	36%	Mental health factors are present
Transportation	23%	Transportation factors are present
Language	6%	Language barriers are present
Physical Health	9%	Health factors are present

*Derived from the LS/CMI assessment. This instrument was designed to identify dynamic areas of risk/needs that may be addressed by programming in order to reduce criminal risk behaviors.

Custody

Booking Information	# in September	# To Date
Individuals booked	89	245
Bookings¹	98	293
<i>Booking Reasons²</i>		
Additional Arrest	0	2
Court Ordered Book and Keep	1	2
Court Added Charge	1	2
Detainer	11	33
Original (<i>New Crime</i>)	67	189
Per Sanction Form - Charge Added	0	1
Warrant	18	64

In Custody Information	# in September	# To Date
Jail Bed Days	1,055	6,830
<i>Detention Center (MCDC)</i>	207	1,502
<i>Inverness Jail (MCIJ)</i>	848	5,328
Pre-sentence (<120 days) ³	PENDING	PENDING
Post-sentence (>120 days)	PENDING	PENDING

Release Information	# in September	# To Date
Release Type		
<i>Booked and Released per Court Order</i>	1	2
<i>Bail</i>	11	28
<i>Court Ordered Release</i>	3	14
<i>Court Release on Recognizance</i>	0	2
<i>Close Street Supervision</i>	0	7
<i>In Patient Treatment Program</i>	0	1
<i>Pre-Trial Release Services</i>	9	26
<i>Pre-Trial Supervision Program</i>	4	23
<i>Release to Own Recognizance⁴</i>	15	48
<i>Time Served on Sentence</i>	0	10
<i>Transfer to Oregon State Hospital</i>	0	0
<i>Transfer to Other County</i>	6	11
<i>Transfer to Prison</i>	0	2
<i>Unauthorized Departure</i>	0	1
Total	49	175

¹ Individuals may be booked multiple times

² Based on primary charge at time of booking

³ To ensure accurate reporting, pre-sentence days are identified only after MCJRP sentencing

⁴ Variation between data sources result in operationally valid difference in recog release numbers

Court Events

Count of Court Events and Failure to Appear Rates for Eligible Cases

Court Case Event	<u>September</u>		<u>To Date</u>			
	#	% FTA	<u>Eligible Cases</u>		<u>Opt Out Cases</u>	
			#	% FTA	#	% FTA
Information Arraignment*	79	1 (1.3%)	205	6 (2.9%)	26	0 (0.0%)
Indictment Arraignment	90	4 (4.4%)	216	11 (5.1%)	27	0 (0.0%)
First Call for Trial Assignment	77	3 (3.9%)	85	3 (3.5%)	17	1 (5.9%)
Second Call for Trial Assignment	29	1 (3.4%)	25	1 (4.0%)	4	0 (0.0%)
Initial JSC Scheduled on Calendar**			65			
Subsequent Calls	3	0 (0.0%)	3	0 (0.0%)		
Initial JSC Completed	16	0 (0.0%)	16	0 (0.0%)		
Subsequent JSCs	0		0			
Total Dispositions	18		11		10	
Sentenced	18		11		10	

*Direct Present cases do not have information arraignments

**This represents the number of Initial Judicial Settlement Conferences that were scheduled by the Court during September (and to date).

Court Event Timeline

Court Event	Timeline	<u>Percentage of cases with court events</u>			# cases past timeline with event incomplete to date
		meeting timeline	within timeline +1wk	complete to date	
Indictment Arraignment	On day 1				
First Call for Trial Assignment	By day 42	75.0%	95.2%	97.6%	2
Second Call for Trial Assignment	By day 77	95.2%	95.2%	95.2%	1
Initial JSC Scheduled on Calendar	By day 77			96.8%	2
Initial JSC Completed	By day 110	100%	100%	100%	0
Total Disposed	By day 120	100%	100%	100%	0

Opt Out cases and cases in warrant status are not included in the timeline calculations

Case Disposition

Case Disposition	<u>MJRP Eligible Cases</u>		<u>Opt Out Cases</u>	
	# in Sept	# to Date	# in Sept	# to Date
Plea	11	11	7	10
Guilty Plea	10	10	5	7
As Charged	1	1	0	0
Dismissed other count(s)	9	9	5	7
Plead No Contest	1	1	2	3
Guilty Finding	1	1	2	3
Trial	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	11	11	7	10

