

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	S-1
S.1 What is being proposed and why? .....	S-2
S.2 What are the possible solutions to meet the project purpose? .....	S-8
S.3 What would be the consequences of the different alternatives? .....	S-23
S.4 What is the preferred alternative? .....	S-31
S.5 What are the unresolved issues?.....	S-36
S.6 How is the Supplemental Draft EIS organized?.....	S-43
1 Purpose and Need for the Project .....	1-1
1.1 Why are we considering the Burnside Bridge Project? .....	1-1
1.2 Location, Setting, and History.....	1-1
1.3 Purpose of the Project .....	1-1
1.4 Need for the Project.....	1-3
1.5 Application of the Purpose and Need in Alternatives Identification and Screening.....	1-8
2 Project Alternatives .....	2-1
2.1 Introduction .....	2-1
2.2 The Refined Long-Span Alternative.....	2-1
2.2.1 Bridge Width .....	2-1
2.2.2 Other Design Refinements Being Evaluated .....	2-2
2.2.3 Enhanced Americans with Disabilities Act, Pedestrian, and Bicyclist Connections .....	2-2
2.2.4 Comparison to Refined Short-Span and Refined Couch Extension Alternatives.....	2-3
2.3 Construction Approach .....	2-5
2.4 Description of the Refined Long-Span Alternative.....	2-8
2.4.1 Bridge Types and In-River Piers.....	2-9
2.4.2 Cross Sections.....	2-11
2.4.3 West Approach over Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park.....	2-13
2.4.4 East Approach .....	2-16
2.4.5 ADA, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Access to the Vera Katz Eastbank Esplanade and W 1st Avenue .....	2-17
2.4.6 Temporary Construction Impacts.....	2-20
2.5 Cost Estimate.....	2-23
2.6 Preferred Alternative .....	2-23
2.6.1 Draft EIS Preferred Alternative .....	2-23
2.6.2 Potential Refinements to the Preferred Alternative .....	2-24
2.6.3 Preferred Alternative Evaluation .....	2-25
2.7 Seismic Performance.....	2-27
3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....	3-1
3.1 Transportation.....	3-1
3.1.1 Affected Environment .....	3-1
3.1.2 Impacts .....	3-2
3.1.3 Mitigation.....	3-12
3.2 Navigation .....	3-16

3.3	Acquisitions and Relocations.....	3-17
3.3.1	Affected Environment .....	3-17
3.3.2	Impacts .....	3-17
3.3.3	Mitigation.....	3-31
3.4	Land Use.....	3-32
3.4.1	Affected Environment .....	3-32
3.4.2	Impacts .....	3-32
3.4.3	Mitigation.....	3-33
3.5	Economics .....	3-34
3.5.1	Affected Environment .....	3-34
3.5.2	Impacts .....	3-34
3.5.3	Mitigation.....	3-37
3.6	Public Services .....	3-38
3.6.1	Affected Environment .....	3-38
3.6.2	Impacts .....	3-38
3.6.3	Mitigation.....	3-43
3.7	Utilities .....	3-44
3.7.1	Affected Environment .....	3-44
3.7.2	Impacts .....	3-44
3.7.3	Mitigation.....	3-48
3.8	Social and Neighborhood Resources .....	3-49
3.8.1	Affected Environment .....	3-49
3.8.2	Impacts .....	3-49
3.8.3	Mitigation.....	3-51
3.9	Environmental Justice.....	3-52
3.9.1	Affected Environment .....	3-52
3.9.2	Public Involvement.....	3-52
3.9.3	Impacts .....	3-57
3.9.4	Mitigation.....	3-61
3.10	Parks and Recreation .....	3-62
3.10.1	Affected Environment .....	3-62
3.10.2	Impacts .....	3-62
3.10.3	Mitigation.....	3-66
3.11	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	3-67
3.11.1	Affected Environment .....	3-67
3.11.2	Impacts .....	3-71
3.11.3	Mitigation.....	3-76
3.12	Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	3-78
3.12.1	Affected Environment .....	3-78
3.12.2	Impacts .....	3-79
3.12.3	Mitigation.....	3-82
3.13	Geology.....	3-83
3.13.1	Affected Environment .....	3-83
3.13.2	Impacts .....	3-83
3.13.3	Mitigation.....	3-84
3.14	Water Quality .....	3-87
3.14.1	Affected Environment .....	3-87
3.14.2	Impacts .....	3-87
3.14.3	Mitigation.....	3-88
3.15	Floodplain and River Hydraulics .....	3-90
3.15.1	Affected Environment .....	3-90
3.15.2	Impacts .....	3-90
3.15.3	Mitigation.....	3-94

3.16	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Aquatic Resources.....	3-95
3.16.1	Affected Environment .....	3-95
3.16.2	Impacts .....	3-95
3.16.3	Mitigation.....	3-107
3.17	Wetlands and Waters .....	3-108
3.17.1	Affected Environment .....	3-108
3.17.2	Impacts .....	3-108
3.17.3	Mitigation.....	3-114
3.18	Noise and Vibration .....	3-118
3.18.1	Affected Environment .....	3-118
3.18.2	Impacts .....	3-118
3.18.3	Mitigation.....	3-119
3.19	Air Quality .....	3-120
3.19.1	Affected Environment .....	3-120
3.19.2	Direct Impacts.....	3-120
3.19.3	Mitigation.....	3-121
3.20	Hazardous Materials.....	3-122
3.20.1	Affected Environment .....	3-122
3.20.2	Impacts .....	3-122
3.20.3	Mitigation.....	3-123
3.21	Cumulative Effects .....	3-124
3.21.1	Transportation.....	3-124
3.21.2	Navigation.....	3-124
3.21.3	Land Use and Right of Way.....	3-124
3.21.4	Economics .....	3-125
3.21.5	Public Services .....	3-125
3.21.6	Utilities .....	3-125
3.21.7	Social, Neighborhoods and Environmental Justice .....	3-125
3.21.8	Parks and Recreation .....	3-125
3.21.9	Cultural Resources .....	3-125
3.21.10	Visual and Aesthetics .....	3-125
3.21.11	Soils and Geology.....	3-126
3.21.12	Wetlands, Waters, Hydraulics, Vegetation and Aquatic Resources.....	3-126
3.21.13	Noise and Vibration .....	3-126
3.21.14	Air Quality .....	3-126
3.21.15	Hazardous Materials.....	3-126
3.21.16	Climate Change .....	3-126
3.22	The Relationship of Local Short-Term Impacts and Use of Resources and the Maintenance of Long-Term Productivity.....	3-129
3.23	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources Which Would be Involved in the Proposed Action.....	3-129

## List of Figures

Figure S-1. Frequency and Magnitude of CSZ Earthquakes .....	S-3
Figure S-2. Project Area .....	S-4
Figure S-3. Potential for Bridge and Road Structure Collapse/Failure.....	S-5
Figure S-4. Simulation of Existing Burnside Bridge after CSZ Earthquake.....	S-6
Figure S-5. Range of Potential Crossing Types Evaluated in the Feasibility Study.....	S-9
Figure S-6. Enhanced Seismic Retrofit Alternative .....	S-11
Figure S-7. Enhanced Seismic Retrofit Alternative – Structures Replaced and Retrofitted.....	S-11
Figure S-8. Lane Configurations for the Draft EIS Alternatives.....	S-12
Figure S-9. Replacement Alternative Short-Span Approach.....	S-14
Figure S-10. Replacement Alternative with Long-Span Approach.....	S-15
Figure S-11. Replacement Alternative with Couch Extension.....	S-16
Figure S-12. Replacement Alternative – Refined Long-Span Alternative .....	S-18
Figure S-13 Refined Long-Span Alternative – Lane Configuration Options.....	S-19
Figure S-14. Full Closure of Bridge During Construction .....	S-21
Figure S-15. Build Alternatives’ Bridge Supports Located in Geological Hazard Zones.....	S-26
Figure S-16. Bridge Width – Cross Section over River .....	S-41
Figure 1.3-1. Project Area .....	1-2
Figure 1.4-1. Frequency and Magnitude of CSZ Earthquakes.....	1-3
Figure 1.4-2. Risk of Bridge and Overpass Collapse .....	1-4
Figure 1.4-3. Seismic Vulnerability of Downtown Bridge Approaches .....	1-6
Figure 2.4-1. Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative.....	2-8
Figure 2.4-2. Refined Long-Span Alternative .....	2-8
Figure 2.4-3. Draft EIS Long-Span with Bascule Movable Span (Tied-Arch Approaches).....	2-9
Figure 2.4-4. Refined Long-Span with Bascule Movable Span (Tied-Arch East Approach).....	2-9
Figure 2.4-5. Draft EIS Long-Span Vertical Lift Movable Span (Cable-Stayed Approaches) .....	2-10
Figure 2.4-6. Refined Long-Span Cable with Bascule Lift Movable Span (Cable-Stayed East Approach).....	2-10
Figure 2.4-7. Bridge Width – Cross Section over River.....	2-11
Figure 2.4-8. Refined Long-Span Lane Configuration Options .....	2-12
Figure 2.4-9. Existing View – Looking South from the Japanese American Historical Plaza in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park.....	2-13
Figure 2.4-10. Draft EIS Long-Span Tied-Arch – Looking South from the Japanese American Historical Plaza in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park.....	2-13
Figure 2.4-11. Refined Long-Span Girder – Looking South from the Japanese American Historical Plaza in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park.....	2-14
Figure 2.4-12. Existing View – Looking North in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park .....	2-14
Figure 2.4-13. Draft EIS Long-Span Tied-Arch, Looking North in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park .....	2-14
Figure 2.4-14. Draft EIS Long-Span Cable-Stayed – Looking North in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park .....	2-15
Figure 2.4-15. Refined Long-Span Girder – Looking North in Gov. Tom McCall Waterfront Park .....	2-15
Figure 2.4-16. Refined Tied-Arch Option 1 – East Side of 2nd Avenue.....	2-16
Figure 2.4-17. Refined Tied-Arch Option 2 – West Side of 2nd Avenue.....	2-16
Figure 2.4-18. Draft EIS Long-Span ADA Access – Ramp/Stair Access .....	2-17
Figure 2.4-19. Existing – Looking North .....	2-18
Figure 2.4-20. Refined Long-Span ADA and Pedestrian Access – Looking North .....	2-18