Sentencing

Initial Sentence Type

Initial Sentence Type	Eligible		Opted Out	
	# In Sept	# to Date	# In Sept	# to Date
Prison	0	0	1	1
Jail	0	0	0	0
Non-MCJRP Probation	7	7	6	9
MCJRP Probation	4	4	0	0
Total	11	11	7	10

Initial Sentence Length by Sentence Type

Initial Sentence Type	Eligible		Opted Out	
	in Sept (months)	to Date (months)	in Sept (months)	to Date (months)
Average Sentence Length				
Prison	0	0	18	18
Jail	0	0	0	0
Non-MCJRP Probation	30	30	31	30
MCJRP Probation	36	36		
Sum of Sentence Lengths				
Prison	0	0	0	0
Jail	0	0	0	0
Non-MCJRP Probation	210	210	186	270
MCJRP Probation	144	144	0	0

Sum of Prison Months Avoided by Initial Sentence

Initial Sentence Type	Eligible		Opted Out	
	in Sept (months)	to Date (months)	in Sept (months)	to Date (months)
Prison Months Avoided				
Jail				
Non-MCJRP Probation	139 -147	139 -147	114-116	172 -180
MCJRP Probation	70 -74	70-74		

Offender Accountability: Part 1

Offender accountability data includes 264 MCJRP cases that were eligible as of 9/30/14.

Victim Assistance

MCJRP "ELIGIBLE" CASES WITH A VICTIM ASSOCIATED

Primary Charge Type	# in September 2014		# to Date	
	With Victim	Assigned Advocate	With Victim	Assigned Advocate
BM11	8	8 (100%)	20	20 (100%)
<i>Assault II</i>	5	5(100%)	10	10 (100%)
<i>Robbery II</i>	3	3(100%)	10	10 (100%)
BM57 – Property Offender	12	0 (0%)	50	0 (0%)
<i>Burglary I</i>			1	0 (0%)
<i>Burglary II</i>			4	0 (0%)
<i>Identity Theft</i>	3	0 (0%)	10	0 (0%)
<i>Mischief I</i>	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)
<i>Possession of A Stolen MV</i>	1	0 (0%)	2	0 (0%)
<i>Robbery III</i>	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)
<i>Theft I</i>	3	0 (0%)	10	0 (0%)
<i>Unauthorized Use Of A MV</i>	3	0 (0%)	21	0 (0%)
Other Property	15	0 (0%)	31	3 (9.7%)
<i>Burglary I</i>	7	0 (0%)	15	2 (13.3%)
<i>Burglary II</i>	1	0 (0%)	2	0 (0%)
<i>Identity Theft</i>	5	0 (0%)	5	0 (0%)
<i>Robbery III</i>			3	1 (33.3%)
<i>Theft I</i>			1	0 (0%)
<i>Unauthorized Use Of A MV</i>	2	0 (0%)	5	0 (0%)
Behavioral	1	0 (0%)	4	0 (0%)
<i>Aggravated Harassment</i>	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)
<i>Felon Possession of Firearm</i>			2	0 (0%)
<i>Forgery I</i>			1	0 (0%)
Person	1	0(0%)	6	2 (33.3%)
<i>Attempted Assault II</i>			2	1 (50.0%)
<i>Assault III</i>			1	1 (100%)
<i>Assault of An Officer</i>	1	0 (0%)	3	0 (0%)
Vehicle	1	0 (0%)	3	1 (33.3%)
<i>Hit and Run Injury</i>	1	0 (0%)	3	1 (33.3%)
GRAND TOTAL	38	8 (21.1%)	114	26 (22.8%)

Offender Accountability: Part 2

Restitution

MCJRP "ELIGIBLE" CASES WITH A VICTIM ASSOCIATED & IDENTIFIED AS RESTITUTION APPLICABLE

Primary Charge Type	# in September 2014		# to Date	
	Restitution Applicable	Assigned Clerk	Restitution Applicable	Assigned Clerk
BM11	8	8 (100%)	20	20 (100%)
<i>Assault II</i>	5	5 (100%)	10	10 (100%)
<i>Robbery II</i>	3	3 (100%)	10	10 (100%)
BM57 – Property Offender	12	12 (100%)	50	49 (98.0%)
<i>Burglary I</i>			1	1 (100%)
<i>Burglary II</i>			4	4 (100%)
<i>Identity Theft</i>	3	3 (100%)	10	10 (100%)
<i>Mischief I</i>	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
<i>Possession of A Stolen MV</i>	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
<i>Robbery III</i>	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
<i>Theft I</i>	3	3 (100%)	10	10 (100%)
<i>Unauthorized Use Of A MV</i>	3	3 (100%)	21	20 (95.2%)
Other Property	15	15 (100%)	31	31 (100%)
<i>Burglary I</i>	7	7 (100%)	15	15 (100%)
<i>Burglary II</i>	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
<i>Identity Theft</i>	5	5 (100%)	5	5 (100%)
<i>Robbery III</i>			3	3 (100%)
<i>Theft I</i>			1	1 (100%)
<i>Unauthorized Use Of A MV</i>	2	21 (100%)	5	5 (100%)
Behavioral	1	1 (100%)	4	4 (100%)
<i>Aggravated Harassment</i>	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
<i>Felon Possession of Firearm</i>			2	2 (100%)
<i>Forgery I</i>			1	1 (100%)
Person	1	1 (100%)	6	6 (100%)
<i>Attempted Assault II</i>			2	2 (100%)
<i>Assault III</i>			1	1 (100%)
<i>Assault of An Officer</i>	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Vehicle	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
<i>Hit and Run Injury</i>	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
GRAND TOTAL	38	38 (100%)	114	113 (99.1%)


Intensive Probation Services: Part 1

Client Risk Level	# Assigned to Intensive Supervision in September	# Assigned to Intensive Supervision To Date
<i>High</i>	4	4
<i>Medium</i>	0	0
<i>Low</i>	0	0
Total	4	4

Formal Sanction Summary	# Sanctions in September	To Date
<i>Jail</i>	0	0
<i>Revocation</i>	0	0
<i>Alternative Community Service</i>	0	0
<i>Day Reporting Center</i>	0	0
<i>EM/GPS</i>	0	0
Total	0	0

Jail Usage	In September	To Date
<i>Number of Jail Bed Days</i>	<i>pending</i>	<i>pending</i>
<i>Average LOS (discharged)</i>	<i>pending</i>	<i>pending</i>
<i>Number of clients in Jail (Not Yet Discharged)</i>	<i>pending</i>	<i>pending</i>

Intensive Probation Services: Part 2



Service Areas	# Referrals in September	# Referrals to-date
Housing	0	0
Addictions – Residential	1	1
Addictions – Outpatient	2	2
Mental Health Services	0	0
Mentor	0	0
Parenting Services	0	0
Employment Services	0	0
Education Services	0	0
GPS/EM	0	0

Law Enforcement

Justice Reinvestment Detail	# in September	# To Date
Proactive Patrol Contacts		
<i>Categories pending (i.e. mere conversations, suspected criminal activity)</i>		
Assists		
<i>Categories pending (i.e. home check/visit, cover for PO, transport)</i>		
Arrests		
<i>Warrant</i>		
<i>Detainer</i>		
<i>New charge</i>		

All MCJRP Contacts	# in September	# To Date
Individuals Contacted		
Number of Cases		
Contact Type¹ (Categories will be developed based on actual contacts)		
<i>Witness</i>		
<i>Victim</i>		
<i>Associate/Mentioned</i>		
<i>Suspect/Subject/Person of Interest</i>		
<i>Exclusion area</i>		
<i>Traffic</i>		
<i>Charged</i>		
<i>Other</i>		

¹ Multiple contact types may take place within a single case.

MCJRP Outcomes TBD

Revocations

MEASURES PENDING

Prison Bed Utilization

MEASURES PENDING

Recidivism

MEASURES PENDING

Acknowledgements

This report was developed by the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Data and Evaluation Sub-Committee. This committee is a collaborative effort of trained data analysts representing the agencies who helped develop and implement this justice reinvestment program.

Committee Leads:

- Kimberly Bernard, Ph.D.; Department of Community Justice
- Lauren Brown; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Committee Members:

- Joel Bruhn; Multnomah County Circuit Court
- Robert Jackson; Portland Police Bureau
- Maegan Kingston; Defense Bar
- Shea Marshman, Ph.D.; Multnomah County Sheriff's Office
- Lily Yamamoto; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
- Carol Young; District Attorney's Office

Committee Consultants:

- Sgt. Greg Stewart; Portland Police Bureau
- Katharina Wiest, Ph.D.; CODA