Figure 2.4-21. Existing Vera Katz Eastbank Esplanade Pedestrian Access..... 2-19

Figure 2.4-22. Refined Vera Katz Eastbank Esplanade ADA/Pedestrian Access ..... 2-20

Figure 2.4-23. Refined Long-Span (with Bascule Lift) Temporary Construction Impacts ..... 2-21

Figure 2.4-24. Refined Long-Span (with Vertical Lift) Temporary Construction Impacts ..... 2-22

Figure 3.1-1. Bridge Width – Cross Section Over River..... 3-2

Figure 3.3-1. Property Impacts – West Bridgehead, Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative..... 3-20

Figure 3.3-2. Property Impacts – West Bridgehead, Refined Long-Span Alternative ..... 3-21

Figure 3.3-3. Property Impacts – East Bridgehead, Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative..... 3-22

Figure 3.3-4. Property Impacts – East Bridgehead, Refined Long-Span Alternative ..... 3-23

Figure 3.3-5. Access Reference – West Bridgehead ..... 3-28

Figure 3.3-6. Access Reference – East Bridgehead ..... 3-29

Figure 3.6-1. Existing Public Service Resources and Emergency Access Routes ..... 3-39

Figure 3.6-2. Bridge Width – Cross Section Over River..... 3-40

Figure 3.6-3. Refined Long-Span Lane Configuration Options ..... 3-42

Figure 3.10-1. View in Waterfront Park, Japanese American Historical Plaza – Refined Long-Span, Girder ..... 3-64

Figure 3.10-2. View in Waterfront Park Under Bridge from Japanese American Historical Plaza – Draft EIS Long-Span, Tied-Arch..... 3-64

Figure 3.11-1. The White Stag Block, looking northeast from the Burnside Bridge..... 3-67

Figure 3.11-2. The Bates Building, looking north along West Burnside..... 3-68

Figure 3.11-3. The Burnside Hotel, looking northeast from the Intersection of West Burnside and NW 2nd Avenue. .... 3-68

Figure 3.11-4. The Salvation Army Building, looking southeast at the intersection of West Burnside and NW 2nd Avenue. .... 3-69

Figure 3.11-5. The Reed Building, looking northeast from the intersection of SW 1st Avenue and SW Ankeny..... 3-70

Figure 3.11-6. The Frigidaire/Templeton Building, looking west. .... 3-71

Figure 3.12-1. Landscape Units Map ..... 3-78

Figure 3.13-1. Geology Analysis Direct API ..... 3-85

Figure 3.13-2. Liquefaction Susceptibility in the API ..... 3-86

Figure 3.14-1. Existing Stormwater Drainage System ..... 3-89

Figure 3.16-1. Draft EIS Long-Span Temporary Construction Impacts ..... 3-97

Figure 3.16-2. Refined Long-Span Temporary Construction Impacts (with Bascule Lift)..... 3-98

Figure 3.16-3. Refined Long-Span Temporary Construction Impacts (with Vertical Lift)..... 3-99

Figure 3.16-4. Draft EIS Long-Span Permanent Impacts..... 3-100

Figure 3.16-5. Refined Long-Span with Bascule Lift Permanent Structure..... 3-101

Figure 3.16-6. Refined Long-Span with Vertical Lift Permanent Structure ..... 3-102

Figure 3.17-1. Temporary In-Water Impacts – Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative..... 3-111

Figure 3.17-2. Temporary In-Water Impacts – Refined Long-Span Alternative with Bascule Lift..... 3-112

Figure 3.17-3. Temporary In-Water Impacts – Refined Long-Span Alternative with Vertical Lift..... 3-113

Figure 3.17-4. Permanent In-Water Impacts – Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative..... 3-115

Figure 3.17-5. Permanent In-Water Impacts – Refined Long-Span Alternative with Bascule Lift..... 3-116

Figure 3.17-6. Permanent In-Water Impacts – Refined Long-Span Alternative with Vertical Lift ..... 3-117

## List of Tables

Table S-1. Major Bridge Elements by Alternative.....	S-13
Table 2.3-1. Major Bridge Elements by Alternative.....	2-6
Table 2.3-2. Construction Impacts, Closure Extents, and Timeframes by Build Alternative.....	2-7
Table 3.1-1 Overall Estimated Performance Over 20 Years (Mid Span and Intersection) – 50 foot Roadway Width .....	3-8
Table 3.1-2. Overall Estimated Performance Over 20 Years (Mid Span and Intersection) – 47 foot Roadway Width .....	3-9
Table 3.1-3. Overall Estimated Performance Over 20 Years (Mid Span and Intersection) – 44 foot Roadway Width .....	3-9
Table 3.1-4. Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-12
Table 3.3-1. Right-of-Way Acquisition Summary .....	3-17
Table 3.3-2. Impacted Properties .....	3-18
Table 3.3-3. Access Impacts .....	3-25
Table 3.3-4. Displacements/Relocations by Long-Span Alternative .....	3-30
Table 3.4-1. Land Use Types Permanently Converted to Transportation Use by Alternative .....	3-33
Table 3.5-1. Safety Performance of Mid-Span Bridge Section, Incremental Crashes Compared to the No-Build Scenario, 2026–2045 .....	3-36
Table 3.5-2. Safety Performance of Approach Intersections, Incremental Crashes Compared to the No Build Scenario, 2026–2045.....	3-36
Table 3.7-1. Relocated Utilities Comparison – Draft EIS and Refined Long-Span Alternatives .....	3-44
Table 3.7-2. Relocation Cost Comparison – Draft EIS and Refined Long-Span Alternatives.....	3-47
Table 3.9-1. Public and Stakeholder Outreach Summary (2021) .....	3-54
Tabel 3.9-2. Summary of Potential Design Refinements and Impacts on Environmental Justice Populations .....	3-58
Table 3.10-1. Summary of Effects for Park and Recreation Resources .....	3-62
Table 3.11-1. Summary of Proposed Design Refinement Impacts to Historic Resources.....	3-72
Table 3.13-1. Comparison of Shafts Needed for Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative versus Refined Long-Span Alternative .....	3-83
Table 3.14-1. Comparison Net Increase/Decrease in Impervious Area.....	3-87
Table 3.14-2. Impervious Areas within the API Discharge Location by Alternative .....	3-88
Table 3.14-3. Acres of Impervious Area within the API Treated and Untreated by Alternative .....	3-88
Table 3.15-1. Estimated Floodway Encroachment.....	3-90
Table 3.15-2. Estimated Percent Change in Scour Length.....	3-91
Table 3.15-3. API Floodplain Encroachment (Outside of the Floodway) .....	3-92
Table 3.15-4. Estimated Temporary Floodway Encroachment .....	3-93
Table 3.16-1. Approximate Temporary Construction Activities Causing Impacts to Vegetation, Wildlife, and Aquatic Species .....	3-104
Table 3.16-2. Estimated Temporary Construction Physical Impacts and Duration for All Alternatives .....	3-105
Table 3.16-3. Draft EIS Long-Span Alternative and Refined Long-Span Alternative Approximate In-Water Permanent Direct Impacts .....	3-106
Table 3.17-1. Comparison of Permanent and Temporary Structures below the Ordinary High Water Mark .....	3-110
Table 3.20-1. Right-of-Way Acquisition Summary .....	3-122

## Attachments

- A Acronyms and Abbreviations
- B References
- C List of Preparers
- D List of Supporting Technical Documentation
- E List of Distribution and Notice of Availability
- F Agency Letters
- I Summary of Permits and Clearances Needed
- J Summary of Potential Mitigation
- K Summary of Public Involvement and Agency Coordination
- L Index
- M Draft Section 4(f) Analysis
- N Cost Risk Assessment Summaries

Note: Appendices G and H are intentionally not included in this SDEIS.

This page intentionally left blank.